Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 1 of 56 PageID #: 2621

Exhibit 12

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 2 of 56 PageID #: 2622

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 1		Page 3
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI, EASTERN DIVISION000 DWAYNE FURLOW, et al.,) Plaintiffs,) vs.)Case No. 4:16-CV-00254-CEJ) JON BELMAR, et al.,) Defendants.) VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF CINDY JENNINGS March 30, 2017 (Beginning at 9:28 a.m.)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Exhibit 6 Document entitled "LEPAC Agenda 116 Item" dated November 1, 2012 Exhibit 7 Document entitled 120 "Locate/Detainer, When on earth do I use them?") (The original exhibits were retained by the court reporter and will be copied and attached to copies of the transcript.)
INDEX PAGE EXAMINATION BY MR. HOLLAND	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI, EASTERN DIVISION000 DWAYNE FURLOW, et al.,) Plaintiffs,) Vs.)Case No. 4:16-CV-00254-CEJ) JON BELMAR, et al.,) Defendants.) 000- VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF CINDY JENNINGS, produced, sworn, and examined on Thursday, March 30, 2017, taken on behalf of the Plaintiffs, at the offices of Midwest Litigation Services, 711 North 11th Street, in the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri, before RENÉE COMBS QUINBY, a Certified Court Reporter (MO), Certified Shorthand Reporter (CA), Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and a Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri.
	EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI, EASTERN DIVISION00 DWAYNE FURLOW, et al.,) Plaintiffs,) vs.)Case No. 4:16-CV-00254-CEJ) JON BELMAR, et al.,) Defendants.) VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF CINDY JENNINGS March 30, 2017 (Beginning at 9:28 a.m.) Page 2 INDEX PAGE EXAMINATION BY MR. HOLLAND	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI, EASTERN DIVISION

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 3 of 56 PageID #: 2623

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 5	Page 7
1 APPEARANCES 2	1000
3 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and
4 Nathaniel R. Carroll, Esq.	3 between counsel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for
ArchCity Defenders, Inc. 5 1210 Locust Street	4 the Defendants, that this deposition may be taken in
St. Louis, MO 63103	5 machine shorthand by RENÉE COMBS QUINBY, a Certified
6 (855)724-2489 ncarroll@archcitydefenders.org	6 Court Reporter and Notary Public, and afterwards
7	7 transcribed into typewriting, and the signature
8 Timothy J. Holland, Esq. Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP	8 waived by agreement of Counsel and consent of the
9 1285 Avenue of the Americas	9 Witness.
New York, NY 10019-6064 10 (212)373-3373	10000
tholland@paulweiss.com	11 PROCEEDINGS 9:29 a.m.
11 12 Angelo Guisado, Esq.	12000
Britney Wilson, Esq.	13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
Center For Constitutional Rights	14 record. Today's date is March the 30th, 2017. The
666 Broadway, 7th Floor 14 New York, NY 10012	
(212)614-6464	15 time is approximately 9:29 a.m. This is the
aguisado@ccrjustice.org bwilson@ccrjustice.org	16 video-recorded deposition of Cindy Jennings in the
16	matter of Furlow, et al., versus Belmar, et al.,
17 18 FOR THE WITNESS:	18 Case Number 4:16-CV-00245-CEJ in the United States
Raymond B. Flojo, Esq.	19 District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.
City of St. Louis Law Department 1915 Olive Street, Suite 773	20 This deposition is being held at
St. Louis, MO 63103	21 Midwest Litigation Center in St. Louis, Missouri.
21 (314)444-5609 rflojo@slmpd.org	The reporter's name is Renée Quinby. My name is
22	David Doell, and I'm the legal videographer. We're
23 24	24 here with Midwest Litigation Services.
25	25 Will the attorneys present please
Page 6	Page 8
1 FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	1 introduce yourselves.
Michael E. Hughes, Esq.	2 MR. HOLLAND: My name is Timothy
St. Louis County Counselor's Office 41 S. Central Avenue, 9th Floor	3 Holland. I'm here from Paul Weiss on behalf of
Clayton, MO 63105	4 plaintiffs, and I have co-counsel who will introduce
4 (314)615-7042	5 themselves.
mhughes2@stlouisco.com 5	6 MR. CARROLL: Nathaniel Carroll from
6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER:	7 ArchCity Defenders also here on behalf of the
7 David Doell	8 plaintiffs.
Midwest Litigation Services	9 MS. WILSON: I'm Britney Wilson, also
8 711 North 11th Street St. Louis, MO 63101	10 here on behalf of the plaintiffs, from the Center
9 (314)644-2191	11 for Constitutional Rights.
10	12 MR. GUISADO: Angelo Guisado, Center
11 COURT REPORTER: 12 RENÉE COMBS OUINBY, RMR, CRR	for Constitutional Rights, on behalf of plaintiffs.
12 RENÉE COMBS QUINBY, RMR, CRR CSR (CA) #11867	14 MR. HUGHES: I am Michael Hughes. I
13 CCR (MO) #1291	15 represent Jon Belmar, St. Louis County, Christopher
Midwest Litigation Services	16 Partin, and Laura Clements and Kevin Walsh.
711 North 11th Street St. Louis, MO 63101	17 MR. FLOJO: Ray Flojo from the City
15 (314)644-2191	
16	18 Counselor's Office here for the REJIS Commission.
17	19 (Discussion off the record.)
18 19	20 EXAMINATION
20	21 BY MR. HOLLAND:
21	Q. Good morning, Ms. Jennings.
22 23	23 A. Good morning.
24	Q. My name is Tim Holland, and I'll be
	asking you some questions today. Can you just state

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 4 of 56 PageID #: 2624

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 9		Page 11
1 your name and spell your name again for the record.	1	A. Yes.
2 A. Cindy, C-i-n-d-y; Jennings,	2	Q. When did you find out that you'll be
3 J-e-n-n-i-n-q-s.	3	testifying today?
4 Q. Thank you. So as I'm asking you	4	A. About three weeks ago.
5 questions today, if you don't understand something,	5	Q. Who did you find out from?
6 if something comes across to you as vague, unclear,	6	A. A man that showed up at work at
7 confusing, just let me know so I can try to ask a	7	REJIS.
8 better question, okay?	8	MR. HOLLAND: And I'll show you what
9 A. Yes.	9	I'll mark as Jennings Exhibit 1.
10 Q. Is there anything that might keep you	10	(Exhibit 1 was marked for
from answering truthfully and accurately or fully	11	identification.)
today such as a medical condition or substance of	12	MR. HOLLAND: A copy for each of you.
any kind?	13	Q. Did that man give you this document?
14 A. No.	14	A. Yes.
15 Q. And if that changes during the course	15	Q. Now, in the in the center of this
16 of today you'll let me know?	16	document or on the first page, it says that you will
17 A. Yes.	17	be testifying regarding the following matters, and
18 Q. Okay. For the sake of efficiency	18	it lists training and any other matters related to
hopefully to get you out of here as soon as we can,	19	the St. Louis County Police Department in connection
let's just go over some ground rules.	20	with your employment at REJIS; do you see that?
, , , , ,	21	A. Yes.
,,,,,	22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3,	23	Q. And are you prepared today to testify
		on those topics?
let's just try our best not to talk over each other.	24	A. Yes.
25 If you're talking, I'll do my best to	23	Q. Okay. Great. You can set that aside.
Page 10		Page 12
1 wait until you're done answering; and if I'm	1	What did you so in the past three
2 answering a question, I know you might want to jump	2	weeks when since you found out you were going to
on where I'm going, but just let me finish for her	3	be testifying, what have you done to prepare for
4 sake and then we can have this go more efficiently	4	today?
5 today.	5	A. Nothing.
6 A. Sure.	6	Q. Did you meet with anybody to prepare?
7 Q. Your attorney, Mr. Flojo, as well as	7	A. I spoke to Mr. Flojo yesterday well,
8 Mr. Hughes, who's here for the defendants, may at	8	actually I emailed him to say that I
9 times object to a question I ask. Unless Mr. Flojo	9	couldn't make
instructs you not to answer, you can go ahead and	10	Q. Let's stop there. There's you might
11 keep answering my question though. The objection is	11	know just from being deposed before there's
purely for the record. Does that make sense?	12	privileged communications. So I don't need to know
13 A. Yes.	13	the contents of your communications with Mr. Flojo.
14 Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed	14	But just all I'm wondering is, you know, how many
15 before?	15	times did you speak with him, about how long were
16 A. Yes.	16	those communications? I don't need to know the
Q. How many times?	17	contents.
18 A. Three.	18	A. Spoke with him once about 30 minutes.
19 Q. All three in your capacity at REJIS?	19	Q. Great. And may have emailed him
20 A. Yes.	20	questions you may have had or something like that?
Q. When did those depositions take place?	21	A. Correct.
A. I don't recall the years.	22	Q. Okay. So aside from those 30 minutes
Q. Within the past five years?	23	or so, did you do anything else to prepare such as
A. Once, yes.	24	look as documents or anything like that?
Q. And the others earlier than that?	25	A. No.

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 5 of 56 PageID #: 2625

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 13	Page 15
1 Q. So I'm going to be showing you some	1 Q. We don't have to walk through every
documents at various points today, maybe all of them	2 year, but if you can give me just what your
3 hopefully hopefully not all of them that are	3 positions were since you've worked at REJIS.
4 sitting here next to me.	4 A. I started as a secretary. I worked on
5 But when we're done, I'll probably ask	5 the help desk. I was a trainer, client
6 you again I'll probably ask you whether aside	6 representative, and training supervisor.
7 from the documents we looked at today, are you aware	7 Q. About how long before you became a
8 of any other documents that might be relevant to	8 trainer were you employed at REJIS?
9 what we've discussed, what the topics listed in	9 A. Three years.
this this subpoena I showed you are. And at that	10 Q. So in the early '80s you became a
point I'd appreciate it if you'll tell me if they	11 trainer?
12 exist; is that fair?	12 A. That is correct.
13 A. Yes.	13 Q. And then about when did you become a
14 Q. Let's walk through some of your	14 training supervisor?
background starting with your education. So after	
high school can you walk me through what other	15 A. Ten years ago. 16 Q. Who hired you into that position as a
17 education you've received.	training supervisor?
18 A. Some college and I graduated from	18 A. The director of client services.
19 Hickey Business School.	19 Q. What was his or her name?
20 Q. Where did you attend college?	20 A. Larry White.
21 A. Florissant Vallev.	21 Q. Who have your supervisors been since
22 Q. Where is that located?	22 you've been a training supervisor?
23 A. Florissant, Missouri.	23 A. Larry White, John Earls, David
24 Q. And you said "some college." About how	24 Pudloswki, Marc Meschke.
25 long did you attend college for?	25 Q. Is Marc Meschke your current
	Q. 20 morrosomo pom amono
Page 14	Page 16
1 A. I took about eight credit hours.	1 supervisor?
2 Q. And then you went and then after	2 A. Yes, he is.
3 that you went where?	3 Q. Do you have anybody that reports in to
4 A. Nowhere.	4 you?
5 Q. Nowhere.	5 A. Yes, I do.
6 A. I graduated from Hickey College first	6 Q. How many people?
7 after high school.	7 A. Four.
8 Q. Hickey College, and you said that was a	8 Q. Are they trainers?
9 business degree?	9 A. They are.
10 A. Yes, secretarial program.	10 Q. Which makes you their training
11 Q. Secretarial program. And do you have	11 supervisor?
12 any training in law enforcement?	12 A. Correct.
13 A. No, I do not.	13 Q. That makes sense.
14 Q. Do you have any formal legal training?	14 Can you give me an idea of your
15 A. No, I do not.	15 day-to-day responsibilities since you've been a
16 Q. After you graduated from Hickey College	16 training supervisor?
17 and then attended for about eight credits at	17 A. I conduct the law enforcement training
18 Florissant, did you then start at REJIS?	18 classes, basically all of our training classes. I
19 A. I started at REJIS when I graduated	19 supervise those individuals that conduct the
20 from Hickey.	20 classes. We prepare the documentation, calendar.
21 Q. And what year was that?	21 I'm responsible for help desk, phone calls. I'm
22 A. '78.	also responsible for working with the applications
Q. And you've worked at REJIS continuously	23 development team to develop our applications.
24 since 1978?	Q. You said you're responsible for the law
25 A. That's correct.	25 enforcement training courses. Can you tell me a

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 6 of 56 PageID #: 2626

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 17		Page 19
1	little bit more about that? Who do you who on	1	all clients.
2	the law enforcement do you train?	2	Q. Thank you. So aside from law
3	A. We train anyone that has access to the	3	enforcement and the trainers who you train, who else
4	law enforcement files or the criminal justice files,	4	do you train?
5	whether they be in the St. Louis area or the Kansas	5	A. Courts, court clerks, court
6	City area. And that's Kansas City, Missouri, and	6	administrators, judges, prosecutors, attorneys,
7	Kansas City, Kansas, both. And then we also have	7	paralegals I'm going to call them security
8	clients in Illinois.	8	personnel that use our PS Net program, and that's
9	Q. And does that include both police	9	it.
10	officers as well as clerks?	10	Q. So anyone who accesses the systems that
11	A. It does.	11	you maintain?
12	Q. Do you train them after or before they	12	A. Correct.
13	gain access to the record systems?	13	Q. Or by "you" I mean REJIS.
14	A. Both.	14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Now, you have four people you said	15	Q. Now, do you use are these oral
16	underneath you. Do you have have you trained	16	trainings or do you use, you know, materials to
17	them since they have started as trainers?	17	assist your trainings for all these courses?
18	A. Yes, all of them.	18	A. Both.
19	Q. What does that training involve?	19	Q. About how many trainings do you
20	A. They will attend the classes that we	20	yourself conduct in any given year?
21	instruct and then they will also sit in or go to the	21	A. Separate classes or
22	agencies to observe the agencies and how they work.	22	Q. That's a good question. Let me
23	They go on ride-alongs with them, some of the	23	clarify.
24	departments. They'll go to the courts, sit in on	24	So your clarifying question is asking
25	the court classes, court proceedings.	25	whether how many courses because you could you
	Page 18		Page 20
1	We also put them on the help desk so	1	could obviously teach the same course many times.
2	that they're answering some of the phone calls or	2	A. Correct.
3	listening to the phone calls that come into the	3	Q. So let's how many courses do you
4	clients. Also work with our applications group.	4	teach in any given year? I'm not going to hold you
5	Kind of onboarding program that they'll work with	5	to the number.
6	each unit within REJIS.	6	A. I'm going to say probably 75.
7	Q. So you kind of make sure they're	7	Q. And about how many of those are of law
8	familiar with all the various end points where	8	enforcement, police officers or clerks?
9	they're going to have to be providing training?	9	A. 73, 74.
10	A. That's correct.	10	Q. Depending on the audience does the
11	Q. And you mentioned earlier you have them	11	course change or do all of these various people or
12	visit all of the agencies. Can you just be a little	12	groups that you told us about already today, do they
13	bit more specific?	13	take the same course?
14	A. What we do is we have client	14	A. The different people, the different
15	representatives that are assigned to individual	15	areas take different courses. So the private access
16	departments, and then the trainer would work with	16	people would not take the same course as a police
17	that client representative to go to some of those	17	officer would take, if I understand you correctly.
18	departments to see the operations if they're not	18	Q. How would the police officer's training
19	familiar.	19	differ from the private access folks?
20	Q. I guess my question is who are the	20	A. They have different access levels so
21	clients?	21	they would have different views, different security
22	A. Clients would be well, law	22	clearances than the private access people would
23	enforcement would be the police agencies. We have	23	have.
24	the courts. We have corrections agencies. We have	24	Q. What are the various types of access
25	prosecutors. We have private access agencies are	25	levels to REJIS?

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 7 of 56 PageID #: 2627

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 21		Page 23
1	A. We have about 60 different levels of	1	A. Yes.
2	certification, so we customize the certification	2	Q. In what topics do you train them in?
3	based on the individual's role.	3	A. They would take the regular training
4	Q. Can you give me some examples of the	4	classes, and then if the agency chooses to make them
5	types of access and certification that a police	5	trainer, that's their choice.
6	officer might have?	6	Q. So they take the same course as any
7	A. Yes. Many of the police officers would	7	other police officer, and then it's just up to the
8	have what we call a level 27 which allows them to	8	police department to say, okay, we're going to make
9	access hot file and driver vehicle registration	9	you a trainer in this area to train, you know,
10	records.	10	incoming police officers; is that fair?
11	We have some officers that are at a	11	A. Fair.
12	different level. They would have access to do entry	12	Q. Let's just talk a little bit about the
13	of arrest records in addition to their inquiry	13	REJIS database itself. Your supervisor,
14	access.	14	Mr. Meschke, previously was deposed in this case.
15	We have officers that would have full	15	He discussed a lot of this, so hopefully that will
16	access, meaning they could do all entries and all	16	be able to spare you some of the nitty-gritty
17	inquiries, and they would be a level 2.	17	details. So just who created REJIS?
18	Q. So the most common level is just being	18	A. REJIS was created out of the St. Louis
19	able to go into the system and access information	19	City Police Department.
20	that was already input, is that fair to say, among	20	Q. And has it grown to cover other
21	officers? Level 27, I think you said.	21	departments in geographical areas?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Yes, we cover yes.
23	Q. What would give an officer level 2, all	23	Q. What does it presently cover?
24	entry, all access?	24	A. St. Louis City, St. Louis County,
25	A. I don't understand your question.	25	Jefferson County, Franklin County, St. Charles
	Page 22		Page 24
1	Q. You said some officers have level 2	1	County, and you go out to the Kansas City side of
2	access which gives them all entry, all viewing.	2	the state and you'll have the Kansas City counties,
3	What would give an officer what qualifications	3	Jackson.
4	would an officer have to have in order to get that	4	And then we go over to the Kansas side,
5	level of access or that role?	5	and so you have Johnson County, Kansas area, and
6	A. The level or access role would be	6	then we also cover Illinois counties of Madison,
7	assigned by their department, so they complete an	7	Monroe, and St. Clair.
8	identification what we call an operator	8	Q. And what is a wanted?
9	identification form which identifies what access	9	A. Wanted? A wanted is based on
10	that department wants them to give them. REJIS	10	questioning, based on a police report, wanted for
11	doesn't make that determination.	11	questioning.
12	Q. Okay. Now, among all these trainings	12	Q. Is that one of the that's one of
13	do you train trainers from other agencies who then	13	the one of the applications or entries that's
14	go back and train their employees?	14	maintained within the REJIS database?
15	A. I guess I would need a little more	15	A. Yes.
16 17	clarification. Meaning do I certify them as a	16 17	Q. And how about a stop order?
18	trainer? O. No. Let me let me clarify.	18	A. Stop order is also in the REJIS
T ()	A. Okay.	19	database. O. So staying on wanted, what is the
	n. Ukayi	20	purpose of REJIS giving officers the option of
19	O So as an example police officers do		parpose or izests giving unicers alle upauli di
19 20	Q. So as an example, police officers go		entering a wanted rather than having them obtain an
19 20 21	through The Police Academy and they have in-service	21	entering a wanted rather than having them obtain an
19 20 21 22	through The Police Academy and they have in-service training, so obviously there are trainers employed	21 22	arrest warrant?
19 20 21	through The Police Academy and they have in-service training, so obviously there are trainers employed by the police department for that purpose. Do you	21	arrest warrant? A. What is the purpose?
19 20 21 22 23	through The Police Academy and they have in-service training, so obviously there are trainers employed	21 22 23	arrest warrant?

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 8 of 56 PageID #: 2628

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 25		Page 27
sends out a wanted through REJIS. Why does REJIS	1	MR. HUGHES: Just object.
2 provide that option as opposed to having them go get	2	Argumentative.
3 a you know, an arrest warrant?	3	BY MR. HOLLAND:
4 A. I don't know.	4	O. What information should be in a wanted
5 Q. Is it fair to say that arrests are	5	entry within REJIS to make it complete?
6 effectuated on the basis of the information	6	A. What are required fields for entry?
7 contained in the REJIS database?	7	Q. Correct.
8 A. Can you repeat that?	8	A. Last name, first name, race, sex, date
9 Q. So what I'm asking is: REJIS the	9	of birth or age, height, weight, eye color, hair
10 REJIS database allows for the entry of a wanted.	10	color is the pedigree information, and then the
11 A. Correct.	11	charge I've got to go down the screen.
12 Q. Is it fair to say that the information	12	The charge, the court ORI the
contained in that entry can lead to an arrest?	13	charge, not the court ORI, the date of offense, and
14 MR. FLOJO: Let me show my objection on	14	the extradition.
15 speculation.	15	Q. You mentioned court ORI. What is that?
16 MR. HUGHES: Object to the form of that	16	A. Court ORI is the court, if it is a
question. Improper foundation.	17	warrant, the court that issued the warrant.
18 BY MR. HOLLAND:	18	Q. So that would be an added requirement
19 O. You can answer.	19	if it was a warrant entry?
20 A. Answer?	20	A. Correct.
21 O. Yeah.	21	Q. And if the officer doesn't have all of
22 A. Correct.	22	-
7.11 3377 3327	23	that information just listed, can the wanted still be entered if he doesn't know his last name but
Q. So it's important that that information is accurate, correct?	24	knows his first name or doesn't know his exact
25 A. Correct.	25	
7.1 33.133.1	23	height? Do they guess or can the wanted be issued
Page 26		Page 28
1 Q. And part of your job is to ensure that	1	without some of that information?
2 the users know how to create entries that are	2	A. It's really two questions. The first
3 complete and accurate; is that fair?	3	question, you said was the name.
4 A. Correct.	4	Q. My main question is can it be can a
5 Q. And it's also your job to make sure the	5	wanted really be issued with less than all of that
6 users of that information are able to interpret it	6	information?
7 in a fair and reasonable way to know how to use it;	7	A. No.
8 is that fair?	8	Q. And once a wanted is entered into the
9 A. Fair.	9	system excuse me how long can it remain in the
Q. What happens when information in REJIS	10	system, in REJIS?
is not accurate?	11	A. That depends on the severity of the
MR. HUGHES: Just object to the form of	12	offense.
the question. It's way overbroad.	13	Q. Can you explain that?
14 MR. FLOJO: I'll join. Speculation	14	A. If it's a felony versus a misdemeanor
15 too.	15	versus a warrant excuse me ordinance, the
16 BY MR. HOLLAND:	16	length of stay in the system changes.
Q. Have you ever been aware of a situation	17	Q. Can you tell me how long the length is
18 $$ where information within a wanted entry in REJIS is	18	for each level of offense? If you don't know, you
19 inaccurate?	19	don't know.
20 A. No.	20	A. No.
Q. And you're not saying there's never	21	Q. That's fair. I don't want you to guess
been an instance where there's been inaccurate	22	today.
23 information; you're just saying you yourself aren't	23	A. No.
24 aware?	24	Q. That's fair. But wanteds can remain
25 A. That is correct.	25	withdrawn.

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 9 of 56 PageID #: 2629

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 29		Page 31
1	Are you familiar with temporary	1	state or national files.
2	wanteds?	2	Q. What does it mean not to qualify for
3	A. No.	3	the state or national files?
4	Q. Are you familiar with how long other	4	A. Meaning that when they enter
5	systems such as MULES or NCIC allow wanteds to be	5	information into our system we have files that do
6	kept in their systems?	6	not meet the criteria nor exist in those other
7	A. Wanteds?	7	systems.
8	Q. Or stop orders.	8	Q. What type of files?
9	MR. HUGHES: Yeah. Just object. It	9	A. Arrest would be a local arrest
10	assumes facts not in evidence that calls for	10	record would be only in REJIS. That doesn't get
11	speculation and conjecture.	11	sent to the state. Our arrest file stays locally.
12	MR. HOLLAND: I'm just asking her about	12	Q. Why wouldn't the state if there's a
13	her knowledge of these other systems.	13	wanted, why wouldn't statewide be interested in
14	Q. Are you familiar with MULES?	14	those local files, if you know?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Ask me one more time, please.
16	Q. Are you familiar with the fact that	16	Q. Sure. So if you enter if you're
17	MULES has entries comparable to wanteds?	17	using REJIS it has local files that if you're using
18	A. Yes.	18	MULES you just can't access. So if there's an
19	MR. HUGHES: Same objection.	19	individual who's wanted, why wouldn't the statewide
20	BY MR. HOLLAND:	20	jurisdictions, police departments be interested in
21	Q. Are you familiar with how long an entry	21	those access to those files, if you know?
22	like that can remain in MULES?	22	MR. HUGHES: My objection, calls for
23	A. Yes.	23	speculation and conjecture.
24	Q. How long?	24	MR. FLOJO: I'll join.
25	A. I can't recite them all to you off the	25	BY MR. HOLLAND:
	Page 30		Page 32
1	top of my head going down from it varies between	1	Q. You can answer.
2	warrant, wanted, stop order, temporary warrants.	2	A. They got me lost your question.
3	That all changes based on the type of entry and the	3	Q. That's okay. I'm just trying to wrap
4	severity of the charge.	4	my head around you have these three systems that
5	Q. All right. I'll come back to that	5	kind of overlap in ways. Officers use one in
6	later and maybe we'll have a document that we can	6	certain scenarios, one in another scenario. I'm
7	have some more certainty on. That will be helpful,	7	you train them on this issue.
8	I think.	8	So I'm just trying to learn from you
9	Do you train do you provide any	9	why would they use one system over the other and why
10	training on why to use REJIS instead of MULES or	10	would users of one system, MULES, not want or need
11	NCIC in any particular situation?	11	access to the same files that a user of REJIS would
12	A. I explain the differences between all	12	have.
13	three systems and what one does versus another.	13	MR. FLOJO: Same objection.
14	Q. And you do that training in the courses	14	THE WITNESS: The local if the
15	taken by police officers?	15	person is wanted on a local offense, the state does
16	A. Yes.	16	not want those records in their system. The
17	Q. Do you train them withdrawn.	17	severity level. So you have local ordinances,
18	So I assume you've been asked the	18	misdemeanors, and felonies. So if I'm wanted on a
19	question, "Why should I use REJIS instead of using	19	local offense, that department would choose not to
20	MULES?"	20	go outside a particular area, so that would mean the
21	A. Yes.	21	record would be in REJIS only.
22	Q. What would be your answer to that	22	BY MR. HOLLAND:
23	question?	23	Q. Is another benefit of using REJIS
	question:		
24	A. REJIS provides the ability to enter	24	over "benefit" was the wrong word.

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 10 of 56 PageID #: 2630

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 33		Page 35
1	use REJIS over MULES because their wanted can remain	1	O. Who are the three who are on the law
2	in the system longer?	2	enforcement team?
3	A. I can't answer that for them.	3	A. Myself, Karen Karl, and Brian Willman.
4	Q. Okay. Let's talk a little bit more	4	Q. And among you three, is there any
5	about your training role as the training supervisor.	5	specialization or do you just have a rotation of who
6	You've already said you lead trainings. The other	6	teaches which courses?
7	four that are underneath you, is it just you five	7	A. We rotate.
8	who lead the trainings that you've discussed today?	8	Q. Are these trainings recorded on video
9	A. Yes.	9	or audio?
10	Q. What qualifications does one need to	10	A. We do not record them.
11	become a REJIS trainer?	11	Q. Can you tell me what these trainings
12	A. A degree or comparable experience, high	12	are like? Where do they take place? About how
13	school diploma. That's it.	13	long?
14	Q. You said "a degree or comparable	14	A. The courses are all on the St. Louis
15	experience." What does that mean? A degree in	15	
16	what?	16	side would be from 9:00 to 4:00, and then on the Kansas City side, 8:30 to 3:30. Depending on the
17	A. In basically a degree.	17	course itself, it can go from one day to two days.
18	Q. Such as your degree in business	18	Q. I assume you don't train the entire
19	secretarial is that what your degree is?	19	-
20	• -	20	police department at once, so how is it determined
21	A. Yes. Mine is comparable service.	21	who takes, from the other side about how many
22	Q. Understood. What do you do to prepare	22	officers at once are in the course?
	for any training?		A. Our classroom holds 18. But if we're
23	A. Before I go into the classroom, I	23	using like the county academy or the city academy,
24	review all my materials, make sure that I have my	24	then they have more seats available, so you would do
25	attendance sheets, et cetera, ready to go.	25	25. Kansas City is 38 seats.
	Page 34		Page 36
1	Q. Are the materials the same as you may	1	Q. Now, do those 18 to 38 take the course
2	have used for a prior training, or do you work do	2	and then they go back and train those others who
3	you develop the materials that are then used in that	3	weren't able to attend, or do they just you train
4	training?	4	them in installments?
5	A. If it's a new system, then they're	5	A. Both.
6	developed. If it's the previous classes we've been	6	Q. Does it depend on which course?
7	teaching all along, I just check to make sure all my	7	A. No.
8	material is updated.	8	Q. So then can you explain how both would
9	Q. If it's a new training, about how much	9	apply to the answer to my question?
10	time do you spend reviewing the materials before	10	A. The agency can do their own training or
11	going in and teaching the course?	11	they can send them to class. So if they choose
12	A. Oh, a new class? Months.	12	you have to have once you receive your ID, you
13	Q. Yourself, months on a day-to-day basis	13	have six months to become certified. Certification
14	learning the material?	14	would occur through training or on-job service and
15	A. Yeah, testing the system, walking	15	testing.
16	through it, yes.	16	Q. And what does it take to become a
17	Q. How long or excuse me. Withdrawn.	17	certified REJIS user?
18	How is it determined who might lead any	18	A. That would depend on your level that
19	training? Is there a rotation?	19	you are your security is set to.
20	A. Yes, we have three people that are	20	Q. Let's focus on the level 27.
21	assigned to law enforcement and two people that are	21	A. Level 27 would be two-day course.
22	assigned to court, so they would normally they	22	Q. Just take the course and then you're
23	are participating in the law enforcement courses and	23	certified?
24	the development of those courses and then the others	24	A. The course and then the test.
	and the other	1 1	

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 11 of 56 PageID #: 2631

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 37		Page 39
1	test?	1	stored for two years.
2	A. Online test, varying degree of number	2	MR. HOLLAND: Ray, I would ask if we
3	of questions based on the course.	3	could, you know, see a copy of the exams that have
4	Q. All right. We'll talk about that in a	4	been provided over the last couple of years.
5	minute, but why don't we just focus on the two-day	5	MR. FLOJO: I'll discuss that with my
	course itself. What topics are covered?	6	witness and REJIS.
7	A. Which two-day course?	7	BY MR. HOLLAND:
8	O. So the two-day course that would then	8	Q. So just so I understand the
	lead to a level 27 certification.	9	certification process, the officers take this
10	A. Okay. That would be a basic REJIS	10	two-day course and if they pass the test they then
	introduction. That would be followed by the hot	11	have level 27 access to REJIS; is that fair?
	file and then Department of Revenue and inlets	12	A. Once they have the user ID, yes.
	driver history and vehicle registrations.	13	Q. What if there are updates to REJIS
14	Q. Anything else?	14	thereafter, do they have to recertify after any
15	A. No.	15	period of time to, you know, take another test to
16	O. And then the exam would be on a	16	see to see if they're still qualified?
	separate day?	17	A. Every two years.
18	A. Same day at the end end of day two.	18	Q. Each REJIS user every two years has to
19	Q. About how many questions are on that	19	take the exam a new exam and recertify; is that
	test?	20	correct?
21	A. I believe 30.	21	A. That is correct.
22	O. Mr. Meschke told us that your staff is	22	Q. What percentage, if any, of the
	responsible for drafting the questions of that exam;	23	officers do not pass the the exam on their first
	is that right?	24	·
25	A. That is correct.	25	try? A. I don't know the number.
23		23	A. I don't know the number.
	Page 38		Page 40
1	Q. How do you come up with the questions?	1	Q. Would you say that more pass the test
2	A. Looking at the material, determining	2	than fail?
3	what are the most important points that we want them	3	A. Yes.
4	to realize going out of that course.	4	Q. Do you know if there's a test to access
5	Q. Has the exam changed at all since	5	MULES or NCIC?
6	you've been the training supervisor the last ten	6	A. Repeat that, please.
7	years?	7	Q. Do you know if there's a similar or
8	A. Yes.	8	I don't want to say "similar." I don't want to
9	Q. About how many times has it changed?	9	characterize it. Do you know if there is a test
10	A. Well, we went from paper to automated	10	that officers must take in order to access MULES or
11	and then it's a bank of questions and always	11	NCIC?
12	changing the questions.	12	MR. HUGHES: Objection. Calls for
13	Q. So each year, or maybe after each	13	speculation and conjecture.
14	course, you do, you know, a review, maybe the manual	14	THE WITNESS: MULES, yes.
15	has been updated and maybe you look at the answers	15	BY MR. HOLLAND:
16	of the officers and you kind of focus in on, you	16	Q. Have you seen that test?
17	know, what other questions might be, you know,	17	A. Yes.
18	necessary; is that fair?	18	Q. Did it in any way inform how the
19	A. Correct, that's fair.	19	questions you drafted for the REJIS test?
20	Q. Do you keep copies of each of these	20	A. No.
21	tests?	21	Q. Would you say it's comparable to the
22	A. Yes.	22	tests that you drafted for REJIS users?
23	Q. Is that something we would be able to	23	A. Yes.
24	look at?	24	Q. Same amount of questions?
25	A. They're automated online. They're	25	A. I don't remember.

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 12 of 56 PageID #: 2632

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 41		Page 43
1	Q. So during this two-day test, about how	1	been certified?
2	much time is spent on wanteds?	2	A. It happens after, and it would again be
3	A. Two-day class.	3	based on their certification level. What has their
4	Q. Sorry, I misspoke. Withdrawn.	4	agency given them with regard to their security.
5	During this two-day course, about how	5	Q. So let me just see if I'm understanding
6	much time is spent on wanteds?	6	you. There's a to gain level 27 access, officers
7	A. Could you be more specific what you	7	take a two-day course followed by an exam. During
8	mean by that?	8	that two-day course some amount of time is spent on
9	Q. So we've talked a little bit this	9	wanteds but more about how to understand the
10	morning about the entries you can use within the	10	information they're reading in each of the entries
11	REJIS system, correct?	11	that are a part of that wanted; is that correct?
12	A. Correct.	12	A. Part of the response.
13	Q. One of those entries is wanteds?	13	Q. Part of the response.
14	A. Correct.	14	A. Responses.
15	Q. How much of the training course to	15	Q. Responses. The information in the
16	police officers to gain level 27 access is spent on	16	wanted?
17	wanteds?	17	A. Is one of them.
18	A. I don't know the time but we go through	18	Q. And then they pass the exam, they're
19	every single file that they would get as a response	19	certified, they're given a user ID, and then they
20		20	
	and what each of those files, the information being returned means.		have access to REJIS and at that point there's a
21		21	separate course; is that right?
22	Q. So is this training as it relates to		A. No.
23	wanteds, is it more of technical in terms of the	23	Q. An intro course you said?
24	logistics and how to enter information, what	24	A. No.
25	information is needed to get that wanted sent out?	25	Q. Well, then I'm confused, so please
	Page 42		Page 44
1	A. Not at all.	1	explain it to me.
2	Q. So then can you explain to me	2	A. Once once if they are assigned
3	explain to me what you teach these officers about	3	if I am given my agency fills out an operator
4	wanteds.	4	identification form. I'm a new officer, level 27.
5	A. You teach them how to read the records.	5	They complete the form. We create their user ID.
6	What data you input, what is being returned, and	6	If it is a new course, a recruit class that I'm
7	what is being returned you go through field by field	7	teaching, I will go to the academy, one of the three
8	explaining to them what those fields are and how to	8	academies, and I will teach the two-day course.
9	read those records.	9	At that point in time they are done,
10	Q. Do you train them on what information	10	they are certified. I might see them again in two
11	will be needed when they're calling into a clerk or	11	years or I might never see them again because
12	REJIS operator about what they want added to the	12	they'll just test online.
13	wanted entry?	13	Q. You mentioned this separate
14	A. Not in that course.	14	introductory course. That's what I'm wondering what
15	Q. Is there another course where that	15	that is.
16	comes up?	16	A. That's the REJIS introduction is a
17	A. It would be in the entry course as to	17	one-day course that is added to that level 27. It's
18	what's required.	18	part of their course or it's taught separately.
19	Q. Is the entry course part of that	19	That's going to depend on what other courses you're
20	two-day course that we've been discussing or is that	20	taking.
21	separate?	21	So if I'm, for example, a level 2
22	A. Separate.	22	operator, I'm going to take seven different courses,
23	Q. When does that happen? As it relates	23	one of which is the REJIS introduction, one might be
24	to that two-day course, does it happen before or	24	an inquiry, one would be an entry, et cetera.
25	does it happen then after they've gained access and	25	Q. Okay. And within that introductory
ر ع	according their arter tries ve gamen access and	1 2 7	4. Own. and Minim that incloductory

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 13 of 56 PageID #: 2633

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 45		Page 47
1	course, there's a section about the information	1	A. Not every single agency that uses
2	needed to have a wanted entered; is that correct?	2	REJIS, no.
3	A. We explain each of the law enforcement	3	Q. Representatives from the St. Louis
4	files, what information is contained in that file,	4	County Police Department?
5	and, yes, they may ask me what is what fields you	5	A. Depending on the application, yes.
6	have, but I don't specifically say, "If you're going	6	Q. Which application would they be on a
7	to create a wanted record, put these fields in."	7	user group for?
8	Q. Do you train officers on when it is	8	A. I don't know specific ones they were
9	appropriate to seek a wanted?	9	on. I know that they participated in like our hot
10	A. No, I do not.	10	files.
11	Q. If REJIS does not train officers on	11	Q. Can you just give me an explanation of
12	when it is appropriate to seek a wanted, how does	12	hot files?
13	REJIS know what fields are appropriate for a wanted	13	A. Hot files are what we consider
14	entry?	14	information that the officer is going to get when
15	A. That's in the database design.	15	they pull over a vehicle. Is the person wanted? Is
16	Q. Who designed the database?	16	the vehicle stolen? Is the person missing? Is the
17	A. Our programming staff.	17	vehicle wanted or stolen? It's
18	Q. Where would they learn which fields are	18	Q. Can you give me an idea of have you
19	appropriate to include?	19	attended these user groups?
20	A. That would be from the agencies because	20	A. Yes.
21	we have committees or user groups that we work with.	21	Q. Can you give me an idea of typical
22	Q. So the police department tells REJIS	22	discussion within a user group relating to the
23	what fields it needs for its wanted entries, your	23	wanted application?
24	programmers create that, and then the officers come	24	A. No, I can't.
25	back and from The Police Academy training would	25	Q. How often do those user group meetings
	Page 46		Page 48
1	know what information to provide to complete the	1	happen?
2	fields that then result in a wanted; is that fair?	2	A. Depends on the application. I mean, we
3	MR. HUGHES: My only objection to the	3	have a quarterly TAC meeting which is all our
4	question is you said police department singular, and	4	Terminal Agency Coordinators where we talk about new
5	she just said agencies plural.	5	changes that are coming up, so all of our agencies
6	BY MR. HOLLAND:	6	are invited to those.
7	Q. Yes, I was speaking each police	7	We also have specific focus groups
8	department tells you which that's fair. Police	8	where we bring people in that are knowledgeable in
9	departments tell you which withdrawn.	9	that area. If I'm making a change to my gang file,
10	So police departments tell REJIS which	10	I want gang officers to be a part of that
11	fields are needed for a wanted entry, REJIS program	11	discussion.
12	development creates that database, and then officers	12	Q. You mentioned TAC, which I was going to
13	come back and know which information to provide in	13	ask you about. Terminal Agency Coordinator meetings
14	order to complete a wanted entry; is that fair?	14	occur; is that correct?
15	A. They do not tell us put this field and	15	A. Correct.
16	this field on every screen that we create for them.	16	Q. Who attends a representative from
17	So we have what we call user groups that participate	17	each agency attends those meetings?
18	that we discuss if we're going to create a new	18	A. Not every meeting but they're required
19	application what elements do they want in that	19	to attend at least two meetings.
20	application.	20	Q. Can you tell me who the representative
21	Q. Who is who's on these user groups?	22	from St. Louis County's Police Department
23	A. It varies based on the application that	23	withdrawn.
24	we're designing. Q. Representatives from each of the	24	Can you tell me who the representative on TAC was over the past five years from the
, 4	o. Nebresentatives ilvili cacli VI tile	4	
25	agencies would be on these user groups?	25	St. Louis County Police Department?

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 14 of 56 PageID #: 2634

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 49		Page 51
1 A. Lieutenant Jeff Burk.	1		witness.)
2 Q. What was the purpose of these	meetings? 2	BY	MR. HOLLAND:
3 A. Our TAC meetings are to inform our		0.	Are you familiar with this document?
4 clients of system changes, new systems that v		_	I am.
5 going to introduce, covering things that have	5		How so?
6 changed system changes since the last mee	etina, 6	•	My unit created it.
7 and anything outside of the REJIS area, for ex	I		Do you know when you created it?
8 what's changed in MULES and NCIC that would	· ·	•	I do not.
9 affect them.	9		You said your unit. Were you
10 Q. How do you learn about the cha	nges from 10	_	ally involved in drafting this document?
MULES and NCIC so that you're able to i	-		My senior trainer actually developed
12 clients?	12	the docu	, ,
13 A. MULES would post it on their websit	e, 13		Who is that?
14 their next test launch pad. We also are in	14	•	Karen Karl.
communications with the MULES trainers. And	d then 15		And you said you don't know when it was
16 NCIC sends out a technical and operational up		رب drafted	
and we get those.	17		There's no date on this. I don't know.
18 Q. Is it someone's job at REJIS to	monitor 18		That's why I asked. Do you know if
19 these websites and postings?	19	_	n updated since well, strike that.
20 A. All of the trainers' responsibilities.	20		Has this been in existence for the past
21 Q. You said you coordinate with tra	I	five yea	- I
22 at MULES. What does that entail? Do yo	I	-	Yes.
23 them on a regular basis?	23		Ten years?
24 A. Regular, we attend their TAC meeting	I	-	No. This document you're having me
25 They also have a TAC meeting and we attend		look at?	The this decument you're having me
	Page 50		Page 52
1 have those twice a year, and we attend those.	And 1	Q.	Correct.
then anytime something is changing in their sy	stem, 2	A.	No, it has not.
3 they will usually email us and say, "We are ma	king a 3	Q.	So this was created sometime in the
4 change to our system, wanted to make you av	are of 4	period	after you became the training supervisor?
5 it," and then we talk to them about that chang	e. 5	A.	Correct.
6 Q. And at the MULES TAC meetings	, do 6	Q.	Do you know if it's been updated in
7 representatives from agencies attend as		that tin	ne?
8 A. Yes.	8	A.	Yes.
9 Q. Was Lieutenant Burk the represent		Q.	About how many times, if you know?
10 from St. Louis County for those meetings	as well? 10		I don't know.
11 A. Yes.	11	Q.	How does your unit use this document?
12 Q. You said those occurred twice a	·	A.	This is part of what we give out in our
13 How often were the REJIS TAC meetings	? Did you say 13	wanted	entry class. And it's published on our
14 quarterly?	14	extranet	site.
15 A. Quarterly.	15	Q.	You just said "wanted entry class."
16 Q. Are you familiar with St. Louis C	· I	A.	Uh-huh.
17 Police Department's general orders or po	licies on 17	-	Is that different than what we've
18 wanteds and other teletypes?	18	discuss	ed the classes we've discussed already?
19 A. No, I'm not.	19	A.	Different from the level 27?
20 Q. Do you strike that.	20	Q.	Correct.
21 Let's take a look at some materia	-	A.	Yes.
22 that I understand REJIS created. This ha	22	Q.	Can you tell me about the wanted entry
23 previously been marked as Gomez Exhibi	t 3. 23	class.	
24 (Previously marked Exhibit	24	A.	Wanted entry class is a single-day
25 Gomez 3 was shown to the	25	class wh	ere we cover the entry of wanted and missing

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 15 of 56 PageID #: 2635

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

		Page 53		Page 55
1	persons.		1	Q. I guess the document is changed because
2	•	Who takes that course?	2	a decision has been made to change the designation;
3	•	Anyone that has access to wanted or	3	is that fair?
4	missing e	•	4	A. That's fair.
5	_	What level access would they have?	5	Q. So I guess I'm more interested in who
6	_	That varies.	6	made that decision, if you know.
7		Level 27?	7	A. To change from adding like contact and
8		No.	8	advise?
9		So level 27 access you would not take a	9	O. Correct.
10		where this is discussed?	10	A. That contact and advise was based on a
11		This document?	11	
				decision by our Law Enforcement Policy Advisory
12	_	Gomez Exhibit 3.	12	Committee that we were going to add a contact and
13		No.	13	advise category. So once that change comes down,
14		Level 2 access you would?	14	our programming staff makes the change, I update the
15		Yes.	15	documentation or Karen updates the documentation to
16	_	Now, the CARE operators, are they	16	correspond with that change.
17	level 2?		17	Q. You said, "Law Enforcement Policy
18		CARE operators are level 55.	18	Advisory Committee." Is that also referred to as
19	_	What is level 55 access?	19	LEPAC?
20	A.	55 is they do not do arrest entry. So	20	A. Correct.
21	it's all ind	quiries and entries except arrests.	21	Q. I'll ask you some questions about that
22	Q.	So it would be all of these entries?	22	a little later.
23	A.	That is correct.	23	At the TAC meeting, what would the
24	Q.	And by "these," I'm talking about	24	changes would this document and any changes
25	warrant	records, temporary wanted records, wanted	25	thereto be discussed after LEPAC has made certain
		Page 54		Page 56
1	records	, person of interest records, contact and	1	recommendations? I'm just trying to understand
2	advise ı	records?	2	what's discussed at these TAC meetings strike
3	A.	Correct.	3	that. I'll move on.
4	Q.	Was this document discussed at any of	4	Do you then use this document during
5	the TAC	meetings that you attended?	5	your trainings?
6	A.	Yes.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q.	How so?	7	Q. During the wanted entry class, what do
8	A.	This was presented at the TAC meeting	8	you what do you how do you use this during
9	when ch	anges were made from going from stop orders	9	those trainings?
10		ns of interest to adding a contact and	10	A. This is a document to support to
11	advise.	-	11	help the client understand what's required, what's
12	Q.	Who was involved in making those	12	the differences between them. It's a little
13	decisio		13	complicated, so it helps them kind of another
14		Making the decisions about?	14	tool that we use to help them clarify what's the
15		Sorry, I spoke over you. You've been	15	difference between each one, where does it go, how
16	_	oday. You haven't done it once. Go ahead,	16	does it affect them.
17	I'm sor		17	Q. Is it fair to say this is a tool for
18		Making the decisions about?	18	the officers to know which interface to use in
19		The changes you just mentioned, going	19	certain in various circumstances they encounter?
20		op order to person of interest, for example.	20	A. A tool for the officers?
21		Who made those changes?	21	Q. Yes, or is it fair to strike that.
22		Correct. Who made those decisions?	22	Is it fair to say that this document is
23	Q.		23	a tool for users of REJIS to know which interface to
24		To change the document?	24	
25	Q.	Correct.	25	use in a certain situation?
	Δ	I did.	_ Z O	A. Yes, it could help them.

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 16 of 56 PageID #: 2636

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 57	Page 59
1 Q. Is it fair to say that the that	If a REJIS agency created the temporary
2 REJIS is the only interface that you can use in each	2 wanted, it would go to MULES and NCIC based on the
3 of these five scenarios?	3 charge and checking the flag. I cannot speak on how
4 A. No.	4 a MULES agency creates the record, but it's not
5 Q. If we look at the document, so you	5 stored in the MULES system. They're stored I
6 have I have five scenarios. I'm saying warrant	6 should say passed through to NCIC.
7 record, temporary wanted, wanted, person of	7 Q. So what's the difference between a
8 interest, contact and advise.	8 temporary wanted record and a wanted record then?
9 Am I correct that REJIS is the only	9 A. A temporary wanted is going to be based
interface listed in the far-right column for each of	on the severity of the charge and the extradition
11 those entries?	that the agency chooses to use.
12 A. No, REJIS is not the only interface.	Q. If the only difference between a wanted
13 Q. For all five.	and a warrant record is court issued versus police
14 A. For all five, yes.	14 issued, what's what's again, what's the
15 Q. Would you agree that the only	purpose of a wanted? Why wouldn't you just why
difference between a warrant record and a wanted is	wouldn't the officer go get a warrant?
17 that a warrant record is court issued and a wanted	17 MR. HUGHES: Objection. Calls for
18 is police issued?	18 speculation and conjecture. Improper foundation.
19 A. Yes.	19 Argumentative.
Q. So is it fair to say that NCIC and	20 BY MR. HOLLAND:
21 MULES allow for a temporary wanted that does not	Q. I'll withdraw the question.
have court authority but views anything beyond	What is REJIS's purpose for providing a
23 temporary as unnecessary because of the warrant	wanted option if there's also the warrant option?
24 requirement?	A. Our purpose is to allow the agencies to
A. That's incorrect.	create records based on their policy or procedures.
Page 58	Page 60
1 Q. How so?	1 Q. So from REJIS's perspective there's a
2 A. Persons of interest.	2 wanted and a warrant record option because the
3 Q. I'm focusing on wanteds, so let's leave	3 police agencies ask you to have those options
4 person of interest and contact and advise out. So	4 available?
5 you have the top three are warrant, temporary	5 A. Correct.
6 wanted, and wanted.	6 Q. Have you ever heard that wanteds enable
7 A. Okay.	7 officers to circumvent the warrant requirement?
8 Q. The first two MULES and NCIC allow	8 A. No.
9 those entries, but the third, a straight wanted,	9 Q. Do you agree with that statement?
only REJIS allows; is that correct?	10 MR. HUGHES: Objection. Argumentative.
A. Correct, using the term or file name	11 MR. HOLLAND: If you know.
12 "wanted," yes.	12 THE WITNESS: I don't.
Q. Correct. So MULES and NCIC allow a	13 BY MR. HOLLAND:
14 file named "temporary wanted," "wanted," for only 48	14 Q. You can put that aside.
15 hours; is that correct?	15 Let's take a look at a few other
A. NCIC only. That's not correct for	documents that I think you'll be familiar with. I'm
17 MULES.	17 handing you what have previously been marked Gomez
18 Q. How so?	18 Exhibit 16, 17, and 18.
A. MULES is a year they don't have	19 (Previously marked Exhibits
20 let me back up, please.	Gomez 16-18 were shown to the
MULES does not have a temporary wanted	21 witness.)
file. That is an NCIC file. The record would be	22 BY MR. HOLLAND:
created, based on the client, would it be a	23 Q. There you go.
24 REJIS-created record or would a MULES agency create	24 A. Thank you.
25 the record?	Q. Are you familiar with these documents?

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 17 of 56 PageID #: 2637

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 61	Page 63
1 A. I am.	1 Q. Do you know when the previous version
2 Q. More familiar than you'd like to be?	2 would have been drafted?
3 How are you familiar with these documents?	3 A. Not off the top of my head, I don't.
4 A. These are the documents that we use to	4 Q. If it exists do you think you still
5 train wanted entry wanted missing person entries.	5 have it?
6 Q. So these are the materials used during	6 A. I don't know.
7 that wanted entry class that you just mentioned?	7 Q. Okay.
8 A. Correct.	8 A. I can't guarantee that.
9 Q. Did you draft these documents?	9 O. That's fair.
10 A. I did not.	10 Let's take a look at well, strike
11 Q. Do you know who did?	11 that.
12 A. I do.	12 Why don't you tell me how you use these
13 O. Who is that?	documents during your trainings during the wanted
14 A. Karen Karl.	entry class. Do you walk through page by page or do
	you just kind of hand the document out and give them
15 Q. That's your senior trainer? 16 A. That is.	16 an overview?
17 Q. Is she responsible for initially	17 A. The document gets handed out. When I
18 drafting these and any updates thereafter?	teach it, I do not teach page by page. I teach from
19 A. She's responsible for drafting those	19 my experience in going through I use this as a
20 documents.	20 reference guide, point out to the students where
	21 they need to go to make their notes, et cetera, but,
Q. Have there been updates since she drafted them?	22 no, I don't read line by line, page by page.
23 A. Yes.	23 Q. And just to reclarify, the officers who
24 Q. When did Karen first draft these	24 would have level 27 access do not take these
25 documents?	25 courses?
Page 62	Page 64
1 A. I don't know the specific date.	1 A. Correct. This course.
2 Q. Since you've become training	2 Q. This course. Excuse me. But the CARE
3 supervisor?	3 operators who are entering the information, they
4 A. Oh, yes.	4 would take this course?
5 Q. Within the past five years?	5 A. They could take the course.
6 A. Yes.	6 Q. Could. Okay.
7 Q. So the three documents I handed you	7 Who who's required to take the
8 have three separate dates. If you look I don't	8 wanted entry class, if anyone?
9 think it's on the cover, but if you turn the page in	9 A. No one is required to.
the legend on the bottom, I believe Gomez Exhibit 17	Q. Who makes the decision on whether they
updated 7/2014; do you see that?	11 take the course or not?
12 A. I do.	12 A. Their agency.
Q. Gomez Exhibit 16, updated January 2016;	Q. Is it fair to say that these manuals
14 do you see that?	are used for training people on how to use a system
15 A. I do.	15 that has legal ramifications such as inputting
Q. And Gomez Exhibit 18, updated	16 information that could in and of itself lead to an
January 2017, so earlier this year; do you see that?	17 arrest?
18 A. Yes, I do.	A. Just repeat it one more time, please.
Q. Other than these three versions are you	Q. Is it fair to say that these manuals
aware of any others?	are used to train people on how to use a system that
A. There could be.	has legal ramifications such as inputting
Q. Do you say that because the Gomez 17	information that could itself lead to a person's
updated 7/2014 suggests that a previous version	23 arrest?
24 existed?	24 A. That's correct.
25 A. Yes.	25 Q. With that in mind, do you know if any

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 18 of 56 PageID #: 2638

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 65	Page 67
1 lawyers were involved in drafting these materials?	1 Did I read that correctly?
2 A. They were not.	2 A. You did.
3 Q. Did you	3 Q. And if we turn to Gomez Exhibit 18
4 A. In our training material?	4 which is the January 2017 version, and that's the
5 Q. Correct.	5 current version, correct? You're not aware of
6 Did you or Karen or any of your	6 anything updating within the past two months?
other or anyone else at REJIS, were you advised	7 A. Yes, I mean, this document has had one
8 by any counsel about the ramifications of a wanted?	8 listed multiple times, and I don't think this is
9 A. No, we were not.	9 Q. Correct.
10 Q. Do any of the let me strike that.	10 A. No, this is not a good
Does the wanted entry course include	11 Q. Are you looking at Gomez Exhibit 18?
12 any training on probable cause?	12 A. I am, sir.
13 A. I don't know what you mean by "training	13 Q. So on the bottom there we've added
14 on probable cause."	so this was a document that Mr. Flojo provided us,
	and on the bottom we've added Bates stamps DEF-SUPP;
15 Q. Training on, you know, what amounts to 16 probable cause?	16 do you see that?
17 A. No.	17 A. Yes, I do.
1	18 Q. So those are just identifiers so we can
, ,	19 all be on the same page in case pagination is either
The second secon	20 missing or confusing. So if you go to the bottom of
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
,	
would make in that course.	7.11 2 50.10 10 11 00 00 00 00 00 11 2 111
25 Q. So it's mentioned in the course as a	25 looking at this, our page number goes 567911, so
Page 66	Page 68
1 factor of a wanted, requirement of a wanted, but not	1 this is not I would say this is not the current
2 what it is; is that fair?	2 document. It's page 1 multiple times.
3 A. Fair.	3 Q. Yes, mine goes 56789 and then 11 as
4 Q. And that's because you as trainers	4 well.
5 don't have any legal background, so you're not	5 A. So
6 qualified to make that determination or teach on it;	6 Q. This is part of the reason why we added
7 is that fair?	7 these identifiers on the bottom right.
8 A. I have no legal background, correct.	8 A. So I wouldn't I would not guarantee
9 Q. Let's take a look at page 4 of each of	9 that this is the current document.
these documents. Let's start with Gomez Exhibit 16.	10 Q. Well, I'll just ask you to coordinate
So this is the updated January 2016	11 with Ray and try to see if you can find the current
version, page 4. At the top there it says "Wanted	12 document.
vs. Warrant - What's the difference?" Do you see	13 A. Oh, I can find it.
14 that?	14 Q. Thank you.
15 A. Yes.	But just looking at page 4 of this
Q. Is that something you cover in this	document, and understanding that it may not be the
17 wanted entry class?	17 current version, just in that same area we looked at
18 A. Yes.	in the 2016 version, it reads the same paragraph,
19 Q. And then the first record type listed	19 correct, where the wanted record teletype or record
there is wanted. And it says, "Subject is wanted	20 type is described?
21 for questioning. There is no warrant associated	21 A. Correct.
22 with the record. The law enforcement officer	Q. And then if we go to Gomez 17, page 4,
entering the wanted information must have probable	23 are you there?
24 cause to believe that the person committed the crime	24 A. Iam.
25 prior to entering a REJIS wanted on that person."	Q. In the same area it's a little bit

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 19 of 56 PageID #: 2639

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 69	Page 71
different. It says, "Record type: Wanted. The	1 Q. Do you remember exactly when this
2 police are interested in questioning a subject prior	2 happened?
3 to asking for a judge's order. Wanted records are	3 A. Not the exact date, no.
4 maintained in REJIS but not MULES and NCIC."	4 Q. So after this change was made, was
5 So my first question is the language	5 there a training that focused on this new language?
6 regarding probable cause is is not in this 2014	6 A. It would be incorporated into the
7 version; is that right?	7 training and the screen change would have been
8 A. That is correct.	8 discussed at our TAC meetings and possibly
9 Q. And my second question is, "Wanted	9 probably a service announcement would have been sent
10 records are maintained in REJIS but not MULES or	10 that that change has been made.
11 NCIC"; what does that mean?	11 Q. What do you recall about the discussion
12 A. That's not a correct statement. That	12 at the TAC meeting?
13 would be wrong.	13 A. I don't recall.
Q. Wrong now or wrong even in July 2014?	14 Q. Officers who were trained prior to this
15 A. Wrong in July of 2014.	change being made, did they have to come back in for
16 Q. How so?	16 new training after the language was added to the
17 A. In that MULES MULES and NCIC both	17 manual?
18 accepted wanted records for felony offenses with	18 A. Officers, no. I mean, no one is
19 state or partial extradition.	19 required to come back in to train.
Q. Do you think the discrepancy here is	20 Q. Strike that.
between wanteds and temporary wanteds or you just	Because this was not a required course
22 think this is wrong?	22 to begin with?
23 A. This is wrong.	23 A. For an officer with level 21 27
Q. Do you know why the probable cause	24 access, you're correct.
25 language was added to the 2016 version?	25 Q. You said it wasn't required for anyone.
Page 70	Page 72
1 A. Why it was added?	1 A. That's correct.
2 Q. So sometime between July 2014 and	2 Q. And you also mentioned an announcement
3 January 2016 a decision was made to add the probable	3 might be sent out. Can you tell me more about that?
4 cause language to the description of a wanted within	4 A. With all of our system changes, we send
5 this wanted entry manual. Do you know why that	5 out a service announcement where there's going to be
6 decision was made?	6 changes that the people are going to see like a
7 A. LEPAC asked that it be placed in our	7 screen change or those kind of things. We send out
8 documentation and on our entry screen.	8 our post send-out and post a service announcement.
9 Q. Do you know who at LEPAC asked you to	9 Q. Who receives that announcement?
10 do that?	10 A. The REJIS TACs and agency heads.
11 A. LEPAC is a committee. Specifically	11 Q. So anybody who's a representative on
who, I'm not involved in those meetings.	12 TAC or an agency head receives that announcement?
Q. Who told you that the change had been	13 A. It's emailed to all of them.
14 made strike that.	14 Q. So someone like Lieutenant Jeff Burk
15 Who told you that the decision had been	15 would have received that announcement?
16 made to add probable cause language to the wanted	16 A. Should have.
17 entry manual?	17 Q. Let's take a look at Gomez 17, page 24.
18 A. Our programming staff went through a	18 Excuse me, I misspoke.
19 change control.	19 Let's start with Gomez 16, page 24.
Q. Who specifically on the programming	20 And I didn't say it earlier, but you're free to take
21 staff?	21 a break at any point if you need the bathroom. I'd
22 A. I don't know that.	22 just ask, you know, if a question is pending we wait
Q. Did Marc Meschke tell you that that	23 until you answer the question.
24 change had been made?	24 A. Sure.
A. He was not my director at that time.	25 Q. So we're on Gomez 16, page 24. This is

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 20 of 56 PageID #: 2640

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 73	Page 75
a 2016 version, and I think in our discussion a	change. That goes through three iterations of
2 moment ago you talked about a screen change	2 change control meetings so that we're all aware of
3 A. Correct.	3 what's being changed.
4 Q following this update to the manual.	4 And then our responsibility is then to
5 Is the screen change seen on this page?	5 update the clients the day the change takes place,
6 A. Yes.	6 notify them prior to, if necessary, and update our
7 O. Where is it?	7 documentation.
8 A. About the middle of the page in the	8 Q. So you're telling me hopefully on one
9 kind of light gray area between "suppressed	9 end what's happening, but presumably before the
10 indictment" and "wanted message."	programmer knows to make chose changes and run the
11 Q. So the one that says, "Wanted entry.	11 test, a decision has been made by someone to add
12 Subject is wanted for questioning. There's no	12 that screen; is that fair?
warrant associated with the record. The law	13 A. That's fair.
enforcement officer entering the wanted information	14 Q. Do you know who was involved on that
15 must have probable cause to believe that the person	end making that decision to add the screen?
16 committed the crime prior to entering a REJIS wanted	16 A. To this change, LEPAC requested the
on that person."	17 change.
18 A. Correct.	18 Q. Do you know if there's a record of
19 Q. And that was added new in January 2016,	19 LEPAC's request of the change?
20 correct?	20 A. I do not know that.
21 A. The	21 Q. Mr. Meschke had told us that the reason
,	22 for this change came from MULES. Do you is that
Q. Or excuse me. It's in the January 2016 version, correct?	23 your understanding as well?
1	,
1 221 221	- That has a charmon and a charmon
Q. Time is the real contract contract and page.	5.000
Page 74	Page 76
1 This is the July 2014 version, page 24. Would you	1 Q. So let's just focus in on this new
2 agree with me that that message is not on that page?	2 screen. And who would be seeing this this
3 A. That is correct.	3 screen?
4 Q. So would you agree that it was added in	4 A. Anyone that has the capability to enter
5 the January 2016 version?	5 a wanted record.
6 A. It was present in the January 2016	6 Q. So no officer with level 27 access
7 version.	7 would see this screen; is that fair?
8 Q. Was it in any version prior to the	8 A. That's fair.
9 January 2016 version that you know of?	9 Q. The person who determined whether
10 A. I don't know.	10 there's probable cause would not be seeing this
Q. Do you recall this being something	11 screen; is that fair?
discussed as at one of your TAC meetings as being	12 A. Person who that's fair.
13 added to the manual?	13 Q. So the person who's entering
14 A. Not added to the manual, but it would	14 information would just be seeing a pop-up box
have been a system change, so we would have showed	15 warning them that in order for this wanted to be
16 them a screen shot and what the change was. We	16 entered, the officer must have probable cause,
would not have issued them a new manual at that	17 correct?
18 meeting.	18 A. It's not a pop-up box. It's a one-page
19 Q. But it was in addition to the screen	19 entry screen and
20 that users who are entering a wanted would see?	20 Q. That's fair, sorry for the
21 A. Correct.	21 characterization.
Q. Do you recall who told you that it	So the person who's seeing this screen
23 would be added to the screen?	and having to click "Submit" for one of these four
24 A. No. It goes through what we call a	24 options is is not in a position to determine
25 change control process. So the programmer makes the	25 whether there's probable cause, correct?

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 21 of 56 PageID #: 2641

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 77		Page 79
1	A. With based on their level of access?	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:09.
2	Q. Let's say it's a CARE coordinator who's	2	We're back on the record.
3	entering the information to complete a wanted entry,	3	BY MR. HOLLAND:
4	and they see this screen and they have to click	4	Q. Ms. Jennings, before we took a break
5	"Submit," "Reset," "Cancel," or "Help." They're not	5	this morning we were talking about one issue that I
6		6	
7	qualified themselves to make a probable cause	7	would like to try to clarify.
8	determination, correct?	8	I asked you earlier about how entries
-	A. I don't know if they are or aren't.		came to be within the focusing specifically on
9	Q. Did you do you think this	9	wanteds, how they came to be, and I had asked you
10	represented a change in requirements for wanteds?	10	whether REJIS created them or and I believe you
11	MR. HUGHES: Objection. Calls for	11	said that the agencies tell you which options or
12	speculation and conjecture on her part.	12	entry fields to include and then the programmers go
13	MR. FLOJO: Join.	13	about adding those fields and that's how it appears
14	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the	14	in REJIS.
15	question.	15	Is that an accurate description?
16	BY MR. HOLLAND:	16	A. Yes.
17	Q. I'm just trying to understand what	17	Q. So the agencies at their own at
18	purpose adding this screen served.	18	their own place come up with what fields they want
19	A. Adding this wording to the screen?	19	to have included when their officers go and tell a
20	Q. So this screen as we looked in the 2014	20	coordinator, whoever it might be, to enter a wanted.
21	didn't include this message about wanteds requiring	21	REJIS programmers take that information, develop the
22	probable cause, and in 2016 it did. Do you know	22	database, the screen, and run it through tests, and
23	what the purpose for including it was?	23	then that's how it appears; is that correct?
24	A. No, I do not know the background on	24	A. One way, yes.
25	that.	25	Q. One way. Can you tell me each way that
	Page 78		Page 80
1	Q. Can you think of any good reason why it	1	it could happen?
2	was added?	2	A. Other ways could be it would be a
3	MR. HUGHES: Objection. It's	3	change that is mandated by NCIC that comes to us
4	overbroad.	4	through the technical operational update. They
5	THE WITNESS: Again, as I said before,	5	would notify the Highway Patrol would be
6	it was at the request of LEPAC.	6	notified. They would notify us. So those kind of
7	BY MR. HOLLAND:	7	changes. Legislative changes would come in through
8	Q. Did this new screen impact how you	8	that same process.
9	trained CARE coordinators?	9	Q. So rather than speaking in
10	A. Impact how I trained CARE coordinators?	10	hypotheticals, let's talk about the current wanted
11	Q. I'll strike the question.	11	screen, the fields that you listed earlier as being
12	-	12	,
	Did this new screen impact the way you took that you trained CARE coordinators who took	13	requirements. Those fields were told by the
13 14	•	14	agencies to REJIS that those are the ones that they
	your wanted entry class?		wanted included; is that fair?
15	A. Not them specifically. I would explain	15	A. Wanteds was before my time, the actual
16	it to all of the students who would take the class	16	system creation, so I wouldn't swear to that.
17	when that was placed down there and it's bold red	17	Q. Your understanding?
18	and why it's there.	18	A. Yes.
19	MR. HOLLAND: Why don't we take a quick	19	Q. Who supervises the programmers at
20	break and give the court reporter a chance to rest	20	REJIS?
21	her fingers.	21	A. The director would be Eric Gorham.
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	22	Q. You mentioned earlier that when LEPAC
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:55.	23	makes a recommendation or a decision is made at that
24	We are off the record.	24	level, programmers are notified, whether it be the
25	(Recess taken.)	25	wanted message addition, adding the probable cause

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 22 of 56 PageID #: 2642

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 81		Page 83
1 lang	uage, they run it through tests and eventually	1	Q. And who preceded him in that role?
9	mes to your team that these changes have been	2	A. David Pudloswki.
3 mad	-	3	Q. How long had David Pudloswki been in
4	Is that a fair description of the	4	that role?
	ess?	5	A. Ten years, I think.
6	A. One of the descriptions, yes.	6	Q. So the individuals you just mentioned
7		7	are the representatives from REJIS who would have
	Q. So would Eric Gorham be somebody who Id have learned from LEPAC that a decision had	8	•
		9	been attending LEPAC meetings at any given meeting? A. Correct.
10 do?	n made and then he tells his programmers what to	10	
10 dor	A. For that situation?	11	Q. And they would have learned the
12		12	decisions made by LEPAC and taken those taken
	Q. (Nods head.)		those decisions back to REJIS and directed whoever
13	A. I don't know that.	13	needed to know what needed to happen?
14	Q. I'm just trying to understand how a	14	A. Correct. They would probably have
	sion at LEPAC is coming to be known by REJIS, if .	15	created an issue in our incident system and then
_	know.	16	that issue would be assigned to the whatever team
17	A. In LEPAC, you would have the general	17	it is in this case the law enforcement team
	ager would be present could be present at the	18	and then they would work on that change.
	ing, the director of client services could be	19	Q. Are those issues maintained by REJIS?
· -	ent at the meeting, and the director of IT.	20	A. The issues in our system?
21	So whichever meeting, I mean, there	21	Q. Yes.
	be all three of them or one of those three.	22	A. Correct.
	eone from REJIS is in attendance at the LEPAC	23	Q. And that's something that we would be
	ing because it's at our facility.	24	able to ask you to produce? It's still present
25	Q. Who are those three individuals that	25	within
	Page 82		Page 84
1 you	just referred to by title?	1	A. We have a new incident-tracking system,
2	A. Today?	2	so that would be a change prior to the new system.
3	Q. The general manager.	3	Q. But changes made in the old system
4	A. The general manager today is Daniel	4	still exist somewhere at REJIS?
5 Isom	1.	5	A. I don't know that.
6	Q. How long has he been the general	6	Q. Issues sent out by Powell or whoever
7 mar	nager?	7	else might have sent them out following a LEPAC
8	A. Six weeks.	8	decision?
9	Q. Who preceded him in that role?	9	A. I don't know that.
10	A. William Powell.	10	Q. Okay. You mentioned earlier TAC
11	Q. And how long was he the general	11	meetings. Are any records of those meetings kept,
12 mar	nager?	12	minutes?
13	A. Eight years.	13	A. The agenda and the attendees but not
14	Q. And the IT supervisor, is that another	14	minutes for the TAC meeting.
15 pers	son you mentioned as attending the meetings?	15	Q. How about materials that are used
16	A. IT director.	16	during those meetings?
17	Q. IT director?	17	A. The material is the agenda with its
18	A. Is Eric Gorham.	18	corresponding documentation, and then if anything
19	Q. And how long has he held that role?	19	else is presented at the meeting, that's sent out as
20	A. At least ten years.	20	an email.
21	Q. And the third role, who attends?	21	Q. And where are those materials
22	A. Client services director which is	22	maintained?
23 curre	ently Marc Meschke.	23	A. In our office.
24	Q. How long has he held that position?	24	Q. You are those stored somewhere that
25	A. Since January 1st.	25	we would be able to view them?

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 23 of 56 PageID #: 2643

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 85		Page 87
1	•		
2	A. Yes.	2	A. Yes. For the two years.
3	Q. Would anybody else aside from you	3	Q. Do you mark down on these attendance
	attend the MULES TAC meetings?	4	sheets who is recording the class by audio?
4 5	A. Yes.	5	A. No.
	Q. Who else?	6	Q. Nobody videotapes these courses that
6	A. From REJIS?	7	you're aware of?
7	Q. From REJIS.		A. No.
8	A. Myself or one of the other trainers or	8	Q. Aside from the manuals we've looked at
9	all of us. Just depends on who's available to	9	today, are there any other materials that you hand
10	attend.	10	out or PowerPoints that you use for these courses?
11	Q. So just the training staff would attend	11	A. Each instructor could have their own
12	the MULES TAC meetings?	12	PowerPoint, yes. Or shared. It's on a common
13	A. Could or have?	13	drive.
14	Q. Would anybody aside from training at	14	Q. And you maintain these materials after
15	REJIS attend the MULES TAC meetings?	15	the courses are done?
16	A. They could, yes. That allows you, if	16	A. Yes.
17	you attend their TAC meetings, that qualifies you to	17	Q. For how long?
18	be to to be certified. So if I need my	18	A. Until they're updated or changed or no
19	certification my certification with the MULES	19	longer valid.
20	system is up I can attend that TAC meeting.	20	Q. Do you keep a list of the levels of
21	So, yes, others from our staff could	21	access that we talked about earlier?
22	attend that meeting and have.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Who else has?	23	Q. Is that something we would be able to
24	A. Lou Briggs the programming staff?	24	get?
25	Their names?	25	A. Yes.
	Page 86		Page 88
1	Q. How many people are there?	1	Q. And does it have a description of the
2	A. I don't know everybody that's been, but	2	type of access provided?
3	I know that of the ones I've been, I believe Lou	3	A. Yes.
4	Briggs and John Brown have attended.	4	Q. How about a name a list with a name
5	Q. And they're programmers?	5	and access level for each employee of the St. Louis
6	A. Yes.	6	County Police Department? Is that something that
7	Q. You mentioned earlier that the	7	you keep?
8	trainings that you conduct are you do not record	8	A. Ask one more time.
9	them by video or audio.	9	Q. So each each employee of the
10	A. Correct.	10	St. Louis County Police Department who has access to
11	Q. Does anyone record them by video or	11	REJIS and is certified has a specific access level?
12	audio?	12	A. Correct.
13	A. Audio, we have had people that will sit	13	Q. Do you keep a list with their name and
14	in class and record, yes.	14	corresponding access level?
15	Q. Just students?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Is that something we would be able to
17	Q. Do you know do you know anybody	17	receive?
18	specifically who did that?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. I don't recall their name. Not	19	MR. FLOJO: We'll discuss. You're
20	something regular that happens.	20	really asking is it out there and is it available?
21	Q. You mentioned that when preparing	21	MR. HOLLAND: Is it something that
22	for class you make sure you have your attendance	22	exists, correct.
23	sheet. Do you take attendance at every course?	23	MR. FLOJO: Right.
24	A. Yes.	24	MR. HOLLAND: We would want to see
25	Q. Do you keep the attendance sheets?	25	that.

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 24 of 56 PageID #: 2644

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 89		Page 91
1	_	1	_
1 2	Q. One more thing I wanted to clarify was	1 2	awareness of what it is and any potential
3	that you I was asking you earlier about whether		involvement.
	you refer to or include probable cause with any of	3	So what is LEPAC?
4	your courses, and you said you might mention that,	4	A. Law Enforcement Policy Advisory
5	to your students, that probable cause that	5	Committee.
6	wanteds require probable cause or questioning.	6	Q. Who sits on the committee?
7	So is that an accurate statement?	7	A. Members of the law enforcement
8	A. Yes.	8	community from the police agencies, different
9	Q. Did you include mentions of probable	9	jurisdictions.
10	cause in your courses prior to the changes we	10	Q. Does REJIS have a member on the
11	discussed, the language that was added to the manual	11	committee?
12	and the screen that was added to the or, excuse	12	A. We're the person of the committee. We
13	me the message that was added to the screen?	13	don't vote but we host the committee. I mean, it's
14	A. Did I personally?	14	our, REJIS's, committee.
15	Q. Yeah.	15	Q. Do you remember when it was created?
16	A. Yes. I could have said that in my	16	A. Prior to me. When I was the secretary
17	courses.	17	I was the secretary for that committee, so it
18	Q. So as long as you've been teaching	18	existed before I got there in '78.
19	you've always mentioned that a wanted requires	19	Q. Do you know if the Missouri Highway
20	probable cause or questioning?	20	Patrol has a seat on the committee?
21	A. Correct. Not every single class.	21	A. They attend the meetings, yes.
22	Q. Something you could have said?	22	Q. St. Louis County Police Department?
23	A. Correct.	23	A. Yes, they're on the committee
24	Q. How did you know to mention that that	24	currently.
25	was a requirement?	25	Q. Do you know who their who their
	Page 90		Page 92
1	A. Part of that is in the MULES	1	representative on the committee is?
2	messaging message that they send out, one of the	2	A. I do not.
3	documents that they had. It indicates probable	3	Q. Do you know who it has been in the
4	cause or wanted for questioning, so we would include	4	past?
5	that on the documents that I've read would	5	A. It's the chief of police.
6	include that information, or if somebody	6	Q. Jon Belmar?
7	specifically asked if that's what it means.	7	A. Yes. He's the current chief.
8	Q. So you would have learned that from	8	Q. Do you know if they have more than one
9	MULES, you're saying? From a MULES document?	9	representative on the committee or if they ever
10	A. There is one MULES document that does	10	have?
11	have that, yes.	11	A. Not multiple representatives. Voting
12	Q. Are you thinking of a specific document	12	representatives?
13	that you have?	13	Q. Members.
14	A. I do have one, yes.	14	A. Or people that attend?
15	MR. HOLLAND: We'd want to take a look	15	Q. Members that attend.
16	at that as well.	16	A. No, just one member that attends.
17	Q. When you say a wanted requires probable	17	Q. Have you ever personally attended a
18	cause or questioning, what do you mean by "or	18	LEPAC meeting?
19	questioning"?	19	A. I have.
20	A. You say they're wanted for questioning	20	Q. When was that?
21	or the officer has probable cause to believe that	21	A. In the '78 '79, '80, '81 when I was
22	they have committed an offense.	22	the secretary for them.
23	Q. So we've talked a lot about LEPAC	23	Q. And your responsibility was to take
24	today not a lot, but we've certainly mentioned	24	minutes at these meetings?
25	it. And I want to get an understanding of your	25	A. Yes, it was.
2)	it. And I want to get an understanding of your	127	A. ICS, IL Was.

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 25 of 56 PageID #: 2645

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 93	Page 95
1 Q. Do any lawyers sit on LEPAC?	1 questions on things she has not seen before? Would
2 A. I don't know what their the outside	2 that be outside the scope of the notice?
qualifications are. I mean, if the chief has also a	3 MR. HOLLAND: I'm just the topic is
4 law degree, I don't know that.	4 within the scope. I'm just going to ask her. If
5 Q. But your understanding is that it's	5 she can't answer the questions, she can't answer the
6 representatives from the various law enforcement	6 questions.
7 agencies around the is it just St. Louis area?	7 Q. Have you ever seen LEPAC minutes of any
8 A. Kansas City also.	8 recent meetings?
9 Q. So law enforcement agencies have	9 A. Yes.
10 representatives from the area that uses REJIS that	10 Q. So the meeting occurs and you the
sits on a committee?	minutes of the meeting are distributed among REJIS
12 A. There are REJIS customers only, yes.	12 employees?
Q. Have you attended any meetings since	13 A. No, they are not.
you've stopped being the secretary of LEPAC?	14 Q. How did you see REJIS or excuse
15 A. I do not believe I have.	15 me LEPAC meeting minutes?
Q. Are you aware of the DOJ's	16 A. Summaries are posted on the REJIS
investigation into the Ferguson Police Department?	17 extranet.
18 A. Only through media.	18 Q. And one of your responsibilities as a
Q. Are you aware that they issued a report	19 REJIS trainer is to stay up-to-date on by
of their findings in March of 2015?	20 reviewing those minutes?
A. Not the date, no.	21 A. I do that as part of my awareness of my
Q. Are you aware that they issued a report	22 job.
23 on their findings?	23 MR. HOLLAND: I'm going to show you
A. Yes, I've heard.	24 what I'll mark as I think it is just 2, right?
Q. Have you reviewed the report?	25 Jennings 2 and 3.
Page 94	Page 90
1 A. No, I have not.	1 (Exhibits 2-3 were marked for
2 Q. Did you receive any summary or	2 identification.)
3 description of the report from anyone?	3 BY MR. HOLLAND:
4 A. No, I have not.	4 Q. Do you recall seeing these documents
5 Q. Did you receive any guidance on how	5 previously?
6 trainings or policies or procedures at REJIS might	6 A. I have not seen the document.
7 change as a result of the report?	7 MR. HOLLAND: And I'll just mark these
8 A. No, I have not.	8 4.
9 Q. Did you do you understand or have	9 (Exhibit 4 was marked for
10 you understood that the report included a section on	10 identification.)
11 wanteds?	11 MR. HOLLAND: And 5.
12 A. No, I did not.	12 (Exhibit 5 was marked for
13 Q. I assume your answers to those	13 identification.)
14 questions would be the same if I was talking about	14 BY MR. HOLLAND:
the consent decree between the DOJ and Ferguson as	15 Q. These are the minutes that correspond
16 well?	with the LEPAC agenda items I handed you as 2 and 3.
17 A. I don't know what that is.	Have you seen the minutes that I just handed you
18 Q. I'll put the bulky documents aside.	18 from these two meetings?
19 A. Oh, okay.	19 A. The minutes are before the agenda,
20 Q. So I'm going to show you a couple	20 though. Wait a minute, May 5th.
documents. I'm just going to ask you some	21 Q. So you should have
questions, and I understand you may not have seen	A. This is August 6th, May 5th, okay.
them before, but I just want to use them for	23 I'm hold on a minute. I'm confused.
informational purposes, contextual purposes.	24 Q. I'll take that one back.
S Par Poster, deliteraturi par postesi	

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 26 of 56 PageID #: 2646

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

		Page 97		Page 99
1	Q.	May 5th.	1	the minutes. It's not the meeting minutes. It's a
2	•	May 5th?	2	summary of the minutes that's posted.
3		This is the one you should have. Here	3	Q. So if you didn't see this document,
4	you go.	•	4	perhaps you saw a summary of these two meetings?
5		No, this is the same I'm sorry, this	5	A. Could have been a summary of the
6	is the sa	me I have two of the same copy now.	6	meetings, yes. That's what's on the extranet.
7	Q.	So you're missing August 6th?	7	Q. Since we don't have those summaries I'm
8	A.	Yes, sir.	8	going to ask you to bear with me and I'm going to
9	Q.	There it is. That's the confusion.	9	ask you some questions from the minutes possibly,
10	A.	Okay. So you want 4 back or 5?	10	and you can let me know if you can answer those
11	Q.	I'll take 4 back. Sorry about that.	11	questions; is that fair?
12		MR. HUGHES: What exhibit is this?	12	A. Yes.
13		MR. FLOJO: Is this 4?	13	MR. HOLLAND: I'll move along. You can
14		MR. HOLLAND: It's 4.	14	put those aside. I'm going to hand you what has
15	Q.	So you now have the agenda item and	15	previously been marked Gomez Exhibit 24.
16	minutes	s for the May 7th, 2015, meeting and the	16	(Previously marked Exhibit
17	agenda	item and minutes for the August 6th, 2015,	17	Gomez 24 was shown to the
18	meeting	g of LEPAC; is that correct?	18	witness.)
19	A.	Correct.	19	BY MR. HOLLAND:
20	Q.	Have you seen the minutes for these two	20	Q. Are you familiar with this document?
21	meeting	gs previously?	21	A. I am not.
22	A.	No, I have not.	22	Q. I understand this to be a
23	Q.	I'd just ask you one question about the	23	REJIS-generated report. Does this look like
24	August	6th, 2015, agenda item.	24	something that could be generated out of the REJIS
25	A.	Okay.	25	database?
		Page 98		Page 100
1	Q.	It says, "Lieutenant Jeff Burk	1	A. I don't know that.
2	subsequ	ently recommended that REJIS add comments to	2	Q. Does REJIS maintain data on wanteds
3	the REJI	S 'Wanteds' training manual that would state	3	that are issued?
4	that the	initiating officer/agency must have	4	A. Maintain data on wanteds? What do you
5	probable	e cause that the person committed the crime	5	mean by "maintain data"?
6	prior to	entering a REJIS Wanted entry."	6	Q. So if we look at this document, in the
7	H	lad you ever heard that Lieutenant Jeff	7	upper left corner it says, "Wanted/Stop Orders
8		s the individual who made that	8	Issued," and then the second column is "Year," and
9		endation?	9	then the third column is "Month," and the fourth
10		I did not.	10	column is "Number of Charges," and you see
11	-	Do you remember at all receiving any	11	"Records."
12		nts or summaries explaining the differences	12	Does REJIS maintain the number of
13		a wanted and a person of interest at the end	13	wanteds that are issued in any given month within a
14	•	ear, end of 2016?	14	year, the number of charges maintained or included
15		A document?	15	within each wanted? Is that something that REJIS
16	_	So did you ever see a summary	16	maintains, that type of data?
17		d or posted on a LEPAC database explaining	17	A. We'd have the number of records by
18		rences between a wanted and a person of	18	month. I'm not aware that we keep track of the
19	interest?		19	number of charges on that record.
20	Α.		20	Q. In your capacity as training
21	-	So all of the minutes of LEPAC's	21	supervisor, are you familiar with the type of data
22	_	are available on a publicly publicly	22	that REJIS maintains relating to specific wanteds?
23		e database, or do you have to have certain	23	A. We keep the whole entire record but we
24	-	access it?	24	don't just go out and say, "Here's how many" we
25	Α.	It's our intranet and it's a summary of	25	don't send something out that says, "Here's how many

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 27 of 56 PageID #: 2647

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 101	Page 103
1 charges you have in the system."	1 be generated by REJIS that shows how many wanteds,
2 Q. I'm not saying it's being sent out;	2 how many led to arrests, how long that person was
3 it's just stored within REJIS. So if you wanted	3 held while arrested, how many of those wanteds
4 to you know, oh, I wonder how many, you know,	4 how many of any wanteds led to an actual warrant
5 females have been issued wanteds, you know, in	5 being issued and/or criminal charges being brought,
6 January of 2011, you can type it into REJIS and it	6 if I wanted that report, who do I call?
pops up, oh, there it is. There's the number.	7 A. The REJIS help desk.
8 A. No, you can't do that. It would be a	8 Q. Who do I ask Ray to get that report
9 report that would have to be requested.	9 from?
to an an few sequences assert of the sequences	
3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
captured on the record in the database then, yeah,	13 two questions where he said it would be training, it
you can pull it back out.	14 would be Cindy Jennings.
Q. And I understand that you're a training	15 MR. HOLLAND: Mike, the actual record
supervisor, so maybe you're not well schooled in	of Marc Meschke shows that he said this is a report
17 the all the IT and all that kind of stuff; is	that he could probably produce in two to three
18 that fair?	18 hours, and we haven't received it. We received
19 A. Fair.	this, so I was trying to talk to her about this.
20 Q. Who would be the right person if I	20 I'm fully aware of what Mr. Meschke
21 wanted to if I wanted a report that listed how	21 testified to. It's now been almost two months since
many wanteds in each year, how many of those wanteds	his deposition and we don't have anything, so I'm
23 resulted in arrests, how many of those arrests or	trying to figure out what we need to do to get it;
24 how long the person was held while arrested, and	24 is that fair?
25 then whether any warrants or criminal charges were	25 Q. And maybe Mr. Meschke is the right
Page 102	Page 104
1 brought.	1 person, but would you say that you're not the right
2 If that's a report that I thought might	2 person?
3 exist, who would be the right person at REJIS to	3 A. I'm not the right person.
4 ask, A, does that exist; and, B, can you do it for	4 Q. Then we can move on.
5 me?	5 MR. FLOJO: For the record, I haven't
6 A. The call the procedures we follow is	6 received a subpoena for that particular type of
7 that the person would call the REJIS help desk.	7 report or anything like that. Deposition happened,
8 They would create an issue and they would assign	8 yes, it was talked about, but then I haven't
9 that to level 2, because they couldn't answer	9 received any requests or anything further from that
10 they couldn't pull that report out.	10 deposition.
So that would come to level 2 which	11 MR. HOLLAND: We'll make the request
would be my division or training unit. I would look	12 now on the record. We can discuss if we need to
at that ticket and say, "This is not something that	13 issue a subpoena, then we'll do that.
1 4 I do," and I would assign it to the applications	14 Q. Let's walk through one wanted entry
15 team.	15 relevant to this case and see what I can learn from
Q. Who at the applications team would you	16 you about it.
ask to do it?	17 A. We're done with this page?
18 A. I don't ask them. I assign it to a	18 Q. We're done with that one, yes.
19 queue.	19 A. Okay.
20 Q. Who is on the applications team?	20 MR. HOLLAND: Let me show you what has
21 A. All of our developers.	21 been marked Plaintiffs' 2 from the Meschke
22 Q. Who are your developers?	22 deposition.
23 A. Everybody's name?	23 (Previously marked Exhibit
24 Q. I'm just you can see where I'm	24 Meschke 2 was shown to the
25 going. I'm asking if, say, I want this report to	25 witness.)
2 young. I'm asking ii, say, I want tills report to	muicosi/

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 28 of 56 PageID #: 2648

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

Page 105	Page 107
1 BY MR. HOLLAND:	1 list.
2 Q. I'm only going to ask you about	2 A. You'll see the yes.
3 let's see. The the last page on the back. Can	3 Q. Why is this referred if this is a
4 you tell me what this document is?	4 REJIS document, why is it referred to as a stop
5 A. This is the full display of a wanted	5 order?
6 person stop order from the REJIS system.	6 A. When the agency created the record
	7 through the REJIS system they chose the button
Q. Co amo to a stop of all and to a some	
8 the REJIS system, but down on the bottom it says, 9 "MULES only." What does that mean? If you see	8 labeled "Stop Order," and that creates this entry. 9 Q. Does anywhere on here show you who
there three lines up from the bottom, "Case cleared	10 created this entry?
11 MULES only." What does that mean?	11 A. Yes, it does.
12 A. "Case cleared MULES only" is because	12 Q. Where is that?
the record was not in NCIC, it was strictly in REJIS	13 A. If you'd go above the line we
and MULES. And when the record was canceled, we	14 previously talked about, that "LC" line.
15 send the cancellation to MULES and it says it's	15 Q. Uh-huh.
16 cleared out of MULES only; it was never in NCIC.	16 A. Directly above that you see the letters
17 Q. Was it cleared out of REJIS?	17 "EN." "EN" tells you the date, time, and who
18 A. Yes. If you look at the line above	18 entered the record into the system.
19 that you'll see the field that is labeled you see	19 Q. Is that person the officer or the CARE
20 what I'm talking about? It starts with "LC" right	20 coordinator?
21 above the line you read, 4052 line.	21 A. Sir, I don't know who that is.
22 Q. I do.	22 Q. So whoever created this record chose
23 A. To the right of that you'll see the	23 stop order instead of wanted or any of the other
24 letter "CN."	24 teletypes that are available?
25 Q. What does that stand for?	25 A. Correct.
Page 106	Page 108
1 A. "CN" stands for canceled. That would	1 Q. Can you give me any reasons why they
be the date, the time, and the user ID of the person	2 would do that? Why not just put wanted?
3 that canceled the record, which is stamped by the	3 A. The as I look at the record, I see
4 system, not the user.	4 that the charge is a felony and they're asking to go
5 Q. What is "LC"? Is that locate?	5 partial which means that they wanted it outside of
6 A. "LC" stands for locate.	6 the REJIS system, so they would have chosen a stop
7 Q. So this is a screen that an officer	7 order.
8 with level 27 access would view if they're out in	8 Q. Where are you seeing partial?
9 their car and they punch in	9 A. If you go if you look at the line
10 A. No, sir, they would not.	10 where it says "Social Security Number" with the
Q. Okay.	11 blackout.
12 A. Because this record is canceled.	12 Q. I see that.
Q. Understood.	A. Go to the line underneath of that. It
1.5 lovel of access that they have	14 says "Charges."
level of access that they have.	15 Q. Yep.
Q. Is it canceled so as soon as a stop	16 A. Okay. "Felony wanted. Statutory
order is canceled, does it immediately disappear	17 sodomy, first, under 14 years of age. EXT," and
18 from the system?	right underneath that is the letter "P."
19 A. It does not disappear. Depending on	19 Q. Yes.
20 the format that you are using and your level of	2.0 A. That's the extradition and how far they
access, you can still see canceled records.	21 will extradite.
Q. What level of access would you have to	22 Q. "P" is partial?
have to still see canceled records?	A. Yes, sir.
A. Many different levels. O. We'll figure that out once we see the	24 Q. What levels of extradition exist? 25 A. There are ten different levels
Q. We'll figure that out once we see the	A. There are ten different levels

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 29 of 56 PageID #: 2649

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 100		Page 111
	Page 109		Page 111
1	depending on the severity of the charge that you can	1	A. The purpose?
2	choose.	2	Q. Why not just purge it completely? It's
3	Q. What does "partial" mean?	3	been canceled.
4	A. Partial means that you must indicate	4	A. The record so that the agency has a
5	how many miles or counties you will go to apprehend	5	way to validate the reasons why that record was
6	that person.	6	taken out of existence is why we keep them longer so
7	Q. Is that on here somewhere?	7	that they know why the record is gone. It did not
8	 If you go to the next line underneath 	8	meet its end of life.
9	the "Charge" line you'll see it says "RMK."	9	Q. Maybe I just don't understand it, but
10	Q. What does that mean?	10	can an officer enter a wanted into REJIS while also
11	A. Remarks. And in the charge remarks	11	parallel entering a stop order into MULES for the
12	they would put the miles or counties that they would	12	same suspect, same charges?
13	extradite. In this case adjacent counties.	13	A. Well, are you asking a REJIS customer?
14	Q. So any counties adjacent to St. Louis	14	Q. Somebody is a REJIS customer and a
15	County	15	MULES customer. They enter a wanted into REJIS
16	A. Yes.	16	while also entering a stop order into MULES. Is
17	Q would be able to see this when	17	that something that's possible?
18	before it was canceled?	18	A. No, if you're a REJIS customer, you're
19	A. I answered too prematurely. That would	19	a REGIS customer; if you're a MULES customer, you're
20	be any agency in the State of Missouri could have	20	a MULES customer.
21	seen this record because it is MULES qualified.	21	Q. I see.
22	They would only act on the record if they were in	22	A. If you're dual, then you can only enter
23	any county that surrounded St. Louis.	23	into one of those. So we have agencies that are
24	Q. Understood. Thank you for that.	24	dual that are in fact REJIS and MULES clients but
25	So down at the last line it talks about	25	they're going to either enter into MULES and only
	Page 110		Page 112
1	a purge date. What is that?	1	into MULES or they're going to enter into REJIS and
2	A. Once a record is canceled from the	2	only into REJIS and then we pass the data along.
3	REJIS system, it is then purged, meaning it's	3	Q. Do you know if the St. Louis County
4	removed, and there are only two special transactions	4	Police Department is a dual member?
5	that you can do to pull this record back.	5	A. They were a dual agency, yes.
6	Q. So prior to being canceled, the stop	6	Q. But at any one time an officer who
7	order here would be viewable by, you know, somebody	7	wants to enter one of these teletype records has to
8	with level 27 access?	8	choose between the two?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. That's not correct. They're only dual
10	Q. After canceled it's not viewable by	10	agency in that they enter their order protections
11	somebody with level 27 access but it is still	11	directly through the MULES system. That's the only
12	viewable by somebody with a certain level of access	12	thing that they do direct MULES.
13	that, you know, there might be too many now to	13	Q. So if you're a dual member you have to
14	talk to list them all?	14	use REJIS for your wanteds?
15	A. Correct.	15	A. No, you can choose to be one or the
16	Q. And then after that 30-day canceled	16	other.
17	period, there's a it's purged and only a very	17	Q. But once you choose that's the one you
18	special level of access allows you to pull that back	18	use?
19	and view it?	19	A. Yes.
20	A. I wouldn't call it special, but there	20	Q. And is the choice made at a department
21	are levels of access that allow you to view purged	21	level or at an officer level?
22	records.	22	A. Oh, no, department level.
23	Q. What's the purpose of, you know,	23	Q. So the St. Louis County Police
24	keeping it for 30 days after it's canceled and then	24	Department if they're a dual member if they choose
25	even keeping it somewhere after it's purged?	25	REJIS, then their officers must use REJIS; is that

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 30 of 56 PageID #: 2650

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 113		Page 115
1	correct?	1	or isn't active? Is there a button they click? Do
2	A. Correct.	2	they tell them over the phone?
3	Q. Do you know how many members are dual	3	A. When you're validating?
4	members?	4	Q. Right.
5	A. Not a specific number.	5	A. The officer is not validating the
6	Q. Would you say of the dual members more	6	record.
7	choose REJIS or more choose MULES?	7	Q. Who is validating the record?
8	A. Of the dual agencies, do they choose to	8	A. That's normally going to be the person
9	enter into REJIS? I quess I need a little	9	with the access to validate that record. The agency
10	Q. You told me that if you're a dual	10	is to back up as part of their validation to say
11	member, you have the choice of which one you want to	11	that it's complete, accurate, and still outstanding.
12	use, MULES or REJIS. But once you choose, that's	12	The process would be if it was a
13	the one you have to use, correct?	13	warrant, you'd contact the prosecutor and the court;
14	A. To do your entries.	14	if it's a wanted, you would contact the officer to
15	Q. To do your entries. That's what I'm	15	verify that that wanted is still should be in
16	talking about. Correct?	16	existence.
17	A. Yes, correct.	17	Q. And that's the validation
18	Q. So of the dual members do you know if	18	A. That's part of the validation process.
19	more choose REJIS for their entries or MULES for	19	Q. So you contact the officer by phone
20	their entries?	20	or
21	A. More choose REJIS.	21	A. We don't. The agency validates their
22	Q. Do you know a percentage of the	22	own records. We present them the records to be
23	breakdown?	23	validated.
24	A. No.	24	Q. And are wanteds, have they always been
25	Q. Ballpark?	25	routinely validated?
	Page 114		Page 116
1	-	1	A. Yes.
1	A. No.	2	
2	Q. Can you tell me a little bit about the	3	Q. What would be routine? Every 90 days? A. No. Records are validated the first
4	validation process relating to wanteds? A. Yes.	4	time when they are between 60 and 90 days' old and
5	Q. Who who just give me a	5	then annually thereafter as long as it's active.
6	description of the of what the validation process	6	MR. HOLLAND: I'm going to show you
7	entails.	7	what I'll mark as Jennings Exhibit 6.
8	A. Validation, we send the agency I	8	(Exhibit 6 was marked for
9	guess depending on the time frame you're talking	9	identification.)
10	about, it is now done electronically. The agency is	10	BY MR. HOLLAND:
11	notified via email that they have a report on the	11	Q. And these LEPAC agenda items and
12	extranet. They go out to the extranet and it will	12	meeting minutes that I'm showing you were produced
13	give them a listing of all the records that are	13	to us recently by defendants in this action.
14	required to be validated that month.	14	MR. HUGHES: This is, I'm sorry,
15	Their process for validation is that	15	exhibit what?
16	they must confirm that the record is complete,	16	MR. HOLLAND: Six.
17	accurate, and still outstanding. They mark the	17	MR. HUGHES: CJ6.
18	record as being validated, and then they don't see	18	MR. FLOJO: Jennings 6.
19	that record again for another year as long as it's	19	MR. HUGHES: Jennings 6. Cindy
20	active.	20	Jennings 6.
21	Q. How can they tell if it's active?	21	MR. FLOJO: I think it's actually just
22	A. How can they tell?	22	Jennings 6, but that's
23	Q. That's the purpose of the wanted,	23	BY MR. HOLLAND:
24	right, for the officer to confirm whether it's	24	Q. Have you ever seen this document
25	active or not? How do they inform REJIS that it is	25	before?
20	active of flot: How do they filloffli Rests that it is		Daivia.

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 31 of 56 PageID #: 2651

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 117		Page 119
1	A. No, sir.	1	Q. Do you see the last line says, "REJIS
2	Q. If you look at the bottom paragraph on	2	does not require local only wanteds be validated"?
3	the first page, understanding that you haven't seen	3	A. Yes, I do.
4	this document, it's just an issue that I wanted to	4	Q. Why was that?
5	ask you about given your familiarity with	5	A. Why did we not require them to be
6	validation.	6	validated? I don't know that.
7	It reads, "At the time wanted records	7	Q. Basically you were leaving it up to the
8	were also being presented for validation. Since	8	agency to decide whether they wanted to validate
9	then the online validation process has been	9	their wanteds or not?
10	implemented and only MULES qualified warrants and	10	A. Their local only records, correct.
11	Stop Order records are routinely presented for	11	Q. So for records that REJIS did not
12	validation."	12	require to be validated, how would that validation
13	A. Uh-huh.	13	process be initiated?
14	Q. Does that mean that wanteds were not	14	A. I don't know.
15	routinely presented for validation?	15	Q. Do you know if that's still true today
16	A. After this date?	16	that REJIS does not require local only wanteds to be
17	Q. After the online validation process was	17	validated?
18	implemented.	18	A. That is correct.
19	A. This is pre	19	Q. Are wanteds ever automatically removed
20	O. This is dated November 2012. At some	20	from REJIS?
21	time around that period online validation process	21	A. When they meet their purge criteria,
22	had been implemented and it suggests that MULES	22	depending on the severity of the charge.
23	only MULES-qualified warrants and stop order records	23	Q. Based on the time period?
24	are routinely presented for validation.	24	A. Correct.
25	So I'm just wondering does that suggest	25	Q. So whether they're active or not,
	Page 118		Page 120
1	to you that other other entries, teletypes of	1	they'll be removed at some point depending on the
2	that sort were not routinely presented for	2	severity of the charge and the time period?
3	validation?	3	A. Correct.
4	A. I'm not sure where you see it says	4	Q. What is a locate or detainer?
5	"online."	5	A. They're two different things. A locate
6	Q. It's on the bottom paragraph of the	6	is when an agency other than the agency that entered
7	first page.	7	the record, if we'll talk about a person, stops that
8	A. Since then (reading) okay. And I'm	8	person, they confirm the record with the originating
9	sorry, could you repeat your question to me?	9	agency. Their next step is to place a locate on it.
10	Q. That suggests to me that aside from	10	And it's really a reconfirmation of
11	MULES-qualified warrants and stop order records, the	11	what you discussed in the hit confirmation. Yes,
12	others are not routinely presented for validation.	12	the record is valid. What are you going what do
13	A. Unless the agency chooses to have us	13	you want us to do with that, in this case, an
14	send them to them. That's the agency makes that	14	individual? What would be next step?
15	decision if they want their wanted records sent out	15	Q. Did you provide training on that?
16	as part of their validation process.	16	A. On the locates, that's part of the
17	Q. So I guess my follow-up question may be	17	wanted entry class, vehicle entry class, missing
18	the same answer. Do you have the May 7th, 2015,	18	person.
19	LEPAC agenda item? I'm guessing it might be	19	MR. HOLLAND: I'll show you what I'll
20	Jennings 2 or 3. That's it there in front of you,	20	mark Jennings Exhibit 7.
21	the Jennings 2.	21	mark Jennings Exhibit 7. (Exhibit 7 was marked for
22	A. Yes, sir.	22	identification.)
23	Q. There's a paragraph that starts in bold	23	BY MR. HOLLAND:
24	"Current Situation."	24	Q. Do you recognize this document?
25	A. Okay.	25	A. I do.
/ 5	a. Unav.	■ ∠ J	A. IUU.

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 32 of 56 PageID #: 2652

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 121		Page 123
1	Q. Is this part of the same course	1	MR. FLOJO: Join.
2	material that would be used with the manuals we saw	2	BY MR. HOLLAND:
3	earlier, the wanted entry class?	3	Q. Is it did you ever was it
4	A. Yes, it is.	4	confusing for users?
5	Q. So that class would you'd hand out	5	A. Locates and detainers?
6	the wanted entry manual and this locate/detainer	6	Q. Right.
7	document?	7	A. Very confusing.
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. How so?
9	Q. If you turn to page 2.	9	A. Well, detainer, especially because when
10	A. Mine are all page 6.	10	a detainer is you have the wanted entry. A
11	Q. Sorry, physical number 2. So if we go	11	wanted record. The person could be apprehended by
12	past the cover page the bottom right says "05/2010,"	12	another agency and they place a locate on that
13	so May 2010; do you see that?	13	record.
14	A. Yes, I do.	14	
15	O. If I recall correctly, all the other	15	Once a record is located, how long it
	,,		lives after it's been located varies on what happens
16	manuals we went through were January 2014 or	16	to that record next. And one of the procedures that
17	excuse me July 2014, January 2016, and	17	was introduced is that if you place a detainer on
18	January 2017, the wanted entry manuals we looked at	18	the record, that would allow record that's in locate
19	earlier; does that sound right?	19	status to live in the system longer.
20	A. Sounds right.	20	The confusing part for many of the
21	Q. So would this suggest to you that there	21	clients is that the detainer is not put on the
22	is a corresponding wanted entry manual for May 2010	22	record by the agency that located the body but the
23	that should exist?	23	agency that owns the record.
24	A. Not necessarily May. I mean, it would	24	Q. So can you walk me through the process?
25	be a document we create documents based on the	25	So one agency, let's say the St. Louis County Police
	Page 122		Page 124
1	need, so if we had a change in procedures and change	1	Department, issues a wanted.
2	of how locates worked or detainers would be	2	A. Correct.
3	introduced or we were teaching it and felt like the	3	Q. The locate is made by the St. Charles
4	students needed further instructions, we would	4	County Police Department.
5	create another document to go along with that.	5	A. The procedure would be St. Charles
6	And that could be the case here. I	6	County would let's say the officer would run that
7	don't know. I'd have to go back and look and see do	7	person. They would come back with a hit. That
8	I have a May 2010, and it doesn't make a	8	officer would then be required, either their
9	one-to-one	9	dispatch or themself, to send a hit confirmation to
10	Q. That's fair.	10	St. Louis County as the originator of the record.
11	Sometime, give or take around this time	11	Q. How would let me stop you there.
12	period, do you think you did a wanted entry manual	12	How would they send that hit confirmation?
13	course?	13	A. A button on the screen. A button on
14	A. Course?	14	the screen
15	Q. You'd have to check?	15	Q. This is all within REJIS?
16	A. Taught a course?	16	A. Within REJIS.
17	Q. Yeah.	17	Q. In their car possibly?
18	A. I'd have to look.	18	A. Possibly. But let me back up because
19	Q. That's fair.	19	you used the agency as St. Charles County, and
20	Going back to the cover page, it says,	20	St. Charles County Sheriff is not a REJIS customer.
21	"Locate/Detainer. When on earth do I use them?"	21	Q. Poor choice by me.
22	It seems like kind of a curious	22	A. So let's say that it was St. Louis
23	subtitle for a training document in a government	23	County and Bridgeton.
24	agency.	24	Q. Sure.
			<u> </u>
25	MR. HUGHES: Object as argumentative.	25	A. Okay? So St. Louis County has the

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 33 of 56 PageID #: 2653

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 125		Page 127
1	person that is wanted. The Bridgeton officer either	1	minutes, ten additional minutes, and that agency
2	calls into dispatch or runs it from their vehicle.	2	chooses to send a third request, that is also sent
3	They receive the hit that that person is wanted.	3	to St. Louis County, the state system, and NCIC. At
4	Before they take any action, they're required to	4	that point it's that agency who stopped them, in
5	send a confirmation to the agency, so Bridgeton	5	this case Bridgeton's decision whether to let that
6	would send the confirmation.	6	person go or not.
7	They press a button on the screen. The	7	Q. And if they haven't heard from
8	button fills out the hit confirmation saying it's	8	St. Louis County they are they unable to confirm
9	sending it to St. Louis County. Here's the	9	whether it's active or not? So then after 30
10	description of the individual, and then St. Louis	10	minutes it's up to them whether to take the person
11	County would receive that hit confirmation.	11	into custody?
12	They would then do a hit confirmation	12	A. They wouldn't take them into custody
13	response back letting Bridgeton know, yes, that	13	after that 30 minutes because they have not
14	person is still wanted; no, they're not. Yes,	14	confirmed that that is a valid record. A computer
15	there's a warrant; no, there's not. Whatever it is.	15	entry is taught it is lead information only. You
16	And then the next step that Bridgeton	16	don't take action on that entry until you confirm
17	would take is they would place a locate on the	17	the status with the originating agency.
18	St. Louis County record. The locate would tell them	18	Q. Understood. And you mentioned at one
19	are you going to extradite them? Are you not going	19	point "the rules." What rules are you referring to?
20	to extradite them? Are you detaining them on your	20	A. System rules, meaning
21	own charges?	21	Q. REJIS rules?
22	Once that locate is placed, depending	22	A. REJIS, MULES, NCIC. That's rules or
23	on what they decide, then the next step would be to	23	policy.
24	place a detainer on that record. So if they said	24	Q. Are those documented anywhere?
25	Bridgeton said, "I'm going to detain them on my	25	A. Uh-huh, yes.
	Page 126		Page 128
1	charges and once I am done with them I will turn	1	Q. And are officers taught about those
2	them over to St. Louis County," then St. Louis	2	rules?
3	County would put a detainer on their wanted record	3	A. Yes.
4	showing that they were being detained at Bridgeton.	4	Q. During what course are they taught?
5	Q. What if they were what if the	5	A. Everybody is taught in all our courses
6	Bridgeton officer didn't have any charges to detain	6	on hit confirmation process.
7	the person? Would they still would they still	7	Q. It's called hit confirmation process?
8	take that person into custody?	8	A. Uh-huh.
9	A. Based on whatever St. Louis County told	9	Q. That's separate from the wanted entry
10	them to do. If they're going to come to pick them	10	class?
11	up, then they would have said "Extradite" on the	11	A. Separate from the
12	record.	12	Q. So is it taught during the REJIS
13	Q. What if they're unable to get in touch	13	introductory course?
14	with St. Louis County?	14 15	A. Yes.
15 16	A. On the hit confirmation? The hit confirmation, depending there are two choices on	16	MR. HOLLAND: I think we'll want to see the materials used for that course.
17	a hit confirmation. There's an urgent, a routine.	17	Q. So earlier we talked this is just
18	With an urgent, there is a ten-minute rule that goes	18	one question, I'm not going back to LEPAC, but you
19	with it, so within ten minutes, that agency has to	19	mentioned that the LEPAC, the summaries of the
20	reply to that confirmation.	20	meetings are available to you on an intranet or
21	If they don't, then the rules are you	21	extranet?
22	send a second confirmation. When a second	22	A. We call it our REJIS extranet.
23	confirmation is sent, not only is the originating	23	Q. Is that available to individuals who
24	agency notified, but also the state system.	24	are not REJIS employees?
25	If you don't respond within that ten	25	A. Yes.

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 34 of 56 PageID #: 2654

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 129		Page 131
1	Q. Who is it available to?	1	Q. Inform or help draft the materials that
2	A. All of our clients that are criminal	2	are used during trainings at all?
3	justice clients, not our private access clients.	3	A. No, they do not.
4	Q. It's not publicly available?	4	Q. Do they make requests for what should
5	A. That's correct.	5	be covered during trainings?
6	Q. Who manages the process of information	6	A. Well, they I guess I would say yes.
7	sharing between REJIS, MULES, and NCIC? Or does it	7	Like for their recruit class they tell us what they
8	just happen naturally?	8	want taught in that recruit class and how many
9	A. Can you ask me I mean, be more	9	hours.
10	specific. I'm not sure what you mean by that.	10	Q. Are you familiar at all with the
11	Q. So REJIS, MULES, NCIC share	11	training that The Police Academy does itself for its
12	information. Is that just each agency knows to put	12	officers?
13	something on a posting or their website and the	13	A. No, I'm not.
14	other agencies know that that's where they should go	14	Q. Any of the trainers that they use,
15	to check, or is there more of a communication on a	15	other than anybody who would have gone through your
16	lower level?	16	courses?
17	A. Information like like what kind	17	A. Am I familiar with the trainers they
18	you mean records or are you meaning	18	use?
19	Q. Trainings, records, changes to	19	Q. (Nods head.)
20	policies, those sorts of things.	20	A. Well, I would they have trainers
21	A. It happens all different ways. They	21	that teach the academy course and they're the ones
22	would have posted on their next test website or we	22	that set up the training with us.
23	would get it via emails or it would come out as the	23	Q. Who is that?
24	technical operational update from NCIC. It comes in	24	A. Who it is today?
25	a variety of ways.	25	Q. Yeah.
	Page 130		Page 132
1	Q. Do you guys try to do your best to	1	A. I don't know his name. I haven't done
2	align in terms of policies and procedures?	2	that
3	A. With who?	3	Q. What do you mean by set up the
4	Q. With MULES.	4	trainings with you?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Well, they would contact myself or a
6	Q. Do you have regular communications with	6	member of my staff to say, "We have a recruit class.
7	anyone at the St. Louis County Police Department?	7	Recruit class 602 is going to be ready for REJIS
8	A. Yes.	8	training in the next three months."
9	Q. Who?	9	Q. Logistically they help you set it up?
10	A. All the individual names?	10	A. Right.
11	O. I'm talking about on a regular basis.	11	Q. Understood.
12	A. Well, on a regular basis I probably	12	Did you speak with Lieutenant Gomez in
13	talk to a lot of them because they would call in	13	connection with this case?
14	help desk tickets, and I'm responsible for answering	14	A. Directly with Lieutenant Gomez? No.
15	those tickets. So I would talk to Lieutenant Burk.	15	Q. Prior to his deposition in this case,
16	I would talk to Lieutenant Gomez, Sharon Pezold,	16	did you speak with him?
17	Mary Wicking it just depends on what unit of the	17	A. No.
18	PD you're talking about and	18	Q. Do you speak with him on a regular
19	Q. Do you have you ever heard any	19	basis?
20	feedback from the St. Louis County Police Department	20	A. No.
21	about the trainings you provide?	21	Q. When was the last time you would have
22	A. No.	22	spoken to Lieutenant Gomez?
23	Q. Do they inform the materials that are	23	A. Probably about two months ago.
24	used at all?	24	Q. Do you remember what capacity that
25	A. Do they what?	25	conversation occurred?
~	A Do they much	127	CONTROL SUCION OCCURRENT

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 35 of 56 PageID #: 2655

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	Page 133		Page 135
1	A. He was on the conference phone call	1	Q. And you were also asked some questions
2	with Sharon Pezold.	2	about stop orders and wanteds. In the Kansas City
3	O. Who's Sharon Pezold?	3	area, do they have what they call stop orders?
4	A. She's the CARE coordinator.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What was the purpose of that conference	5	Q. Okay. And and in St. Louis here,
6	call?	6	they have what's called wanteds; is that correct?
7	A. Questions about a wanted document.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Do you remember which one?	8	Q. And now, you you were involved in
9	A. Which document? No.	9	training and you're a supervisor of trainers; is
10	Q. Have you spoken to anyone besides the	10	that correct?
11	30 minutes with Mr. Flojo about this case?	11	A. Correct.
12	A. No.	12	Q. And it's my understanding that when you
13	Q. Or about your	13	train agencies, courts, court officials, judges,
14	A. Oh. Yes.	14	clerks, whatever, you train them on how to make
15	Q. You're allowed to correct yourself.	15	entries properly into the REJIS system; is that
16	A. Sorry.	16	correct?
17	Q. No need to apologize.	17	A. Correct.
18	A. I forgot my director, Marc Meschke.	18	Q. You don't train on when it's
19	Q. When did you speak to Mr. Meschke?	19	permissible you don't train on Constitutional
20	A. When he got his subpoena.	20	law, for example; is that correct?
21	Q. Sometime in January, give or take? His	21	A. Correct.
22	deposition was on February 3rd, if that helps.	22	Q. So you don't train when it's
23	A. Yes, I know I talked to him in January.	23	permissible to issue a wanted; is that correct?
24	Q. What did you guys talk about?	24	A. Correct.
25	A. He told me to get these create these	25	Q. From a Constitutional standpoint, but
	Page 134		Page 136
1	records, pull these records based on information	1	you train how to do it accurately; is that correct?
2	requested from someone. I don't know who at that	2	A. Correct.
3	point.	3	Q. Okay. And you mentioned something
4	Q. So he had received a subpoena. He	4	about not all agencies require validation but
5	needed help gathering materials	5	St. Louis County does require validation. They want
6	A. The documents.	6	you to contact them in 60 days, 60 to 90 days to do
7	Q that were potentially relevant and	7	the validation process; is that correct?
8	he asked you to help him?	8	A. I can't speak for sure the St. Louis
9	A. That's correct.	9	County
10	Q. Did you guys talk about anything else	10	Q. Well
11	related to his deposition or this case?	11	A does that. I mean, they can request
12	A. No.	12	that. I don't know off the top of my head every
13	MR. HOLLAND: That's all I have for you	13	single agency says, oh, yeah, give us all our
14 15	today. Depending on what Mr. Hughes might have, I	14	records and every agency that doesn't.
16	may have some follow-up, but for now, that's it. EXAMINATION	16	Q. Okay. Well, if Lieutenant Gomez testified that within 90 days we'd get the
17	EXAMINATION BY MR. HUGHES:	17	validation reminders on a monthly basis and then
18		18	annually thereafter from REJIS, you would have no
19	Q. I'm going to be very short, don't worry.	19	reason to dispute that; is that correct?
20	A. Okay.	20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. Very early in your deposition you	21	MR. HUGHES: All right. I told you I'd
22	talked about training law enforcement agencies in	22	be short. Thank you very much, Ms. Jennings.
23	the St. Louis area, Kansas City, and some counties	23	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
24	in Illinois; is that correct?	24	MR. HOLLAND: I have nothing further.
25	A. That's correct.	25	Thank you very much for coming in today.
	7 ii THUCO COTTOCCI	1 - 4	

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 36 of 56 PageID

#: 2656

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	D 107
	Page 137
1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	MR. FLOJO: Cindy, I think we talked
3	about there's going to be a transcript created of
4	this deposition and you have the right to review
5	that and go page by page, line by line to make any
6	corrections, or you can trust that our court
7	reporter has taken down everything accurately.
8	Would you like to read your deposition
9	or would you like to waive your signature?
10	THE WITNESS: I can waive my signature.
11	MR. FLOJO: Okay.
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:21.
13	We are off the record. This concludes our
14	deposition of Cindy Jennings.
15	THE REPORTER: Same thing?
16	MR. FLOJO: We don't need a copy.
17	(Whereupon, signature was
18	waived and the witness was
19	excused at 12:20 p.m.)
20	000
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 138
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	-
3	I, RENÉE COMBS QUINBY, a Registered Merit
4	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified
5	Shorthand Reporter (CA), Certified Court Reporter
6	(MO), Realtime Systems Administrator, and Notary
7	Public within and for the State of Missouri, do
8	hereby certify that the witness whose testimony
9	appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn
10	by me to testify to the truth and nothing but the
	by the to testify to the truth and housing but the
11	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken
11 12	
	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken
12	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my
12 13	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my
12 13 14	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
12 13 14 15	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I further certify that I am neither
12 13 14 15	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel nor related nor employed by any
12 13 14 15 16 17	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel nor related nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this
12 13 14 15 16 17	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel nor related nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; further, that I am not a
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel nor related nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel nor related nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel nor related nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in this action.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	truth; that the testimony of said witness was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel nor related nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in this action.

35 (Pages 137 to 138)

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 37 of 56 PageID #: 2657

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

A	active 114:20,21	111:23 113:8	85:16 110:18	109:5
ability 30:24	114:25 115:1	129:14 134:22	Americas 5:9	apprehended
138:13	116:5 119:25	135:13 136:4	amount 40:24	123:11
able 21:19 23:16	127:9	agency 23:4	43:8	appropriate
26:6 36:3	actual 80:15	36:10 43:4	amounts 65:15	45:9,12,13,19
38:23 49:11	103:4,15	44:3 47:1 48:4	and/or 103:5	approximately
83:24 84:25	add 55:12 70:3	48:13,17 58:24	Angelo 5:12	7:15
87:23 88:16	70:16 75:11,15	59:1,4,11	8:12	April 138:22
109:17	98:2	64:12 72:10,12	announcement	ArchCity 5:4
academies 44:8	added 27:18	107:6 109:20	71:9 72:2,5,8,9	8:7
academy 22:21	42:12 44:17	111:4 112:5,10	72:12,15	area 17:5,6 23:9
35:23,23 44:7	67:13,15 68:6	114:8,10 115:9	annually 116:5	24:5 32:20
45:25 131:11	69:25 70:1	115:21 118:13	136:18	34:25 48:9
131:21	71:16 73:19	118:14 119:8	answer 10:10	49:7 68:17,25
accepted 69:18	74:4,13,14,23	120:6,6,9	25:19,20 30:22	73:9 93:7,10
access 17:3,13	78:2 89:11,12	122:24 123:12	32:1 33:3 36:9	134:23 135:3
18:25 20:15,19	89:13	123:22,23,25	72:23 95:5,5	areas 20:15
20:20,22,24	adding 54:10	124:19 125:5	99:10 102:9	23:21
21:5,9,12,14	55:7 77:18,19	126:19,24	118:18	argumentative
21:16,19,24	79:13 80:25	127:1,4,17	answered	27:2 59:19
22:2,5,6,9	addition 21:13	129:12 136:13	109:19	60:10 122:25
31:18,21 32:11	74:19 80:25	136:14	answering 9:11	arrest 21:13
39:11 40:4,10	additional 127:1	agenda 2:13,14	10:1,2,11 18:2	24:22 25:3,13
41:16 42:25	adjacent 109:13	3:1 84:13,17	130:14	31:9,9,11
43:6,20 53:3,5	109:14	96:16,19 97:15	answers 38:15	53:20 64:17,23
53:9,14,19	Administrator	97:17,24	94:13	arrested 101:24
63:24 71:24	138:6	116:11 118:19	anybody 12:6	103:3
76:6 77:1	administrators	ago 11:4 15:15	16:3 72:11	arrests 25:5
87:21 88:2,5	19:6	73:2 132:23	85:2,14 86:17	53:21 101:23
88:10,11,14	advise 54:2,11	agree 57:15 60:9	131:15	101:23 103:2
98:24 106:8,15	55:8,10,13	74:2,4	anytime 50:2	aside 11:25
106:21,22	57:8 58:4	AGREED 7:2	apologize	12:22 13:6
110:8,11,12,18	advised 65:7	agreement 7:8	133:17	19:2 60:14
110:21 115:9	Advisory 2:17	aguisado@ccr	appears 79:13	85:2,14 87:8
129:3	2:21 55:11,18	5:15	79:23 138:9	94:18 99:14
accesses 19:10	91:4	ahead 10:10	application	118:10
accurate 25:24	affect 49:9 56:16	54:16	46:19,20,22	asked 30:18
26:3,11 79:15	age 27:9 108:17	al 1:4,7 4:4,7	47:5,6,23 48:2	51:18 70:7,9
89:7 114:17	agencies 17:22	7:17,17	applications	79:7,9 90:7
115:11	17:22 18:12,23	align 130:2	16:22,23 18:4	134:8 135:1
accurately 9:11	18:24,25 22:13	allow 29:5 57:21	24:13 102:14	asking 8:25 9:4
136:1 137:7	45:20 46:5,25	58:8,13 59:24	102:16,20	19:24 25:9
act 109:22	48:5 50:7	110:21 123:18	apply 36:9	29:12 69:3
action 116:13	59:24 60:3	allowed 133:15	appreciate	88:20 89:2
125:4 127:16	79:11,17 80:13	allows 21:8	13:11	102:25 108:4
138:17,21	91:8 93:7,9	25:10 58:10	apprehend	111:13

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 38 of 56 PageID #: 2658

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	<u> </u>	-	1	•
assign 102:8,14	119:19	basically 16:18	125:13,16,25	106:16,17,21
102:18	available 35:24	33:17 119:7	126:4,6	106:23 109:18
assigned 18:15	60:4 85:9	basis 25:6 34:13	Bridgeton's	110:2,6,10,16
22:7 34:21,22	88:20 98:22,23	49:23 130:11	127:5	110:24 111:3
44:2 83:16	107:24 128:20	130:12 132:19	Briggs 85:24	cancellation
assist 19:17	128:23 129:1,4	136:17	86:4	105:15
associated 66:21	Avenue 5:9 6:3	Bates 67:15	bring 48:8	capability 76:4
73:13	aware 13:7	bathroom 72:21	Britney 5:12 8:9	capacity 10:19
assume 30:18	26:17,24 50:4	bear 99:8	Broadway 5:13	22:24 100:20
35:18 94:13	62:20 67:5	Beginning 1:15	brought 102:1	132:24
assumes 29:10	75:2 87:6	behalf 4:13 8:3	103:5	captured 101:13
attached 3:8	93:16,19,22	8:7,10,13	Brown 86:4	car 106:9 124:17
attend 13:20,25	100:18 103:20	believe 37:21	bulky 94:18	CARE 53:16,18
17:20 36:3	awareness 91:1	62:10 66:24	Burk 49:1 50:9	64:2 77:2 78:9
48:19 49:24,25	95:21	73:15 79:10	72:14 98:1,8	78:10,13
50:1,7 85:3,10	a.m 1:15 7:11,15	86:3 90:21	130:15	107:19 133:4
85:11,15,17,20		93:15	business 13:19	Carroll 5:4 8:6,6
85:22 91:21	B	Belmar 1:7 4:7	14:9 33:18	case 1:6 4:6 7:18
92:14,15	B 5:19 102:4	7:17 8:15 92:6	button 107:7	23:14 67:19
attendance	back 22:14 30:5	benefit 32:23,24	115:1 124:13	83:17 104:15
33:25 81:23	36:2 45:25	best 9:24,25	124:13 125:7,8	105:10,12
86:22,23,25	46:13 58:20	130:1 138:12	bwilson@ccrj	109:13 120:13
87:2	71:15,19 79:2	better 9:8	5:15	122:6 127:5
attended 14:17	83:12 96:24	beyond 57:22		132:13,15
47:19 54:5	97:10,11	birth 27:9	C	133:11 134:11
86:4 92:17	101:14 105:3	bit 17:1 18:13	C 5:1 7:11	category 55:13
93:13	110:5,18	23:12 33:4	CA 4:18 6:12	cause 65:12,14
attendees 84:13	115:10 122:7	36:25 41:9	138:5	65:16,20,23
attending 82:15	122:20 124:7	68:25 114:2	calendar 16:20	66:24 69:6,24
83:8	124:18 125:13	blackout 108:11	call 19:7 21:8	70:4,16 73:15
attends 48:16,17	128:18	body 123:22	22:8 46:17	76:10,16,25
82:21 92:16	background	bold 78:17	74:24 102:6,7	77:6,22 80:25
attorney 10:7	13:15 66:5,8	118:23	103:6 110:20	89:3,5,6,10,20
138:16,19	77:24	bottom 62:10	128:22 130:13	90:4,18,21
attorneys 7:25	Ballpark 113:25	67:13,15,20,21	133:1,6 135:3	98:5
19:6	bank 38:11	68:7 105:8,10	called 128:7	CCR 6:13
audience 20:10	based 21:3 24:9	117:2 118:6	135:6	138:25
audio 35:9 86:9	24:10 30:3	121:12	calling 42:11	center 5:13 7:21
86:12,13 87:3	37:3 43:3	box 76:14,18	calls 16:21 18:2	8:10,12 11:15
August 2:15,19	46:22 55:10	break 72:21	18:3 29:10	Central 6:3
96:22 97:7,17	58:23 59:2,9	78:20 79:4	31:22 40:12	certain 32:6
97:24	59:25 65:22	breakdown	59:17 77:11	55:25 56:19,24
authority 57:22	77:1 119:23	113:23	125:2	98:23 110:12
automated	121:25 126:9	Brian 35:3	Cancel 77:5	certainly 90:24
38:10,25	134:1	Bridgeton	canceled 105:14	certainty 30:7
automatically	basic 37:10	124:23 125:1,5	106:1,3,12,14	CERTIFICA
	ı	I	ı	ı

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 39 of 56 PageID

#: 2659

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	•	•	i	
138:1	40:9	35:25 93:8	49:4,12 75:5	community 91:8
certification	charge 27:11,12	134:23 135:2	111:24 123:21	comparable
21:2,2,5 36:13	27:13 30:4	CJ6 116:17	129:2,3,3	29:17 33:12,14
37:9 39:9 43:3	59:3,10 108:4	Clair 24:7	CN 105:24	33:20 40:21
85:19,19	109:1,9,11	clarification	106:1	complete 22:7
certified 4:16,17	119:22 120:2	22:16	college 13:18,20	26:3 27:5 44:5
4:18 7:5 36:13	charges 100:10	clarify 19:23	13:24,25 14:6	46:1,14 77:3
36:17,23 43:1	100:14,19	22:18 56:14	14:8,16	114:16 115:11
43:19 44:10	101:1,25 103:5	79:6 89:1	color 27:9,10	completely
85:18 88:11	108:14 111:12	clarifying 19:24	column 57:10	111:2
138:4,4,5	125:21 126:1,6	class 34:12	100:8,9,10	complicated
certify 22:16	Charles 23:25	36:11 41:3	Combs 4:16	56:13
138:8,15	124:3,5,19,20	44:6 52:13,15	6:12 7:5 138:3	computer
cetera 33:25	check 34:7	52:23,24,25	138:25	127:14
44:24 63:21	122:15 129:15	56:7 61:7	come 18:3 30:5	concludes
chance 78:20	checking 59:3	63:14 64:8	38:1 45:24	137:13
change 20:11	chief 92:5,7 93:3	66:17 78:14,16	46:13 71:15,19	condition 9:12
48:9 50:4,5	choice 23:5	86:14,22 87:3	79:18 80:7	conduct 16:17
54:23 55:2,7	112:20 113:11	89:21 120:17	102:11 124:7	16:19 19:20
55:13,14,16	124:21	120:17 121:3,5	126:10 129:23	86:8
70:13,19,24	choices 126:16	128:10 131:7,8	comes 9:6 42:16	conference
71:4,7,10,15	choose 32:19	132:6,7	55:13 80:3	133:1,5
72:7 73:2,5	36:11 109:2	classes 16:18,18	81:2 129:24	confirm 114:16
74:15,16,25	112:8,15,17,24	16:20 17:20,25	coming 48:5	114:24 120:8
75:1,2,5,16,17	113:7,7,8,12	19:21 23:4	81:15 136:25	127:8,16
75:19,22 77:10	113:19,21	34:6 52:18	comments 98:2	confirmation
80:3 83:18	chooses 23:4	classroom 33:23	Commission	120:11 124:9
84:2 94:7	59:11 118:13	35:22	8:18 138:22	124:12 125:5,6
122:1,1	127:2	Clayton 6:3	committed	125:8,11,12
changed 38:5,9	chose 75:10	clearances 20:22	66:24 73:16	126:15,16,17
49:6,8 55:1	107:7,22	cleared 105:10	90:22 98:5	126:20,22,23
75:3 87:18	chosen 108:6	105:12,16,17	committee 2:18	128:6,7
changes 9:15	Christopher	Clements 8:16	2:22 55:12,18	confirmed
28:16 30:3	8:15	clerk 24:25	70:11 91:5,6	127:14
48:5 49:4,6,10	Cindy 1:11 4:11	42:11	91:11,12,13,14	confused 43:25
54:9,19,21	7:16 9:2	clerks 17:10	91:17,20,23	96:23
55:24,24 72:4	103:14 116:19	19:5 20:8	92:1,9 93:11	confusing 9:7
72:6 75:10	137:2,14	135:14	committees	67:20 123:4,7
80:7,7 81:2	circulated 98:17	click 76:23 77:4	45:21	123:20
84:3 89:10	circumstances	115:1	common 21:18	confusion 97:9
129:19	56:19	client 15:5,18	87:12	conjecture
changing 38:12	circumvent 60:7	18:14,17 56:11	communication	29:11 31:23
50:2	city 4:15 5:19	58:23 81:19	129:15	40:13 59:18
characterizati	8:17 17:6,6,7	82:22	communicatio	77:12
76:21	23:19,24 24:1	clients 17:8 18:4	12:12,13,16	connection
characterize	24:2 35:16,23	18:21,22 19:1	49:15 130:6	11:19 132:13
		<u> </u>	l	l

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 40 of 56 PageID

#: 2660

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	-	-	ī	
94:15	52:5,20 53:23	11:19 23:24,25	court 1:1 3:7 4:1	criteria 31:6
consider 47:13	54:3,22,24	23:25 24:1,5	4:17 6:11 7:6	119:21
Constitutional	55:9,20 57:9	35:23 47:4	7:19 9:21	CRR 6:12
5:13 8:11,13	58:10,11,13,15	48:25 50:10,16	17:25,25 19:5	138:25
135:19,25	58:16 60:5	88:6,10 91:22	19:5 27:12,13	CSR 6:12
contact 54:1,10	61:8 64:1,24	109:15,23	27:15,16,16,17	curious 122:22
55:7,10,12	65:5 66:8 67:5	112:3,23	34:22,25 57:17	current 15:25
57:8 58:4	67:9 68:19,21	123:25 124:4,6	57:22 59:13	67:5,23 68:1,9
115:13,14,19	69:8,12 71:24	124:10,19,20	78:20 115:13	68:11,17 80:10
132:5 136:6	72:1 73:3,18	124:23,25	135:13 137:6	92:7 118:24
contained 25:7	73:20,23,24	125:9,11,18	138:5	currently 82:23
25:13 45:4	74:3,21 76:17	126:2,3,9,14	courts 17:24	91:24
contents 12:13	76:25 77:7	127:3,8 130:7	18:24 19:5	custody 126:8
12:17	79:23 83:9,14	130:20 136:5,9	135:13	127:11,12
contextual 94:24	83:22 86:10	County's 48:21	cover 23:20,22	customer 111:13
continuously	88:12,22 89:21	couple 39:4	23:23 24:6	111:14,15,18
14:23	89:23 97:18,19	94:20	52:25 62:9	111:19,19,20
control 70:19	107:25 110:15	course 9:15 20:1	66:16 121:12	124:20
74:25 75:2	112:9 113:1,2	20:11,13,16	122:20	customers 93:12
conversation	113:13,16,17	23:6 34:11	covered 37:6	customize 21:2
132:25	119:10,18,24	35:17,21 36:1	131:5	C-i-n-d-y 9:2
coordinate	120:3 124:2	36:6,21,22,24	covering 49:5	
49:21 68:10	129:5 133:15	37:3,6,7,8 38:4	co-counsel 8:4	D
coordinator	134:9,24,25	38:14 39:10	create 26:2 44:5	D 7:11
48:13 77:2	135:6,10,11,16	41:5,15 42:14	45:7,24 46:16	Daniel 82:4
79:20 107:20	135:17,20,21	42:15,17,19,20	46:18 58:24	data 42:6 100:2
133:4	135:23,24	42:24 43:7,8	59:25 102:8	100:4,5,16,21
coordinators	136:1,2,7,19	43:21,23 44:6	121:25 122:5	112:2
48:4 78:9,10	136:20	44:8,14,17,18	133:25	database 23:13
78:13	corrections	45:1 53:2,10	created 23:17,18	24:14,18 25:7
copied 3:8	18:24 137:6	64:1,2,4,5,11	50:22 51:6,7	25:10 45:15,16
copies 3:8 38:20	correctly 20:17	65:11,24,25	52:3 58:23	46:12 79:22
copy 11:12 39:3	67:1 121:15	71:21 86:23	59:1 79:10	98:17,23 99:25
97:6 137:16	correspond	121:1 122:13	83:15 91:15	101:13
corner 100:7	55:16 96:15	122:14,16	107:6,10,22	date 7:14 27:8
correct 12:21	corresponding	128:4,13,16	137:3	27:13 51:17
14:25 15:12	84:18 88:14	131:21	creates 46:12	62:1 71:3
16:12 18:10	121:22	courses 16:25	59:4 107:8	93:21 106:2
19:12,14 20:2	counsel 7:3,3,8	19:17,25 20:3	creation 80:16	107:17 110:1
25:11,22,24,25	65:8 138:16,19	20:15 30:14	credit 14:1	117:16
26:4,25 27:7	Counselor's 6:2	34:23,24 35:6	credits 14:17	dated 2:13,15,18
27:20 37:25	8:18	35:14 44:19,22	crime 66:24	2:22 3:2
38:19 39:20,21	counties 24:2,6	63:25 87:5,10	73:16 98:5	117:20
41:11,12,14	109:5,12,13,14	87:15 89:4,10	criminal 17:4	dates 62:8
43:11 45:2	134:23	89:17 128:5	101:25 103:5	David 6:7 7:23
48:14,15 52:1	county 6:2 8:15	131:16	129:2	15:23 83:2,3

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 41 of 56 PageID #: 2661

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	_	_		
day 35:17 37:17	Department's	125:24 126:3	82:17,22	121:7,25 122:5
37:18,18 75:5	50:17	detainers 122:2	133:18	122:23 133:7,9
days 35:17	depend 36:6,18	123:5	disappear	documentation
110:24 116:2,4	44:19	detaining	106:17,19	16:20 55:15,15
136:6,6,16	depending	125:20	discrepancy	70:8 75:7
day-to-day	20:10 35:16	determination	69:20	84:18
16:15 34:13	47:5 106:19	22:11 66:6	discuss 39:5	documented
decide 119:8	109:1 114:9	77:7	46:18 88:19	127:24
125:23	119:22 120:1	determine 76:24	104:12	documents
decision 55:2,6	125:22 126:16	determined	discussed 13:9	12:24 13:2,7,8
55:11 64:10	134:14	34:18 35:19	23:15 33:8	60:16,25 61:3
70:3,6,15	depends 28:11	76:9	52:18,18 53:10	61:4,9,20,25
75:11,15 80:23	48:2 85:9	determining	54:4 55:25	62:7 63:13
81:8,15 84:8	130:17	38:2	56:2 71:8	66:10 90:3,5
118:15 127:5	deposed 10:14	develop 16:23	74:12 89:11	94:18,21 96:4
decisions 54:13	12:11 23:14	34:3 79:21	120:11	98:12 121:25
54:14,18,22	deposition 1:10	developed 34:6	discussing 42:20	134:6
83:11,12	4:11 7:4,16,20	51:11	discussion 8:19	Doell 6:7 7:23
decree 94:15	103:22 104:7	developers	47:22 48:11	DOJ 94:15
defendants 1:8	104:10,22	102:21,22	71:11 73:1	DOJ's 93:16
4:8 6:1 7:4	132:15 133:22	development	dispatch 124:9	draft 61:9,24
10:8 116:13	134:11,21	16:23 34:24	125:2	131:1
Defenders 5:4	137:4,8,14	46:12	display 105:5	drafted 40:19,22
8:7	138:9,18	differ 20:19	dispute 136:19	51:16 61:22
DEF-SUPP	depositions	difference 56:15	distributed	63:2
67:15	10:21	57:16 59:7,12	95:11	drafting 37:23
degree 14:9	described 68:20	66:13	District 1:1,2	51:10 61:18,19
33:12,14,15,17	description 70:4	differences	4:1,2 7:19,19	65:1
33:18,19 37:2	79:15 81:4	30:12 56:12	division 1:2 4:2	drive 87:13
93:4	88:1 94:3	98:12,18	102:12	driver 21:9
department	114:6 125:10	different 20:14	document 2:12	37:13
5:19 11:19	descriptions	20:14,15,20,21	2:14,16,20 3:1	dual 111:22,24
22:7,10,23	81:6	20:21 21:1,12	3:3 11:13,16	112:4,5,9,13
23:8,19 32:19	design 45:15	44:22 52:17,19	30:6 51:3,10	112:24 113:3,6
35:19 37:12	designation 55:2	69:1 91:8	51:12,24 52:11	113:8,10,18
45:22 46:4,8	designed 45:16	106:24 108:25	53:11 54:4,23	duly 138:9
47:4 48:21,25	designing 46:23	120:5 129:21	55:1,24 56:4	DWAYNE 1:4
88:6,10 91:22	desk 15:5 16:21	diploma 33:13	56:10,22 57:5	4:4
93:17 112:4,20	18:1 102:7	direct 112:12	63:15,17 67:7	
112:22,24	103:7 130:14	directed 83:12	67:14 68:2,9	E
124:1,4 130:7	details 23:17	direction 138:14	68:12,16 90:9	E 5:1,1 6:2 7:11
130:20	detain 125:25	directly 107:16	90:10,12 96:6	7:11
departments	126:6	112:11 132:14	98:15 99:3,20	earlier 10:25
17:24 18:16,18	detained 126:4	director 15:18	100:6 105:4	18:11 62:17
23:21 31:20	detainer 120:4	70:25 80:21	107:4 116:24	72:20 79:7
46:9,10	123:9,10,17,21	81:19,20 82:16	117:4 120:24	80:11,22 84:10
	•	•	•	•

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 42 of 56 PageID #: 2662

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

		JERRININGS 5/3		
86:7 87:21	45:3 55:11,17	56:7 61:5,7	121:17	95:17 99:6
89:2 121:3,19	66:22 73:14	63:14 64:8	excused 137:19	114:12,12
128:17	83:17 91:4,7	65:11 66:17	exhibit 2:7,8,8,9	128:21,22
Earls 15:23	93:6,9 134:22	70:5,8,17	2:9,10,10,11	eye 27:9
early 15:10	ensure 26:1	73:11 76:19	2:11,12,14,16	cyc 27.7
134:21	entail 49:22	77:3 78:14	2:20,24,24,25	F
earth 3:4 122:21	entails 114:7	79:12 98:6	2:25 3:1,3 11:9	facility 81:24
Eastern 1:2,2	enter 24:25	104:14 107:8	11:10 50:23,24	fact 29:16
4:2,2 7:19	30:24 31:4,16	107:10 120:17	53:12 60:18	111:24
education 13:15	41:24 76:4	120:17 121:3,6	62:10,13,16	factor 66:1
13:17	79:20 111:10	121:18,22	66:10 67:3,11	facts 29:10
effectuated 25:6	111:15,22,25	122:12 123:10	96:9,12 97:12	fail 40:2
efficiency 9:18	112:1,7,10	127:15,16	99:15,16	fair 13:12 21:20
efficiently 10:4	113:9	128:9	104:23 116:7,8	23:10,11 25:5
eight 14:1,17	entered 27:23	Eric 80:21 81:7	116:15 120:20	25:12 26:3,7,8
82:13	28:8 45:2	82:18	120:21	26:9 28:21,24
either 67:19	76:16 107:18	especially 123:9	exhibits 2:6 3:7	38:18,19 39:11
111:25 124:8	120:6	Esq 5:4,8,12,12	60:19 96:1	46:2,8,14 55:3
125:1	entering 24:21	5:19 6:2	exist 13:12 31:6	55:4 56:17,21
electronically	64:3 66:23,25	et 1:4,7 4:4,7	84:4 102:3,4	56:22 57:1,20
114:10	73:14,16 74:20	7:17,17 33:25	108:24 121:23	63:9 64:13,19
elements 46:19	76:13 77:3	44:24 63:21	existed 62:24	66:2,3,7 75:12
email 50:3 84:20	98:6 111:11,16	eventually 81:1	91:18	75:13 76:7,8
114:11	entire 35:18	everybody 86:2	existence 51:20	76:11,12,20
emailed 12:8,19	100:23	128:5	111:6 115:16	80:14 81:4
72:13	entitled 2:12,14	Everybody's	exists 63:4 88:22	99:11 101:18
emails 129:23	2:16,20 3:1,3	102:23	experience	101:19 103:24
employed 15:8	entries 21:16	evidence 29:10	33:12,15 63:19	122:10,19
22:22 138:16	24:13 26:2	exact 27:24 71:3	expires 138:22	familiar 18:8,19
138:20	29:17 41:10,13	exactly 71:1	explain 28:13	29:1,4,14,16
employee 88:5,9	43:10 45:23	exam 37:16,23	30:12 36:8	29:21 50:16
138:19	53:4,21,22	38:5 39:19,19	42:2,3 44:1	51:3 60:16,25
employees 22:14	57:11 58:9	39:23 43:7,18	45:3 78:15	61:2,3 99:20
95:12 128:24	61:5 79:7	EXAMINATI	explaining 42:8	100:21 131:10
employment	113:14,15,19	2:4,5 8:20	98:12,17	131:17
11:20	113:20 118:1	134:16	explanation	familiarity
EN 107:17,17	135:15	examined 4:12	4 7:11	117:5
enable 60:6	entry 21:12,24	example 22:20	EXT 108:17	far 108:20
encounter 56:19	22:2 25:10,13	44:21 49:7	extradite 108:21	far-right 57:10
ends 67:21	26:18 27:5,6	54:20 135:20	109:13 125:19	February
enforcement	27:19 29:21	examples 21:4	125:20 126:11	133:22
2:17,21 14:12	30:3 42:13,17	exams 39:3	extradition	feedback 130:20
16:17,25 17:2	42:19 44:24	excuse 28:9,15	27:14 59:10	felonies 32:18
17:4 18:23	45:14 46:11,14	34:17 64:2	69:19 108:20	felony 28:14
19:3 20:8	52:13,15,22,24	72:18 73:22	108:24	69:18 108:4,16
34:21,23 35:2	52:25 53:20	89:12 95:14	extranet 52:14	felt 122:3

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 43 of 56 PageID #: 2663

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

females 101:5	26:14 31:24	further 104:9	97:4 100:24	81:7 82:18
Ferguson 93:17	32:13 39:5	122:4 136:24	107:13 108:4,9	government
94:15	67:14 77:13	138:15,18	108:13 109:5,8	122:23
field 42:7,7	88:19,23 97:13		114:12 121:11	graduated 13:18
46:15,16	104:5 116:18	G	122:5,7 127:6	14:6,16,19
101:12 105:19	116:21 123:1	G 7:11	129:14 137:5	gray 73:9
fields 27:6 42:8	133:11 137:2	gain 17:13 41:16	goes 67:25 68:3	great 11:25
45:5,7,13,18	137:11,16	43:6	74:24 75:1	12:19 54:16
45:23 46:2,11	Floor 5:13 6:3	gained 42:25	126:18	ground 9:20
79:12,13,18	Florissant 13:21	gang 48:9,10	going 10:3 12:2	group 18:4 47:7
80:11,12	13:23 14:18	Garrison 5:8	13:1 18:9 19:7	47:22,25
figure 103:23	focus 36:20 37:5	gathering 134:5	20:4,6 23:8	groups 20:12
106:25	38:16 48:7	general 50:17	30:1 34:11	45:21 46:17,21
file 21:9 31:11	76:1	81:17 82:3,4,6	38:4 44:19,22	46:25 47:19
37:12 41:19	focused 71:5	82:11	45:6 46:18	48:7
45:4 48:9	focusing 58:3	generated 99:24	47:14 48:12	grown 23:20
58:11,14,22,22	79:8	101:11 103:1	49:5 54:9,19	guarantee 63:8
files 17:4,4 31:1	folks 20:19	geographical	55:12 59:9	68:8
31:3,5,8,14,17	follow 102:6	23:21	63:19 72:5,6	guess 18:20
31:21 32:11	followed 37:11	give 11:13 15:2	94:20,21,25	22:15 27:25
41:20 45:4	43:7	16:14 21:4,23	95:4,23 99:8,8	28:21 55:1,5
47:10,12,13	following 11:17	22:3,10 47:11	99:14 102:25	113:9 114:9
fills 44:3 125:8	73:4 84:7	47:18,21 52:12	105:2 111:25	118:17 131:6
financially	follow-up	63:15 78:20	112:1 115:8	guessing 118:19
138:20	118:17 134:15	108:1 114:5,13	116:6 120:12	guidance 94:5
find 11:2,5	foregoing 138:9	122:11 133:21	122:20 125:19	guide 63:20
68:11,13	forgot 133:18	136:13	125:19,25	Guisado 5:12
findings 93:20	form 22:9 25:16	given 19:20 20:4	126:10 128:18	8:12,12
93:23	26:12 44:4,5	43:4,19 44:3	132:7 134:18	guys 130:1
fingers 78:21	formal 14:14	83:8 100:13	137:3	133:24 134:10
finish 10:3	format 106:20	117:5	Gomez 2:8,9,10	
first 11:16 14:6	found 12:2	gives 22:2	2:11,24 50:23	H
27:8,24 28:2	foundation	giving 24:20	50:25 53:12	hair 27:9
39:23 58:8	25:17 59:18	go 9:20 10:4,10	60:17,20 62:10	hand 63:15 87:9
61:24 66:19	four 16:7 17:15	17:21,23,24	62:13,16,22	99:14 121:5
69:5 108:17	33:7 76:23	18:17 21:19	66:10 67:3,11	handed 62:7
116:3 117:3	fourth 100:9	22:14,20 24:1	68:22 72:17,19	63:17 96:16,17
118:7	frame 114:9	24:4 25:2	72:25 73:25	handing 60:17
five 10:23 33:7	Franklin 23:25	27:11 32:20	99:15,17	happen 42:23,24
48:24 51:21	free 72:20	33:23,25 35:17	130:16 132:12	42:25 48:1
57:3,6,13,14	front 118:20	36:2 41:18	132:14,22	80:1 83:13
62:5	full 21:15 105:5	42:7 44:7	136:15	129:8
flag 59:3	fully 9:11	54:16 56:15	good 8:22,23	happened 71:2
Flojo 5:19 8:17	103:20	59:2,16 60:23	19:22 67:10	104:7
8:17 10:7,9	Furlow 1:4 4:4	63:21 67:20	78:1	happening 75:9
12:7,13 25:14	7:17	68:22 79:12,19	Gorham 80:21	happens 26:10

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 44 of 56 PageID

#: 2664 CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

43:2 86:20	51:2 59:20	96:13 116:9	individual's	98:19
123:15 129:21	60:11,13,22	120:22	21:3	interested 31:13
head 30:1 32:4	77:16 78:7,19	identifiers 67:18	inform 40:18	31:20 55:5
63:3 72:12	79:3 88:21,24	68:7	49:3,11 114:25	69:2 138:21
81:12 131:19	90:15 95:3,23	identifies 22:9	130:23 131:1	interface 24:24
136:12	96:3,7,11,14	Illinois 17:8	information	56:18,23 57:2
heads 72:10	97:14 99:13,19	24:6 134:24	21:19 24:25	57:10,12
heard 60:6	103:15 104:11	immediately	25:6,12,23	interpret 26:6
93:24 98:7	104:20 105:1	106:17	26:6,10,18,23	intranet 98:25
127:7 130:19	116:6,10,16,23	impact 78:8,10	27:4,10,22	128:20
height 27:9,25	120:19,23	78:12	28:1,6 30:25	intro 43:23
held 7:20 82:19	123:2 128:15	implemented	31:5 41:20,24	introduce 8:1,4
82:24 101:24	134:13 136:24	117:10,18,22	41:25 42:10	49:5
103:3	hopefully 9:19	important 25:23	43:10,15 45:1	introduced
help 15:5 16:21	13:3,3 23:15	38:3	45:4 46:1,13	122:3 123:17
18:1 56:11,14	75:8	Improper 25:17	47:14 64:3,16	introduction
56:25 77:5	host 91:13	59:18	64:22 66:23	37:11 44:16,23
102:7 103:7	hot 21:9 37:11	inaccurate	73:14 76:14	introductory
130:14 131:1	47:9,12,13	26:19,22	77:3 79:21	44:14,25
132:9 134:5,8	hours 14:1	incident 83:15	90:6 127:15	128:13
helpful 30:7	58:15 103:18	incident-track	129:6,12,17	investigation
helps 56:13	131:9	84:1	134:1	93:17
133:22	Hughes 2:5 6:2	include 17:9	informational	invited 48:6
hereto 138:20	8:14,14 10:8	45:19 65:11	94:24	involve 17:19
Hickey 13:19	25:16 26:12	77:21 79:12	initially 61:17	involved 51:10
14:6,8,16,20	27:1 29:9,19	89:3,9 90:4,6	initiated 119:13	54:12 65:1
high 13:16 14:7	31:22 40:12	included 79:19	initiating 98:4	70:12 75:14
33:12	46:3 59:17	80:14 94:10	inlets 37:12	135:8
Highway 80:5	60:10 77:11	100:14	input 21:20 42:6	involvement
91:19	78:3 94:25	including 77:23	inputting 64:15	91:2
hired 15:16	97:12 103:10	incoming 23:10	64:21	in-service 22:21
history 37:13	116:14,17,19	incorporated	inquiries 21:17	Isom 82:5
hit 120:11 124:7	122:25 134:14	71:6	53:21	issue 32:7 79:5
124:9,12 125:3	134:17 136:21	incorrect 57:25	inquiry 21:13	83:15,16 102:8
125:8,11,12	hypotheticals	INDEX 2:1	44:24	104:13 117:4
126:15,15,17	80:10	indicate 109:4	installments	135:23
128:6,7		indicates 90:3	36:4	issued 27:17,25
hold 20:4 96:23	I	indictment	instance 26:22	28:5 57:17,18
holds 35:22	ID 36:12 39:12	73:10	instruct 17:21	59:13,14 74:17
Holland 2:4 5:8	43:19 44:5	individual 18:15	instructions	93:19,22 100:3
8:2,3,21,24	106:2	31:19 98:8	122:4	100:8,13 101:5
11:8,12 25:18	idea 16:14 47:18	120:14 125:10	instructor 87:11	103:5
26:16 27:3	47:21	130:10	instructs 10:10	issues 83:19,20
29:12,20 31:25	identification	individuals	interest 54:1,10	84:6 124:1
32:22 39:2,7	11:11 22:8,9	16:19 81:25	54:20 57:8	item 2:13,15 3:2
40:15 46:6	44:4 96:2,10	83:6 128:23	58:2,4 98:13	97:15,17,24
			,	, ,
		ı	ı	1

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 45 of 56 PageID #: 2665

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I
118:19	J-e-n-n-i-n-g-s	70:5,9,22	83:17 91:4,7	let's 9:20,24
items 96:16	9:3	72:22 74:9,10	93:4,6,9	12:10 13:14
116:11		75:14,18,20	134:22 135:20	20:3 23:12
iterations 75:1	K	77:8,22,24	lawyers 65:1	33:4 36:20
	Kansas 17:5,6,7	81:13,16 83:13	93:1	50:21 58:3
J	17:7 24:1,2,4,5	84:5,9 86:2,3	LC 105:20 106:5	60:15 63:10
J 5:8	35:16,25 93:8	86:17,17 89:24	106:6 107:14	66:9,10 72:17
Jackson 24:3	134:23 135:2	91:19,25 92:3	lead 25:13 33:6	72:19 76:1
January 62:13	Karen 35:3	92:8 93:2,4	33:8 34:18	77:2 80:10
62:17 66:11	51:14 55:15	94:17 99:10	37:9 64:16,22	104:14 105:3
67:4 70:3	61:14,24 65:6	100:1 101:4,4	127:15	123:25 124:6
73:19,22 74:5	Karl 35:3 51:14	101:5 103:10	learn 22:25 32:8	124:22
74:6,9 82:25	61:14	103:11 107:21	45:18 49:10	level 21:8,12,17
101:6 121:16	keep 9:10 10:11	110:7,13,23	104:15	21:18,21,23
121:17,18	38:20 86:25	111:7 112:3	learned 81:8	22:1,5,6 28:18
133:21,23	87:20 88:7,13	113:3,18,22	83:10 90:8	32:17 36:18,20
Jeff 49:1 72:14	100:18,23	119:6,14,15	learning 34:14	36:21 37:9
98:1,7	111:6	122:7 125:13	leave 58:3	39:11 41:16
Jefferson 23:25	keeping 110:24	129:14 132:1	leaving 119:7	43:3,6 44:4,17
Jennings 1:11	110:25	133:23 134:2	led 103:2,4	44:21 52:19
4:12 7:16 8:22	kept 29:6 84:11	136:12	left 100:7	53:5,7,9,14,17
9:2 11:9 79:4	Kevin 8:16	knowledge	legal 7:23 14:14	53:18,19 63:24
95:25 103:14	kind 9:13 18:5,7	29:13	64:15,21 66:5	71:23 76:6
116:7,18,19,20	32:5 38:16	knowledgeable	66:8	77:1 80:24
116:22 118:20	56:13 63:15	48:8	legend 62:10	88:5,11,14
118:21 120:20	72:7 73:9 80:6	known 81:15	Legislative 80:7	102:9,11 106:8
136:22 137:14	101:17 122:22	knows 27:24	length 28:16,17	106:15,20,22
job 26:1,5 49:18	129:17	75:10 129:12	LEPAC 2:12,14	110:8,11,12,18
95:22	know 9:7,16		3:1 55:19,25	112:21,21,22
John 15:23 86:4	10:2 12:11,12	L	70:7,9,11	129:16
Johnson 24:5	12:14,16 19:16	labeled 105:19	75:16 78:6	levels 20:20,25
join 26:14 31:24	23:9 25:3,4	107:8	80:22 81:8,15	21:1 87:20
77:13 123:1	26:2,7 27:23	language 69:5	81:17,23 83:8	106:24 108:24
Jon 1:7 4:7 8:15	27:24 28:18,19	69:25 70:4,16	83:11 84:7	108:25 110:21
92:6	31:14,21 38:14	71:5,16 81:1	90:23 91:3	Lieutenant 49:1
judges 19:6	38:17,17 39:3	89:11	92:18 93:1,14	50:9 72:14
135:13	39:15,25 40:4	Larry 15:20,23	95:7,15 96:16	98:1,7 130:15
judge's 69:3	40:7,9 41:18	launch 49:14	97:18 98:17	130:16 132:12
July 69:14,15	45:13 46:1,13	Laura 8:16	116:11 118:19	132:14,22
70:2 74:1	47:8,9 51:7,15	law 2:16,20 5:19	128:18,19	136:15
121:17	51:17,18 52:6	14:12 16:17,24	LEPAC's 75:19	life 111:8
jump 10:2	52:9,10 55:6	17:2,4 18:22	98:21	light 73:9
jurisdictions	56:18,23 60:11	19:2 20:7	letter 105:24	line 63:22,22
31:20 91:9	61:11 62:1	34:21,23 35:1	108:18	105:18,21,21
justice 17:4	63:1,6 64:25	45:3 55:11,17	letters 107:16	107:13,14
129:3	65:13,15 69:24	66:22 73:13	letting 125:13	108:9,13 109:8
	•	•	•	•

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 46 of 56 PageID

#: 2666 CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

109:9,25 119:1	15:7 28:9,17	130:20 134:23	11:10 50:23,24	48:3,18 49:6
137:5,5	29:4,21,24	135:5 136:5,8	60:17,19 96:1	49:25 54:8
lines 105:10	34:17 35:13	lower 129:16	96:9,12 99:15	55:23 71:12
list 2:7 87:20	82:6,11,19,24		99:16 104:21	74:18 75:24
88:4,13 107:1	83:3 87:17	M	104:23 116:8	81:19,20,21,24
110:14	89:18 101:24	machine 7:5	120:21	83:8 84:14,19
listed 13:9 27:22	103:2 114:19	Madison 24:6	Mary 130:17	85:20,22 92:18
57:10 66:19	116:5 123:14	main 28:4	material 34:8,14	95:10,11,15
67:8 80:11	longer 33:2	maintain 19:11	38:2 65:4	97:16,18 98:22
101:21	87:19 111:6	87:14 100:2,4	84:17 121:2	99:1 116:12
listening 18:3	123:19	100:5,12	materials 19:16	meetings 47:25
listing 114:13	look 12:24 38:15	maintained	33:24 34:1,3	48:13,17,19
lists 11:18	38:24 50:21	24:14 69:4,10	34:10 50:21	49:2,3,24 50:6
Litigation 4:14	51:25 57:5	83:19 84:22	61:6 65:1	50:10,13 54:5
6:7,13 7:21,24	60:15 62:8	100:14	84:15,21 87:9	56:2 70:12
little 17:1 18:12	63:10 66:9	maintains	87:14 128:16	71:8 74:12
22:15 23:12	72:17 73:25	100:16,22	130:23 131:1	75:2 82:15
33:4 36:25	90:15 99:23	making 48:9	134:5	83:8 84:11,11
41:9 55:22	100:6 102:12	50:3 54:12,14	matter 7:17	84:16 85:3,12
56:12 68:25	105:18 108:3,9	54:18 75:15	matters 11:17	85:15,17 91:21
113:9 114:2	117:2 122:7,18	man 11:6,13	11:18	92:24 93:13
live 123:19	looked 13:7	manager 81:18	mean 19:13 31:2	95:8 96:18
lives 123:15	68:17 77:20	82:3,4,7,12	32:20 33:15	97:21 99:4,6
LLP 5:8	87:8 121:18	manages 129:6	41:8 48:2	128:20
local 30:25 31:9	looking 38:2	mandated 80:3	65:13 67:7	member 91:10
31:14,17 32:14	67:11,25 68:15	manual 38:14	69:11 71:18	92:16 112:4,13
32:15,17,19	lost 32:2	70:5,17 71:17	81:21 90:18	112:24 113:11
119:2,10,16	lot 23:15 90:23	73:4 74:13,14	91:13 93:3	132:6
locally 31:11	90:24 130:13	74:17 89:11	100:5 105:9,11	members 91:7
locate 106:5,6	Lou 85:24 86:3	98:3 121:6,22	109:3,10	92:13,15 113:3
120:4,5,9	Louis 4:15 5:5	122:12	117:14 121:24	113:4,6,18
123:12,18	5:19,20 6:2,8	manuals 64:13	129:9,10,18	mention 89:4,24
124:3 125:17	6:14 7:21 8:15	64:19 87:8	132:3 136:11	mentioned
125:18,22	11:19 17:5	121:2,16,18	meaning 21:16	18:11 27:15
located 13:22	23:18,24,24	Marc 15:24,25	22:16 31:4	44:13 48:12
123:14,15,22	35:14 47:3	70:23 82:23	110:3 127:20	54:19 61:7
locates 120:16	48:21,25 50:10	103:11,16	129:18	65:25 72:2
122:2 123:5	50:16 88:5,10	133:18	means 41:21	80:22 82:15
locate/detainer	91:22 93:7	March 1:12 4:13	90:7 108:5	83:6 84:10
3:4 121:6	109:14,23	7:14 93:20	109:4 138:12	86:7,21 89:19
122:21	112:3,23	mark 11:9 87:2	media 93:18	90:24 127:18
Locust 5:5	123:25 124:10	95:24 96:7	medical 9:12	128:19 136:3
Logistically	124:22,25	114:17 116:7	meet 12:6 31:6	mentions 89:9
132:9	125:9,10,18	120:20	49:22 111:8	Merit 4:18
logistics 41:24	126:2,2,9,14	marked 2:8,9,10	119:21	138:3
long 12:15 13:25	127:3,8 130:7	2:11,24,25	meeting 2:18,22	Meschke 2:25
	,			
	•	•	•	

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 47 of 56 PageID #: 2667

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

15:24,25 23:14	4:16,20 7:19	129:11 130:4	42:11 45:2	27:1 29:9
37:22 70:23	7:21 13:23	MULES-quali	46:11 83:13,13	103:10 122:25
75:21 82:23	17:6 91:19	117:23 118:11	122:4 134:5	objection 10:11
103:11,16,20	109:20 138:7	multiple 67:8	needs 45:23	25:14 29:19
103:25 104:21	misspoke 41:4	68:2 92:11	neither 138:15	31:22 32:13
104:24 133:18	72:18		Net 19:8	40:12 46:3
133:19	MO 4:17 5:5,20	N	never 26:21	59:17 60:10
message 73:10	6:3,8,13,14	N 5:1 7:11	44:11 105:16	77:11 78:3
74:2 77:21	138:6	name 7:22,22	new 5:9,14 34:5	observe 17:22
80:25 89:13	moment 73:2	8:2,24 9:1,1	34:9,12 39:19	obtain 24:21
90:2	monitor 49:18	15:19 27:8,8	44:4,6 46:18	obviously 20:1
messaging 90:2	Monroe 24:7	27:23,24 28:3	48:4 49:4 71:5	22:22
mhughes2@st	month 100:9,13	58:11 86:19	71:16 73:19	occur 36:14
6:4	100:18 114:14	88:4,4,13	74:17 76:1	48:14
Michael 6:2	monthly 136:17	102:23 132:1	78:8,12 84:1,2	occurred 50:12
8:14	months 34:12,13	named 58:14	nitty-gritty	132:25
middle 73:8	36:13 67:6	names 85:25	23:16	occurs 95:10
Midwest 4:14	103:21 132:8	130:10	Nods 81:12	offense 27:13
6:7,13 7:21,24	132:23	Nathaniel 5:4	131:19	28:12,18 32:15
Mike 103:15	morning 8:22,23	8:6	normally 34:22	32:19 90:22
miles 109:5,12	41:10 79:5	national 31:1,3	115:8	offenses 69:18
mind 64:25	move 56:3 99:13	naturally 129:8	North 4:15 6:8	office 6:2 8:18
mine 33:20 68:3	104:4	ncarroll@arc	6:14	84:23
121:10	MULES 29:5,14	5:6	Notary 4:19 7:6	officer 20:17
minute 37:5	29:17,22 30:10	NCIC 29:5	138:6	21:6,23 22:3,4
96:20,23	30:20 31:18	30:11 40:5,11	notes 63:21	23:7 24:25
minutes 2:18,22	32:10 33:1	49:8,11,16	notice 95:2	27:21 32:25
12:18,22 84:12	40:5,10,14	57:20 58:8,13	notified 80:6,24	44:4 47:14
84:14 92:24	49:8,11,13,15	58:16,22 59:2	114:11 126:24	59:16 66:22
95:7,11,15,20	49:22 50:6	59:6 69:4,11	notify 75:6 80:5	71:23 73:14
96:15,17,19	57:21 58:8,13	69:17 80:3	80:6	76:6,16 90:21
97:16,17,20	58:17,19,21,24	105:13,16	November 3:2	106:7 107:19
98:21 99:1,1,2	59:2,4,5 69:4	127:3,22 129:7	117:20	111:10 112:6
99:9 116:12	69:10,17,17	129:11,24	number 7:18	112:21 114:24
126:19 127:1,1	75:22 85:3,12	necessarily	20:5 37:2	115:5,14,19
127:10,13	85:15,19 90:1	121:24	39:25 67:25	124:6,8 125:1
133:11	90:9,9,10	necessary 38:18	100:10,12,14	126:6
misdemeanor	105:9,11,12,14	75:6	100:17,19	officers 17:10
28:14	105:15,16	need 12:12,16	101:7 108:10	20:8 21:7,11
misdemeanors	109:21 111:11	22:15 32:10	113:5 121:11	21:15,21 22:1
32:18	111:15,16,19	33:10 63:21	NY 5:9,14	22:20,25 23:10
missing 47:16	111:20,24,25	72:21 85:18		24:20 30:15
52:25 53:4	112:1,11,12	103:23 104:12	0	32:5 35:21
61:5 67:20	113:7,12,19	113:9 122:1	O 7:11	38:16 39:9,23
97:7 120:17	117:10,22	133:17 137:16	object 10:9	40:10 41:16
Missouri 1:2 4:2	127:22 129:7	needed 41:25	25:16 26:12	42:3 43:6 45:8

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 48 of 56 PageID #: 2668

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

T				
45:11,24 46:12	one-to-one	120:8 126:23	115:18 118:16	73:17 76:9,12
48:10 56:18,20	122:9	127:17	120:16 121:1	76:13,22 82:15
60:7 63:23	online 37:2	originator	123:20	91:12 98:5,13
71:14,18 79:19	38:25 44:12	124:10	partial 69:19	98:18 101:20
112:25 128:1	117:9,17,21	outside 32:20	108:5,8,22	101:24 102:3,7
131:12	118:5	49:7 93:2 95:2	109:3,4	103:2 104:1,2
officer's 20:18	on-job 36:14	108:5	participate	104:3 105:6
officer/agency	oOo 4:10 7:1,10	outstanding	46:17	106:2 107:19
98:4	137:20	114:17 115:11	participated	109:6 115:8
offices 4:14	operational	overbroad 26:13	47:9	120:7,8,18
officials 135:13	49:16 80:4	78:4	participating	123:11 124:7
oh 34:12 62:4	129:24	overlap 32:5	34:23	125:1,3,14
68:13 94:19	operations	overview 63:16	particular 30:11	126:7,8 127:6
101:4,7 112:22	18:18	owns 123:23	32:20 104:6	127:10
133:14 136:13	operator 22:8	o0o 1:3 4:3 7:12	parties 138:17	personally 51:10
okay 9:8,18	42:12 44:3,22		138:20	89:14 92:17
10:14 11:25	operators 53:16	P	Partin 8:16	personnel 19:8
12:22 22:12,19	53:18 64:3	P 5:1,1 7:11	pass 39:10,23	persons 53:1
23:8 32:3 33:4	opposed 25:2	108:18,22	40:1 43:18	54:10 58:2
37:10 44:25	option 24:20	pad 49:14	112:2	person's 64:22
58:7 63:7 64:6	25:2 59:23,23	page 2:2 11:16	passed 59:6	perspective 60:1
84:10 94:19	60:2	62:9 63:14,14	Patrol 80:5	Pezold 130:16
96:22 97:10,25	options 60:3	63:18,18,22,22	91:20	133:2,3
104:19 106:11	76:24 79:11	66:9,12 67:19	Paul 5:8 8:3	phone 16:21
108:16 118:8	oral 19:15	67:22,25 68:2	PD 130:18	18:2,3 115:2
118:25 124:25	order 22:4 24:16	68:15,22 72:17	pedigree 27:10	115:19 133:1
134:20 135:5	24:17 30:2	72:19,25 73:5	pending 72:22	physical 121:11
136:3,15	40:10 46:14	73:8,25 74:1,2	people 16:6	pick 126:10
137:11	54:20 69:3	104:17 105:3	17:15 20:11,14	place 10:21
old 84:3 116:4	76:15 105:6,7	117:3 118:7	20:16,22 34:20	35:12 75:5
Olive 5:20	106:17 107:5,8	121:9,10,12	34:21 48:8	79:18 120:9
onboarding	107:23 108:7	122:20 137:5,5	64:14,20 72:6	123:12,17
18:5	110:7 111:11	pagination	86:1,13 92:14	125:17,24
once 9:23 10:24	111:16 112:10	67:19	percentage	placed 70:7
12:18 28:8	117:11,23	paper 38:10	39:22 113:22	78:17 125:22
35:19,21 36:12	118:11	paragraph 68:18 117:2	period 39:15	plaintiffs 1:5 4:5
39:12 44:2,2	orders 29:8	118:6,23	52:4 110:17	4:13 5:3 7:3
54:16 55:13	50:17 54:9	paralegals 19:7	117:21 119:23	8:4,8,10,13
106:25 110:2	100:7 135:2,3	paralegals 19.7	120:2 122:12	104:21
112:17 113:12	ordinance 28:15	part 26:1 42:19	permissible	please 7:25
123:14 125:22	ordinances	43:11,12,13	135:19,23	31:15 40:6
126:1	32:17 ODI 27:12 12 15	44:18 48:10	person 32:15	43:25 58:20
ones 47:8 80:13	ORI 27:12,13,15	52:12 68:6	47:15,16 54:1	64:18
86:3 131:21	27:16	77:12 90:1	54:20 57:7	plural 46:5
one-day 44:17	original 3:7	95:21 115:10	58:4 61:5	point 13:11
one-page 76:18	originating	75.21 115.10	66:24,25 73:15	43:20 44:9
	<u> </u>			l

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 49 of 56 PageID

#: 2669

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

63:20 72:21	postings 49:19	98:6 110:6	80:19,24 81:9	put 18:1 45:7
120:1 127:4,19	potential 91:1	132:15	86:5	46:15 60:14
134:3	potentially	private 18:25	programming	94:18 99:14
points 13:2 18:8	134:7	20:15,19,22	45:17 55:14	108:2 109:12
38:3	Powell 82:10	129:3	70:18,20 85:24	123:21 126:3
police 11:19	84:6	privileged 12:12	properly 135:15	129:12
17:9 18:23	PowerPoint	probable 65:12	prosecutor	p.m 137:19
20:8,16,18	87:12	65:14,16,20,22	115:13	p.iii 137.17
21:5,7 22:20	PowerPoints	66:23 69:6,24	prosecutors	0
22:21,23,25	87:10	70:3,16 73:15	18:25 19:6	qualifications
23:7,8,10,19	pre 117:19	76:10,16,25	protections	22:3 33:10
24:10 30:15	preceded 82:9	77:6,22 80:25	112:10	93:3
31:20 35:19	83:1			qualified 39:16
		89:3,5,6,9,20	provide 25:2	66:6 77:6
41:16 45:22,25	prematurely 109:19	90:3,17,21 98:5	30:9 46:1,13 120:15 130:21	109:21 117:10
46:4,7,8,10				qualifies 85:17
47:4 48:21,25	prepare 12:3,6	probably 13:5,6	provided 39:4	qualify 30:25
50:17 57:18	12:23 16:20	20:6 71:9	67:14 88:2	31:2
59:13 60:3	33:21	83:14 103:17	provides 24:24	
69:2 88:6,10	prepared 11:22	130:12 132:23	30:24	quarterly 48:3
91:8,22 92:5	preparing 86:21	procedure 124:5	providing 18:9	50:14,15
93:17 112:4,23	present 7:25	procedures	59:22	question 9:8
123:25 124:4	74:6 81:18,18	59:25 94:6	PS 19:8	10:2,9,11
130:7,20	81:20 83:24	102:6 122:1	Public 4:19 7:6	18:20 19:22,24
131:11	115:22	123:16 130:2	138:7	21:25 25:17
policies 50:17	presented 54:8	proceedings	publicly 98:22	26:13 28:3,4
94:6 129:20	84:19 117:8,11	17:25	98:22 129:4	30:19,23 32:2
130:2	117:15,24	process 39:9	published 52:13	36:9 46:4
policy 2:17,21	118:2,12	74:25 80:8	Pudloswki	59:21 65:18
55:11,17 59:25	presently 23:23	81:5 114:3,6	15:24 83:2,3	69:5,9 72:22
91:4 127:23	press 125:7	114:15 115:12	pull 47:15	72:23 77:15
Poor 124:21	presumably	115:18 117:9	101:14 102:10	78:11 97:23
pops 101:7	75:9	117:17,21	110:5,18 134:1	118:9,17
pop-up 76:14,18	previous 34:6	118:16 119:13	punch 106:9	128:18
position 15:16	62:23 63:1	123:24 128:6,7	purely 10:12	questioned
76:24 82:24	previously 2:8,9	129:6 136:7	purge 110:1	103:12
positions 15:3	2:10,11,24,25	produce 83:24	111:2 119:21	questioning
possible 111:17	23:14 50:23,24	103:17	purged 110:3,17	24:10,11 65:23
possibly 71:8	60:17,19 96:5	produced 4:12	110:21,25	66:21 69:2
99:9 101:12	97:21 99:15,16	103:11 116:12	purpose 22:23	73:12 89:6,20
124:17,18	104:23 107:14	program 14:10	24:20,23 49:2	90:4,18,19,20
post 49:13 72:8	print 138:13	14:11 18:5	59:15,22,24	questions 8:25
72:8	prior 34:2 66:25	19:8 46:11	77:18,23	9:5 12:20 28:2
posted 95:16	69:2 71:14	programmer	110:23 111:1	37:3,19,23
98:17 99:2	73:16 74:8	74:25 75:10	114:23 133:5	38:1,11,12,17
129:22	75:6 84:2	programmers	purposes 94:24	40:19,24 55:21
posting 129:13	89:10 91:16	45:24 79:12,21	94:24	94:14,22 95:1
Posting 127.13	07.10 71.10	13.217,12,21	7 1,2 1	,
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 50 of 56 PageID #: 2670

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

95:5,6 99:9,11	72:15 103:18	123:11,13,14	37:13	110:3 111:10
103:13 133:7	103:18 104:6,9	123:16,18,18	regular 23:3	111:13,14,15
135:1	134:4	123:22,23	49:23,24 86:20	111:18,24
queue 102:19	receives 72:9,12	124:10 125:18	130:6,11,12	112:1,2,14,25
quick 78:19	receiving 98:11	125:24 126:3	132:18	112:25 113:7,9
Quinby 4:16	recertify 39:14	126:12 127:14	REJIS 8:18	113:12,19,21
6:12 7:5,22	39:19	137:13	10:19 11:7,20	114:25 119:1
138:3,25	Recess 78:25	recorded 35:8	14:18,19,23	119:11,16,20
	recite 29:25	recording 87:3	15:3,8 18:6	124:15,16,20
R	reclarify 63:23	records 21:10	19:13 20:25	127:21,22
R 5:1,4 7:11	recognize	21:13 32:16	22:10 23:13,17	128:12,22,24
race 27:8	120:24	42:5,9 53:25	23:18 24:14,17	129:7,11 132:7
ramifications	recommendati	53:25 54:1,1,2	24:20,24 25:1	135:15 136:18
64:15,21 65:8	80:23 98:9	59:25 69:3,10	25:1,7,9,10	REJIS's 59:22
Ray 8:17 39:2	recommendati	69:18 84:11	26:10,18 27:5	60:1 91:14
68:11 103:8	56:1	100:11,17	28:10 30:10,19	REJIS-created
Raymond 5:19	recommended	106:21,23	30:24 31:10,17	58:24
read 42:5,9	98:2	110:22 112:7	32:11,21,23	REJIS-genera
63:22 67:1	reconfirmation	114:13 115:22	33:1,11 36:17	99:23
90:5 105:21	120:10	115:22 116:3	37:10 39:6,11	related 11:18
137:8	record 7:14 8:19	117:7,11,23	39:13,18 40:19	134:11 138:16
reading 43:10	9:1 10:12	118:11,15	40:22 41:11	relates 41:22
118:8	17:13 31:10	119:10,11	42:12 43:20	42:23
reads 68:18	32:21 35:10	129:18,19	44:16,23 45:11	relating 47:22
117:7	45:7 57:7,16	134:1,1 136:14	45:13,22 46:10	100:22 114:3
ready 33:25	57:17 58:22,24	recruit 44:6	46:11 47:2	relative 138:19
132:7	58:25 59:4,8,8	131:7,8 132:6	49:7,18 50:13	relevant 13:8
realize 38:4	59:13 60:2	132:7	50:22 56:23	104:15 134:7
really 28:2,5	66:19,22 68:19	red 78:17	57:2,9,12	remain 28:9,24
88:20 120:10	68:19 69:1	reduced 138:13	58:10 59:1	29:22 33:1
Realtime 4:18	73:13 75:18	refer 89:3	65:7 66:25	remarks 109:11
138:4,6	76:5 78:24	reference 63:20	69:4,10 72:10	109:11
reason 32:25	79:2 86:8,11	65:18	73:16 79:10,14	remember 40:25
68:6 75:21	86:14 100:19	references 65:19	79:21 80:13,20	71:1 91:15
78:1 136:19	100:23 101:13	referred 55:18	81:15,23 83:7	98:11 132:24
reasonable 26:7	103:15 104:5	82:1 107:3,4	83:12,19 84:4	133:8
reasons 108:1	104:12 105:13	referring 127:19	85:6,7,15	reminders
111:5	105:14 106:3	regard 43:4	88:11 91:10	136:17
recall 10:22	106:12 107:6	regarding 11:17	93:10,12 94:6	removed 110:4
71:11,13 74:11	107:18,22	69:6	95:11,14,16,19	119:19 120:1
74:22 86:19	108:3 109:21	REGIS 111:19	98:2,3,6 99:24	Renée 7:22
96:4 121:15	109:22 110:2,5	Registered 4:18	100:2,12,15,22	138:25
receive 36:12	111:4,5,7	138:3	101:3,6 102:3	RENÉE 4:16
88:17 94:2,5	114:16,18,19	registration	102:7 103:1,7	6:12 7:5 138:3
125:3,11	115:6,7,9	21:9	105:6,8,13,17	repeat 25:8 40:6
received 13:17	120:7,8,12	registrations	107:4,7 108:6	64:18 118:9
	,,,,,,,	8		
	I	I	l	I

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 51 of 56 PageID

#: 2671 CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

reply 126:20	27:18 57:24	102:3 103:25	119:1 121:12	100:10 102:24
report 24:10	60:7 66:1	104:1,3 105:20	122:20 136:13	104:15 105:3,9
93:19,22,25	89:25	105:23 108:18	scenario 32:6	105:19,19,23
94:3,7,10	requirements	114:24 115:4	scenarios 32:6	106:14,21,23
99:23 101:9,10	77:10 80:12	121:12,19,20	57:3,6	106:25 107:2
101:21 102:2	requires 89:19	123:6 132:10	school 13:16,19	107:16 108:3
102:10,25	90:17	136:21 137:4	14:7 33:13	108:12 109:9
103:6,8,16	requiring 77:21	rights 5:13 8:11	schooled 101:16	109:17 111:21
104:7 114:11	Reset 77:5	8:13 98:24	scope 95:2,4	114:18 118:4
reporter 3:8	respond 126:25	RMK 109:9	screen 27:11	119:1 121:13
4:17,17,18,19	response 41:19	RMR 6:12	46:16 70:8	122:7 128:15
6:11 7:6 9:22	43:12,13	138:25	71:7 72:7 73:2	seeing 76:2,10
78:20 137:7,15	125:13	role 21:3 22:5,6	73:5 74:16,19	76:14,22 96:4
138:1,4,4,5,5	Responses 43:14	33:5 82:9,19	74:23 75:12,15	108:8
reporter's 7:22	43:15	82:21 83:1,4	76:2,3,7,11,19	seek 45:9,12
reports 16:3	responsibilities	rotate 35:7	76:22 77:4,18	seen 40:16 73:5
represent 8:15	16:15 49:20	rotation 34:19	77:19,20 78:8	94:22 95:1,7
representative	95:18	35:5	78:12 79:22	96:6,17 97:20
15:6 18:17	responsibility	routine 116:2	80:11 89:12,13	109:21 116:24
48:16,20,23	75:4 92:23	126:17	106:7 124:13	117:3
50:9 72:11	responsible	routinely 115:25	124:14 125:7	send 36:11 72:4
92:1,9	16:21,22,24	117:11,15,24	seat 91:20	72:7 90:2
representatives	37:23 61:17,19	118:2,12	seats 35:24,25	100:25 105:15
18:15 46:24	130:14	rule 126:18	second 69:9	114:8 118:14
47:3 50:7 83:7	rest 78:20	rules 9:20	100:8 126:22	124:9,12 125:5
92:11,12 93:6	result 46:2 94:7	126:21 127:19	126:22	125:6 126:22
93:10	resulted 101:23	127:19,20,21	secretarial	127:2
represented	retained 3:7	127:22 128:2	14:10,11 33:19	sending 125:9
77:10	returned 41:21	run 75:10 79:22	secretary 15:4	sends 25:1 49:16
request 75:19	42:6,7	81:1 124:6	91:16,17 92:22	send-out 72:8
78:6 104:11	Revenue 37:12	runs 125:2	93:14	senior 51:11
127:2 136:11	review 33:24		section 45:1	61:15
requested 75:16	38:14 137:4	S	94:10	sense 10:12
101:9,10 134:2	reviewed 93:25	S 5:1 6:3 7:11	security 19:7	16:13
requests 104:9	reviewing 34:10	sake 9:18 10:4	20:21 36:19	sent 31:11 41:25
131:4	95:20	saw 99:4 121:2	43:4 108:10	71:9 72:3 84:6
require 89:6	rflojo@slmpd	saying 26:21,23	see 9:21 11:20	84:7,19 101:2
119:2,5,12,16	5:21	57:6 90:9	18:18 39:3,16	118:15 126:23
136:4,5	ride-alongs	101:2 125:8	39:16 43:5	127:2
required 27:6	17:23	says 11:16 66:12	44:10,11 62:11	separate 19:21
42:18 48:18	Rifkind 5:8	66:20 67:21	62:14,17 66:13	37:17 42:21,22
56:11 64:7,9	right 30:5 37:4	69:1 73:11	67:16 68:11	43:21 44:13
71:19,21,25	37:24 43:21	98:1 100:7,25	72:6 74:20	62:8 128:9,11
114:14 124:8	67:21 68:7	105:8,15	76:7 77:4	separately 44:18
125:4	69:7 88:23	108:10,14	88:24 95:14	served 77:18
requirement	95:24 101:20	109:9 118:4	98:16 99:3	service 33:20

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 52 of 56 PageID

#: 2672

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

36:14 71:9	47:1 89:21	35:5	stamped 106:3	108:6 110:6
72:5,8	136:13	specific 18:13	stamps 67:15	111:11,16
services 4:14 6:7	single-day 52:24	41:7 47:8 48:7	stand 105:25	117:11,23
6:13 7:24	singular 46:4	62:1 88:11	standpoint	118:11 124:11
15:18 81:19	sir 67:12 97:8	90:12 100:22	135:25	135:2,3
82:22	106:10 107:21	113:5 129:10	stands 106:1,6	stopped 93:14
set 11:25 36:19	108:23 117:1	specifically 45:6	start 14:18	127:4
131:22 132:3,9	118:22	70:11,20 78:15	66:10 72:19	stops 120:7
seven 44:22	sit 17:21,24	79:8 86:18	started 14:19	stored 39:1 59:5
severity 28:11	86:13 93:1	90:7	15:4 17:17	59:5 84:24
30:4 32:17	site 52:14	speculation	starting 13:15	101:3
59:10 109:1	sits 91:6 93:11	25:15 26:14	starts 105:20	straight 58:9
119:22 120:2	sitting 13:4	29:11 31:23	118:23	Street 4:15 5:5
sex 27:8	situation 26:17	40:13 59:18	state 4:15,20	5:20 6:8,14
share 129:11	30:11 56:24	77:12	8:25 24:2 31:1	strictly 105:13
shared 87:12	81:11 118:24	spell 9:1	31:3,11,12	strike 50:20
sharing 129:7	six 36:13 82:8	spend 34:10	32:15 69:19	51:19 56:2,21
Sharon 130:16	116:16	spent 41:2,6,16	98:3 109:20	63:10 65:10
133:2,3	Social 108:10	43:8	126:24 127:3	70:14 71:20
sheet 86:23	sodomy 108:17	spoke 12:7,18	138:7	78:11
sheets 33:25	somebody 81:7	54:15	statement 60:9	students 63:20
86:25 87:3	90:6 110:7,11	spoken 132:22	65:23 69:12	78:16 86:15
Sheriff 124:20	110:12 111:14	133:10	89:7	89:5 122:4
short 134:18	someone's 49:18	St 4:15 5:5,19,20	States 1:1 4:1	stuff 101:17
136:22	soon 9:19	6:2,8,14 7:21	7:18	subject 66:20
shorthand 4:17	106:16	8:15 11:19	statewide 31:13	69:2 73:12
7:5 138:5	sorry 41:4 54:15	17:5 23:18,24	31:19	Submit 76:23
shot 74:16	54:17 76:20	23:24,25 24:7	status 123:19	77:5
show 11:8 25:14	97:5,11 116:14	35:14 47:3	127:17	subpoena 13:10
94:20 95:23	118:9 121:11	48:21,25 50:10	Statutory	104:6,13
104:20 107:9	133:16	50:16 88:5,10	108:16	133:20 134:4
116:6 120:19	sort 118:2	91:22 93:7	stay 28:16 95:19	subsequently
showed 11:6	sorts 129:20	109:14,23	staying 24:19	98:2
13:10 74:15	sound 121:19	112:3,23	stays 31:11	substance 9:12
showing 13:1	Sounds 121:20	123:25 124:3,5	stenographic	subtitle 122:23
116:12 126:4	spare 23:16	124:10,19,20	138:12	suggest 117:25
shown 50:25	sparked 65:19	124:22,25	step 120:9,14	121:21
60:20 99:17	speak 12:15	125:9,10,18	125:16,23	suggests 62:23
104:24	59:3 132:12,16	126:2,2,9,14	STIPULATED	117:22 118:10
shows 103:1,16	132:18 133:19	127:3,8 130:7	7:2	Suite 5:20
side 24:1,4 35:15	136:8	130:20 134:23	stolen 47:16,17	summaries
35:16,20	speaking 46:7	135:5 136:5,8	stop 12:10 24:16	95:16 98:12
signature 7:7	80:9	staff 37:22 45:17	24:17 29:8	99:7 128:19
137:9,10,17	special 110:4,18	55:14 70:18,21	30:2 54:9,20	summary 94:2
similar 40:7,8	110:20	85:11,21,24	105:6,7 106:16	98:16,25 99:2
single 41:19	specialization	132:6	107:4,8,23	99:4,5
	~Pecialization	102.0	107.1,0,20] ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 53 of 56 PageID

#: 2673

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

				. 1
supervise 16:19	31:7 32:4 49:4	87:21 90:23	30:2 53:25	think 21:21 30:8
supervises 80:19	138:6	104:8 107:14	57:7,21,23	60:16 62:9
supervisor 15:6		128:17 133:23	58:5,14,21	63:4 67:8,22
15:14,17,22	T	134:22 137:2	59:1,8,9 69:21	69:20,22 73:1
16:1,11,16	TAC 48:3,12,24	talking 9:22,25	ten 15:15 38:6	77:9 78:1 83:5
23:13 33:5	49:3,24,25	53:24 79:5	51:23 82:20	95:24 116:21
38:6 52:4 62:3	50:6,13 54:5,8	94:14 105:20	83:5 108:25	122:12 128:15
82:14 100:21	55:23 56:2	113:16 114:9	126:19,25	137:2
101:16 135:9	71:8,12 72:12	130:11,18	127:1	thinking 90:12
supervisors	74:12 84:10,14	talks 109:25	ten-minute	third 58:9 82:21
15:21	85:3,12,15,17	taught 44:18	126:18	100:9 127:2
support 56:10	85:20	122:16 127:15	term 58:11	tholland@pau
suppressed 73:9	TACs 72:10	128:1,4,5,12	Terminal 48:4	5:10
sure 10:6 18:7	take 9:23 10:21	131:8	48:13	thought 102:2
26:5 31:16	20:13,15,16,17	teach 20:1,4	terms 41:23	three 10:18,19
33:24 34:7	23:3,6 35:12	42:3,5 44:8	130:2	11:4 12:1 15:9
72:24 75:25	36:1,16,22	63:18,18,18	test 36:24 37:1,2	30:13 32:4
86:22 118:4	39:9,15,19	66:6 131:21	37:20 39:10,15	34:20 35:1,4
124:24 129:10	40:10 43:7	teaches 35:6	40:1,4,9,16,19	44:7 58:5 62:7
136:8	44:22 50:21	teaching 34:7,11	41:1 44:12	62:8,19 75:1
surrounded	53:9 60:15	44:7 89:18	49:14 75:11	81:22,22,25
109:23	63:10,24 64:4	122:3	129:22	103:17 105:10
suspect 111:12	64:5,7,11 66:9	team 16:23 35:2	testified 103:21	132:8
swear 80:16	72:17,20 78:16	81:2 83:16,17	136:16	Thursday 4:12
sworn 4:12	78:19 79:21	102:15,16,20	testify 11:22	ticket 102:13
138:9	86:23 90:15	technical 41:23	138:10	tickets 130:14
system 21:19	92:23 96:24	49:16 80:4	testifying 11:3	130:15
28:9,10,16	97:11 122:11	129:24	11:17 12:3	Tim 8:24
31:5 32:9,10	125:4,17 126:8	teletype 68:19	testimony 138:8	time 7:15 31:15
32:16 33:2	127:10,12,16	112:7	138:11	34:10 39:15
34:5,15 41:11	133:21	teletypes 50:18	testing 34:15	41:2,6,18 43:8
49:4,6 50:2,4	taken 4:13 7:4	107:24 118:1	36:15	44:9 52:7
59:5 64:14,20	30:15 78:25	tell 13:11 16:25	tests 38:21 40:22	64:18 70:25
72:4 74:15	83:11,11 111:6	28:17 35:11	79:22 81:1	78:23 79:1
80:16 83:15,20	137:7 138:11	36:25 46:9,10	Thank 9:4 19:2	80:15 88:8
84:1,2,3 85:20	138:18	46:15 48:20,23	60:24 68:14	106:2 107:17
101:1 105:6,8	takes 35:20 53:2	52:22 63:12	78:22 109:24	112:6 114:9
106:4,18 107:7	75:5	70:23 72:3	136:22,25	116:4 117:7,21
107:18 108:6	talk 9:24 23:12	79:11,19,25	130.22,23	119:23 120:2
110:3 112:11	33:4 37:4 48:4	105:4 114:2,21	themself 124:9	122:11 132:21
123:19 126:24	50:5 80:10	114:22 115:2	thereto 55:25	137:12
123.19 120.24	103:19 110:14	125:18 131:7	thing 89:1	times 10:9,17
135:15	120:7 130:13	telling 75:8	112:12 137:15	12:15 20:1
systems 17:13	130:15,16	tells 45:22 46:8	things 49:5 72:7	38:9 52:9 67:8
19:10 29:5,6	133:24 134:10	81:9 107:17	95:1 120:5	68:2
29:13 30:13	talked 41:9 73:2		129:20	Timothy 5:8 8:2
49.13 30.13	WIII 11.7 13.2	temporary 29:1	127.20	1 momy 3.0 0.2
			<u> </u>	

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 54 of 56 PageID

#: 2674

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

title 82:1	17:17 19:3	130:1	39:8 43:9	64:20 87:10
today 8:25 9:5	22:13,22 49:15	trying 32:3,8	50:22 56:1,11	94:23 112:14
9:12,16 10:5	49:20,21 66:4	56:1 77:17	77:14,17 81:14	112:18,25
11:3,22 12:4	85:8 131:14,17	81:14 103:19	94:9,22 99:22	113:12,13
13:2,7 20:12	131:20 135:9	103:23	101:15 111:9	122:21 131:14
28:22 33:8	training 11:18	turn 62:9 67:3	understanding	131:18
54:16 82:2,4	14:12,14 15:6	121:9 126:1	43:5 68:16	user 32:11 36:17
87:9 90:24	15:14,17,22	twice 50:1,12	75:23 80:17	39:12,18 43:19
119:15 131:24	16:10,16,17,18	two 9:22 28:2	90:25 93:5	44:5 45:21
134:14 136:25	16:25 17:19	34:21 35:17	117:3 135:12	46:17,21,25
Today's 7:14	18:9 20:18	37:18 39:1,17	understood	47:7,19,22,25
told 20:12 37:22	22:22 23:3	39:18 44:10	33:21 94:10	106:2,4
70:13,15 74:22	30:10,14 33:5	48:19 58:8	106:13 109:24	users 26:2,6
75:21 80:12	33:5,22 34:2,4	67:6 87:1	127:18 132:11	32:10 40:22
113:10 126:9	34:9,19 36:10	96:18 97:6,20	unit 18:6 51:6,9	56:23 74:20
133:25 136:21	36:14 38:6	99:4 103:13,17	52:11 102:12	123:4
tool 56:14,17,20	41:15,22 45:25	103:21 110:4	130:17	uses 47:1 93:10
56:23	52:4 62:2	112:8 120:5	United 1:1 4:1	usually 50:3
top 30:1 58:5	64:14 65:4,12	126:16 132:23	7:18	
63:3 66:12	65:13,15,21	two-day 36:21	unnecessary	V
136:12	71:5,7,16	37:5,7,8 39:10	57:23	vague 9:6
topic 95:3	85:11,14 98:3	41:1,3,5 42:20	update 49:16	valid 87:19
topics 2:7 11:23	100:20 101:15	42:24 43:7,8	55:14 73:4	120:12 127:14
13:9 23:2 37:6	102:12 103:13	44:8	75:5,6 80:4	validate 111:5
touch 126:13	120:15 122:23	type 30:3 31:8	129:24	115:9 119:8
track 100:18	131:11,22	66:19 68:20	updated 34:8	validated 114:14
train 17:2,3,12	132:8 134:22	69:1 88:2	38:15 51:19	114:18 115:23
19:3,4 22:13	135:9	100:16,21	52:6 62:11,13	115:25 116:3
22:14,24,25	trainings 19:16	101:6 104:6	62:16,23 66:11	119:2,6,12,17
23:2,9 30:9,17	19:17,19 22:12	types 20:24 21:5	87:18	validates 115:21
32:7 35:18	33:6,8 35:8,11	typewriting 7:7	updates 39:13	validating 115:3
36:2,3 42:10	56:5,9 63:13	typical 47:21	55:15 61:18,21	115:5,7
45:8,11 61:5	86:8 94:6		updating 67:6	validation 114:3
64:20 71:19	129:19 130:21		upper 100:7	114:6,8,15
135:13,14,18	131:2,5 132:4	Uh-huh 52:16	up-to-date 95:19	115:10,17,18
135:19,22	transactions	107:15 117:13	urgent 126:17	117:6,8,9,12
136:1	110:4	127:25 128:8	126:18	117:15,17,21
trained 17:16	transcribed 7:7	unable 126:13	use 3:5 19:8,15	117:24 118:3
71:14 78:9,10	transcript 3:9	127:8	19:16 22:24	118:12,16
78:13	137:3	unclear 9:6	26:7 30:10,19	119:12 136:4,5
trainer 15:5,8	true 119:15	underneath	32:5,9 33:1	136:7,17
15:11 18:16	trust 137:6	17:16 33:7	41:10 52:11	Valley 13:21
22:17 23:5,9	truth 138:10,11	108:13,18	56:4,8,14,18	varies 30:1
33:11 51:11	truthfully 9:11	109:8	56:24 57:2	46:22 53:6
61:15 95:19	try 9:7,24 39:24	understand 9:5 20:17 21:25	59:11 61:4	123:15
trainers 16:8	68:11 79:6	20:17 21:23	63:12,19 64:14	variety 129:25

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 55 of 56 PageID

#: 2675

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

various 13:2	137:18	76:5,15 77:3	27:19 28:15	whichever 81:21
18:8 20:11,24	walk 13:14,16	78:14 79:20	30:2 53:25	White 15:20,23
56:19 93:6	15:1 63:14	80:10,14,25	57:6,16,17,23	Wicking 130:17
varying 37:2	104:14 123:24	89:1,19 90:4	58:5 59:13,16	William 82:10
vehicle 21:9	walking 34:15	90:17,20 98:6	59:23 60:2,7	Willman 35:3
37:13 47:15,16	Walsh 8:16	98:13,18	66:13,21 73:13	Wilson 5:12 8:9
47:17 120:17	want 10:2 28:21	100:15 101:3	103:4 115:13	8:9
125:2	32:10,16 38:3	101:21,21	125:15	withdraw 59:21
verify 115:15	40:8,8 42:12	103:6 104:14	warrants 30:2	withdrawn
version 62:23	46:19 48:10	105:5 107:23	101:25 117:10	28:25 30:17
63:1 66:12	79:18 88:24	108:2,5,16	117:23 118:11	34:17 41:4
67:4,5,23	90:15,25 94:23	111:10,15	wasn't 71:25	46:9 48:22
68:17,18 69:7	97:10 102:25	114:23 115:14	way 26:7,13	witness 5:18 7:9
69:25 73:1,23	113:11 118:15	115:15 117:4,7	40:18 78:12	32:14 39:6
74:1,5,7,8,9	120:13 128:15	118:15 119:8	79:24,25,25	40:14 51:1
versions 62:19	131:8 136:5	120:17 121:3,6	111:5	60:12,21 77:14
versus 7:17	wanted 24:8,9,9	121:18,22	ways 32:5 65:20	78:5,22 99:18
28:14,15 30:13	24:10,19,21	122:12 123:10	80:2 129:21,25	104:25 136:23
59:13	25:1,10 26:18	123:11 124:1	website 49:13	137:1,10,18
video 35:8 86:9	27:4,22,25	125:1,3,14	129:13,22	138:8,11
86:11	28:5,8 30:2	126:3 128:9	websites 49:19	wonder 101:4
videographer	31:13,19 32:15	133:7 135:23	weeks 11:4 12:2	wondering
6:6 7:13,23	32:18 33:1	wanteds 28:24	82:8	12:14 44:14
78:23 79:1	41:25 42:13	29:2,5,7,17	weight 27:9	117:25
137:12	43:11,16 45:2	41:2,6,13,17	Weiss 5:8 8:3	word 32:24
videotapes 87:5	45:7,9,12,13	41:23 42:4	welcome 136:23	wording 77:19
video-recorded	45:23 46:2,11	43:9 50:18	went 14:2,3	words 9:23
1:10 4:11 7:16	46:14 47:15,17	58:3 60:6	38:10 70:18	work 11:6 17:22
view 84:25	47:23 50:4	69:21,21 77:10	121:16	18:4,5,16 34:2
106:8 110:19	52:13,15,22,24	77:21 79:9	weren't 36:3	45:21 83:18
110:21	52:25 53:3,25	80:15 89:6	we'll 30:6 37:4	worked 14:23
viewable 110:7	53:25 56:7	94:11 98:3	88:19 104:11	15:3,4 122:2
110:10,12	57:7,7,16,17	100:2,4,13,22	104:13 106:25	working 16:22
viewing 22:2	57:21 58:6,6,9	101:5,22,22	120:7 128:15	worry 134:19
views 20:21	58:12,14,14,21	101:3,22,22	we're 7:23 13:5	wouldn't 31:12
57:22	59:2,8,8,9,12	112:14 114:3	23:8 35:22	31:13,19 59:15
visit 18:12	59:15,23 60:2	115:24 117:14	46:18,23 49:4	59:16 68:8
vote 91:13	61:5,5,7 63:13	119:2,9,16,19	72:25 75:2	80:16 110:20
Voting 92:11	64:8 65:8,11	135:2,6	79:2 91:12	127:12
vs 1:6 4:6 66:13	65:22 66:1,1	Wanted/Stop	104:17,18	wrap 32:3
	66:12,17,20,20	100:7	we've 13:9 34:6	wrong 32:24
$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$	66:23,25 68:19	wants 22:10	41:9 42:20	69:13,14,14,15
wait 10:1 72:22	69:1,3,9,18	112:7	52:17,18 67:13	69:22,23
96:20	70:4,5,16	warning 76:15	67:15 87:8	
waive 137:9,10	73:10,11,12,14	warrant 24:22	90:23,24	Y
waived 7:8	73:16,71;12,14	25:3 27:17,17	Wharton 5:8	yeah 25:21 29:9
	73.10 / 7.20	20.0 21.11,11	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:16-cv-00254-HEA Doc. #: 87-10 Filed: 08/25/17 Page: 56 of 56 PageID #: 2676

CINDY JENNINGS 3/30/2017

	_	_		
34:15 89:15	16 2:9 60:18	212)373-3373	1:6 4:6	8 2:4
101:13 122:17	62:13 66:10	5:10	4052 105:21	8:30 35:16
131:25 136:13	72:19,25	212)614-6464	41 6:3	80 92:21
year 14:21 15:2	16-18 60:20	5:14	48 58:14	80s 15:10
19:20 20:4	17 2:10 60:18	24 2:24 72:17,19		81 92:21
38:13 50:1,12	62:10,22 68:22	72:25 74:1	5	855)724-2489
58:19 62:17	72:17 73:25	99:15,17	5 2:20 96:11,12	5:6
98:14 100:8,14	18 2:11 35:22	25 35:25	97:10	
101:22 114:19	36:1 60:18	27 21:8,21 36:20	5th 96:20,22	9
years 10:22,23	62:16 67:3,11	36:21 37:9	97:1,2	9 138:22
15:9,15 38:7	1915 5:20	39:11 41:16	50 2:8	9th 6:3
39:1,4,17,18	1978 14:24	43:6 44:4,17	55 53:18,19,20	9:00 35:15
44:11 48:24		52:19 53:7,9	56789 68:3	9:28 1:15
51:21,23 62:5	2	63:24 71:23	567911 67:25	9:29 7:11,15
82:13,20 83:5	2 2:12,25 21:17	76:6 106:8		90 116:2,4 136:6
87:1 108:17	21:23 22:1	110:8,11	6	136:16
Yep 108:15	44:21 53:14,17		6 2:15,19 3:1	91 67:21
yesterday 12:7	95:24,25 96:16	3	116:7,8,18,19	96 2:12,14,16,20
York 5:9,14	102:9,11	3 2:8,14 50:23	116:20,22	99 2:24
1018 3.7,14	104:21,24	50:25 53:12	121:10	
#	118:20,21	95:25 96:16	6th 96:22 97:7	
#11867 6:12	121:9,11	118:20	97:17,24	
#1291 6:13	2-3 96:1	3rd 133:22	60 2:9,10,11	
138:25	2010 121:13,22	3:30 35:16	21:1 116:4	
	122:8	30 1:12 4:13	136:6,6	
0	2011 101:6	12:18,22 37:21	602 132:7	
05/2010 121:12	2012 3:2 117:20	110:24 127:9	63101 6:8,14	
	2014 69:6,14,15	127:13 133:11	63103 5:5,20	
1	70:2 74:1	30th 7:14	63105 6:3	
1 2:7 3:2 11:9,10	77:20 121:16	30-day 110:16	666 5:13	
68:2	121:17	314)444-5609		
1st 82:25	2015 2:13,15,19	5:21	7	
10:55 78:23	2:23 93:20	314)615-7042	7 2:13,23 3:3	
10012 5:14	97:16,17,24	6:4	120:20,21	
10019-6064 5:9	118:18	314)644-2191	7th 5:13 97:16	
104 2:25	2016 62:13	6:9,15	118:18	
11 2:7 68:3	66:11 68:18	38 35:25 36:1	7/2014 62:11,23	
11th 4:15 6:8,14	69:25 70:3		711 4:14 6:8,14	
11:09 79:1	73:1,19,22	4	73 20:9	
116 3:1	74:5,6,9 77:22	4 2:16 66:9,12	74 20:9	
12:20 137:19	98:14 121:17	68:15,22 96:8	75 20:6	
12:21 137:12	2017 1:12 4:13	96:9 97:10,11	773 5:20	
120 3:3	7:14 62:17	97:13,14	78 14:22 91:18	
1210 5:5	67:4 121:18	4:00 35:15	92:21	
1285 5:9	138:22	4:16-CV-0024	79 92:21	
134 2:5	21 71:23	7:18		
14 108:17	41 / 1.43	4:16-CV-0025	8	
	<u> </u>			